

Truck and Freight Alternative Site Analysis Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study

I-4 Corridor in Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties, Florida

Conceptual Drainage Report FINAL

FDOT Office District Five

Authors Inwood Consulting Engineers, Inc.

> Date of Publication January 2024

Financial Management No. 447724-1-22-01

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022, and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT. [Page left blank for two-sided printing]

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION CONCEPTUAL DRAINAGE REPORT

Project: Truck and Freight Alternative Site Analysis PD&E Study

ETDM Number: N/A

Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

Federal Aid Project Number: N/A

This Conceptual Drainage Report contains engineering information that fulfills the purpose and need for the Truck and Freight Alternative Site Analysis PD&E Study in Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties, Florida. I acknowledge that the procedures and references used to develop the results contained in this report are standard to the professional practice of transportation engineering as applied through professional judgment and experience.

I hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Florida practicing with Inwood Consulting Engineers, and that I have prepared or approved the evaluation, findings, opinions, conclusions or technical advice for this project.



This item has been digitally signed and sealed by Renato Chuw, PE on the date adjacent to the seal.

Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting the *Truck and Freight Site Analysis Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study* to identify, evaluate, and recommend viable candidate truck and freight parking sites along or near the I-4 corridor within Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties that are viable for private and public operator use. The study limits extend from Osceola County north to Volusia County encompassing a 75-mile-long project study area and spanning approximately one mile from I-4 within the four counties. In heavily industrialized areas, the initial study area was expanded to approximately three to five miles from the I-4 corridor. An initial screening of the study area was conducted to identify alternatives that met the purpose and need for the project. The initial alternatives were further screened to identify viable alternatives that minimize environmental and community impacts while addressing the purpose and need for the project.

As a result of the initial alternatives analysis conducted during the PD&E Study, seven viable truck parking sites within the four-county area were identified. The goal of the study was to identify at least one truck parking facility within each county to serve regional freight demand in Central Florida and balance the parking available throughout the I-4 corridor. The seven viable sites provide a total of approximately 1114 truck parking spaces to accommodate existing and future needs. One viable site was identified in Osceola County (234 spaces) and Seminole County (156 spaces), two viable sites within Volusia County (total of 528 spaces), and three viable sites within Orange County (total of 196 spaces). All the sites are located within unincorporated areas except for the Volusia County sites with one located within the City of Port Orange and one located within the City of Daytona Beach.

As a result of the engineering and environmental analyses completed during this study and the comprehensive public engagement plan, five of the seven sites are being advanced for project development and are programmed for the final Design phase. The Seminole County site is being developed as part of a separate project as a PD&E Study Reevaluation for the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate (BTU) Segment 3 project (FPID: 242592-4). The Recommended Alternative for the *Truck and Freight Site Analysis PD&E Study* includes four sites: Osceola County Site 1, Orange County Site 1, Volusia County Site 1A (Eastbound), and Volusia County 1B (Westbound). A map of the study limits and recommended sites is provided in **Figure 1-1**.

The purpose of this Conceptual Drainage Report is to discuss, analyze, and identify the stormwater management plan for the proposed improvements based on environmental, hydrology and hydraulics, and economic factors. Stormwater management for water quality treatment and runoff attenuation will be provided using wet detention and dry retention ponds. The design of the drainage and stormwater facilities will comply with the standards set forth by the FDOT Drainage Manual and St Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) and South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) manuals.

Pond sites have been identified within the project site limits. The analysis estimates pond area needs using a volumetric analysis, accounting for water quality treatment and water quantity for runoff attenuation. Please note that the volumetric analysis of the pond sites is performed with preliminary data, reasonable engineering judgment, and assumptions. Pond sites and configurations may change during final design as more detailed information on Seasonal High Water Table (SHWT), wetland hydrologic information, and finished floor elevations become available. Please refer to **Table 1-1** for a **Summary of Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements**.

Freight Parking Site	Required Treatment + Attenuation (ac-ft)	Provided Treatment + Attenuation (ac-ft)	Pond Area (ac)
Osceola County – Site 1	14.39	14.39	11.38
Orange County – Site 1	8.52	8.67	5.01
Orange County – Site 2	1.35	1.48	1.44
Orange County – Site 4	0.52	0.57	0.91
Seminole County – Site 1B	6.16	6.32	4.17
Volusia County – Site 1A	8.68	8.77	7.15
Volusia County – Site 1B	11.71	13.64	10.17
Total:	51.33	53.84	40.23

Table 1-1: Summary of Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	1	INTRODUCTION	.1-1
1.1	Proje	ect Description	.1-1
1.2	Proje	ect Purpose and Need	.1-3
1.3	ALTE	RNATIVES ANALYSIS SUMMARY	.1-4
1.4	DESC	CRIPTION OF RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE	.1-6
1.4.2	1	Recommended Sites	1-6
SECTION	2	DESIGN CRITERIA	.2-1
2.1	SFW	MD Criteria	2-1
2.2	SJRV	VMD Criteria	2-3
2.3	FDO	T Criteria	2-4
SECTION	3	DATA COLLECTION	3-1
SECTION	4	EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	4-1
4.1	OSC	EOLA COUNTY – SITE 1	4-1
4.1.2	1	Topography & Hydraulic Features	4-1
4.1.2	2	Environmental Characteristics	.4-3
4.1.3	3	Floodplains/Floodways	.4-4
4.1.4	1	Existing Drainage Permits	.4-4
4.2	ORA	NGE COUNTY – SITE 1	.4-5
4.2.2	1	Topography & Hydraulic Features	.4-5
4.2.2	2	Environmental Characteristics	.4-7
4.2.3	3	Floodplains/Floodways	.4-8
4.2.4	1	Existing Drainage Permits	.4-8
4.3	ORA	NGE COUNTY – SITE 2	.4-8
4.3.2	1	Topography & Hydraulic Features	.4-8
4.3.2	2	Environmental Characteristics	-10
4.3.3	3	Floodplains/Floodways4	I-11
4.3.4	1	Existing Drainage Permits4	I-11
4.4	ORA	NGE COUNTY – SITE 4	-12
4.4.2	1	Topography & Hydraulic Features4	-12
4.4.2	2	Environmental Characteristics4	-13
4.4.3	3	Floodplains/Floodways4	I-14
4.4.4	1	Existing Drainage Permits	I-14
4.5	SEM	INOLE COUNTY – SITE 1B	-15
4.5.2	1	Topography & Hydraulic Features4	-15
4.5.2	2	Environmental Characteristics	-17
4.5.3	3	Floodplains/Floodways	-18
4.5.4	1	Existing Drainage Permits	-18
4.6		USIA COUNTY – SITE 1A	-19
4.6.1	1	Environmental Characteristics	-21
4.6.2	2	Floodplains/Floodways	4-21
4.63	- २	Existing Drainage Permits	1-22
4.7		USIA COUNTY – SITE 1B	1-22
Δ7 [·]	1	Environmental Characteristics	1-24
4.7.1 4.7.1	-	Eloodplains/Eloodways	1-25
	- 3	Existing Drainage Permits	1-25
т./			25

SECTION 5	PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	5-1
5.1 ME	THODOLOGY OF POND DETERMINATION	5-1
5.1.1	General Process	5-1
5.1.2	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-1
5.2 OSC	CEOLA COUNTY – SITE 1	5-2
5.2.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-2
5.2.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-3
5.2.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-3
5.3 ORA	ANGE COUNTY – SITE 1	5-3
5.3.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-3
5.3.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-5
5.3.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-6
5.4 ORA	ANGE COUNTY – SITE 2	5-6
5.4.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-6
5.4.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-7
5.4.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-7
5.5 ORA	ANGE COUNTY – SITE 4	5-7
5.5.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-7
5.5.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-8
5.5.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-8
5.6 SEN	1INOLE COUNTY – SITE 1B	5-9
5.6.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-9
5.6.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-10
5.6.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-10
5.7 VOI	USIA COUNTY – SITE 1A	5-11
5.7.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-11
5.7.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-11
5.7.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-12
5.8 VOI	USIA COUNTY – SITE 1B	5-12
5.8.1	Stormwater Pond Evaluation	5-12
5.8.2	Floodplain Compensation	5-13
5.8.3	Nutrient Loading Analysis	5-14
SECTION 6	ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUNDS (ELAs)	6-1
		7 4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Project Location Map	1-2
Figure 1-2: Location Map – Seminole County (Site 1B)	1-10
Figure 1-3: Location Map – Osceola County (Site 1)	1-11
Figure 1-4: Location Map – Orange County (Site 1)	1-12
Figure 1-5: Location Map – Volusia County (Site 1A)	1-13
Figure 1-6: Location Map – Volusia County (Site 1B)	1-14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Summary of Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements	ii
Table 4-1: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Osceola County (Site 1)	4-1
Table 4-2: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 1)	4-5
Table 4-3: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 2)	4-9
Table 4-4: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 4)	4-12
Table 4-5: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Seminole County (Site 1B)	4-15
Table 4-6: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1A)	4-19
Table 4-7: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1B)	4-22
Table 5-1: Osceola County – Site 1 Nutrient Loading Summary	5-3
Table 5-2: Orange County – Site 1 Nutrient Loading Summary	5-6
Table 5-3: Orange County – Site 2 Nutrient Loading Summary	5-7
Table 5-4: Orange County – Site 4 Nutrient Loading Summary	5-9
Table 5-5: Seminole County – Site 1B Nutrient Loading Summary	5-11
Table 7-1: Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements	7-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Exhibits
Appendix B	Basin Maps
Appendix C	Pond Evaluation Matrix
Appendix D	Pond Sizing Calculations
Appendix E	Nutrient Loading Calculations
Appendix F	Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations
Appendix G	Cultural Resources Analysis
Appendix H	Desktop Contamination Analysis
Appendix I	Existing Permits & Backup Information

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting the *Truck and Freight Site Analysis Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study* to identify, evaluate, and recommend viable candidate truck and freight parking sites along or near the I-4 corridor within Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties that are viable for private and public operator use. In 2018, FDOT conducted a state-wide truck parking study to assess existing truck parking and future demand. The study found the I-4 corridor is the most critical corridor for truck parking needs in the state, specifically between the Osceola/Polk County Line and I-95. Based on the 2018 study, the I-4 corridor with FDOT District 5 was found to need 481 truck parking spaces; however, there are currently only 36 truck parking spaces available throughout the I-4 corridor located at the Longwood Truck Parking facility.

The goal of the PD&E Study is to identify at least one truck parking facility within each county to serve regional freight demand in Central Florida and balance the parking available throughout the I-4 corridor. An initial screening of the study area was conducted to identify alternatives that met the purpose and need for the project. The initial alternatives were further screened to identify viable alternatives that minimize environmental and community impacts while addressing the purpose and need for the project. As a result of the initial alternatives analysis conducted during the PD&E Study, seven viable truck parking sites within the four-county area were identified. The goal of the study was to identify at least one truck parking facility within each county to serve regional freight demand in Central Florida and balance the parking available throughout the I-4 corridor. The seven viable sites provide a total of approximately 1114 truck parking spaces to accommodate existing and future needs. One viable sites within Volusia County (total of 528 spaces), and three viable sites within Orange County (total of 196 spaces). All the sites are located within unincorporated areas except for the Volusia County sites with one located within the City of Daytona Beach.

As a result of the engineering and environmental analyses completed during this study and the comprehensive public engagement plan, five of the seven sites are being advanced for project development and are programmed for the final Design phase. The Seminole County site is being developed as part of a separate project as a PD&E Study Reevaluation for the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate (BTU) Segment 3 project (FPID: 242592-4). The Recommended Alternative for the *Truck and Freight Site Analysis PD&E Study* includes four sites: Osceola County Site 1, Orange County Site 1, Volusia County Site 1A (Eastbound), and Volusia County Site 1B (Westbound). A map of the study limits and the recommended sites is provided in **Figure 1-1**.

Preliminary concepts for each of the seven viable truck parking sites, including the five recommended sites were developed to establish site boundaries and are provided in the *Truck and*

Freight Site Analysis PD&E Study Preliminary Engineering Report (PER), under separate cover. The study area for each site included the proposed Right-of-Way (ROW), adjacent land uses and the access roadways surrounding the site. All four recommended sites are located adjacent to existing roadways in developed areas. The preliminary site concepts include parking layouts, site access, proposed sidewalks, stormwater management, restroom facilities, and landscaping/greenspace areas.





1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

The purpose of this PD&E Study is to identify, evaluate, and recommend viable candidate truck parking sites along or near the I-4 corridor in Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties for public and/or private development. The purpose of this project is to provide needed truck parking facilities to serve regional freight parking demand within or near the I-4 corridor to address safety and mobility.

The need for this project is based on existing and future truck parking demand along the I-4 corridor. The parking demand is a function of both freight mobility and federal hours of service regulations for commercial vehicle operators. These regulations involve mandated maximum hours of service, maximum consecutive hours and days, and required regular minimum 30-minute breaks after eight cumulative hours. Without the appropriate freight parking facilities, drivers may be forced to spend unnecessary time searching for available parking, or they may be required to park in unsafe and/or improper locations due to unforeseen circumstances such as weather, congestion, and other traffic incidents.

The trucking industry is indispensable to the American economy and the quality of life for our communities and consumers that depend on delivered goods. Apart from many other roles and responsibilities, truck drivers are responsible for delivering raw materials to manufacturing facilities and finished products to retail and commercial sites. Businesses both big and small depend on truck drivers to safely transport their items across the nation, while maintaining efficient delivery times. According to Trucker Path survey (2018), 48% of truck drivers spend over an hour searching for a place to park. This equates to a \$5.1B loss in revenue annually, including wasted fuel, wages lost, maintenance, and associated crashes.

The nationwide shortage of truck parking capacity continues to be a critical transportation industry focus. According to data published by the American Trucking Association (ATA) in 2022, there are about 3.5 million truck drivers nationwide and approximately 313,000 truck parking spaces; for every 11 drivers, there is one truck parking space. Truck parking needs have been ranked as a top critical issue in the trucking industry and are a national safety concern. In December 2020, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) hosted the 5th meeting of the National Coalition on Truck Parking to provide an update on studies and initiatives to advance safe truck parking. In the update, FHWA noted that truck parking concerns are nationwide but most critical along key freight corridors and in metropolitan areas. Additionally, nationwide survey results showed that shortages exist at all times of day, week, and year, but mostly overnight and weekdays.

Florida has experienced tremendous growth in people and goods over the last few decades and continued growth is expected. In 2018, FDOT conducted a statewide truck parking study to assess existing truck parking and future demand. The study found the I-4 corridor is the most critical corridor for truck parking needs in the state, specifically between the Osceola/Polk County Line and I-95, which is the focus of this PD&E Study.

Concurrently, the FDOT District Five Truck Parking Study (2019) determined the average freight parking demand along I-4 was 481 spaces per day (2016 existing condition). In 2020, FDOT modified the I-4 Eastbound Rest Area in Seminole County to a designated truck parking facility to better serve demand. As of 2022, the only designated truck parking facility within the study limits is located at this Longwood Truck Parking facility. The facility provides 36 existing truck parking spaces, leading to a shortage of 445 truck parking spots today.

As the number of people and the amount of goods continue to increase in Florida, freight traffic continues to be an essential part of our state's growth and economy. Based on the 2019 study, the demand for truck parking spaces is anticipated to grow to 750 spaces by 2025 and 883 parking spaces by 2040. The projected demand is anticipated to intensify as the development of more distribution facilities like the Amazon Fulfillment Center in Volusia County, the Northport Industrial Park in Seminole County, the Infinity Park in Orange County, and JELD-WEN in Osceola County continue to be developed to better serve the region's population.

The need for the project is to address existing truck parking deficiencies and accommodate future truck parking demand to better serve freight mobility and improve safety. The primary goal of the PD&E Study is to develop and evaluate viable truck parking sites to meet the future 2040 parking demand of 883 designed parking spaces. Additional truck parking capacity is being proposed to allow design flexibility for site design and to accommodate rapid freight growth in Central Florida.

1.3 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS SUMMARY

No-Action Alternative

The No-Action Alternative, carried as a viable option throughout the PD&E Study process, assumes no construction of a new truck parking site and no additional truck parking capacity along I-4 within the study area. No public truck parking facilities are programmed; therefore, none are included in the No-Action Alternative. The No-Action Alternative includes any programmed intersection improvements or roadway widening within the vicinity of the four proposed truck parking sites included in the Recommended Alternative. The results of the No-Action Alternative analysis are documented in the PER, under separate cover. The advantages of the No-Action Alternative include no additional ROW acquisition, no impacts to the environment from construction, no disruption of traffic during construction, and no project cost. The disadvantages of the No-Action Alternative involve not satisfying the purpose and need for the project: existing and future truck parking demand is not accommodated, safety for truck drivers is not improved, and freight mobility is not increased to support better movement of goods for the local communities.

Alternatives Considered

As part of the PD&E Study, more than 77,000 parcels were examined for their potential viability as a freight parking site for trucks traveling along I-4 within Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties. The methodology for identifying, analyzing, and refining potential sites is described in the PER, under separate cover.

Based on the methodology described in the PER, 12 sites were identified for further review, analysis, and refinement. Out of those potential sites, seven were determined to be viable freight parking sites to meet the truck parking demand. Five of the seven viable sites are programmed for further project development providing at least one site within each county, including: Osceola County Site 1, Orange County Site 1, Seminole County 1B, Volusia County 1A (Eastbound), and Volusia County 1B (Westbound). Two of the seven sites, both located in Orange County (Orange County Site 2 and Orange County Site 4), are unfunded at this time and are on hold for future project development. More detail on the viable Orange County Sites is documented in this report. Further analysis and environmental documentation is anticipated for Orange County Sites 2 and Site 4 when funding is programmed and planning consistency is coordinated. The Seminole County Site 1B is being developed as part of a separate project as a PD&E Study Reevaluation for the I-4 BTU Segment 3 project. This report documents all seven viable sites and provides detailed analysis of the Recommended Alternative (four sites).

<u>Seminole County Site 1B – I-4 at US 17/92</u>

Seminole County Site 1B (**Figure 1-2**) is located adjacent to eastbound I-4 and southeast of the I-4 / US 17/92 interchange in unincorporated Seminole County, immediately outside the Sanford city limits. In the existing condition, the site can access I-4 via US 17/92 (0.45 miles) and via SR 46 (1.85 miles). Additionally, there are planned I-4 BTU improvements at the I-4 / US 17/92 interchange, which will modify access to I-4 through a reconfigured ramp adjacent to the site. Following the I-4 BTU construction, the distance to I-4 via US 17/92 will be shortened to 0.25 miles. The proposed site will supply 156 truck parking spaces and a restroom facility. Eight-foot sidewalks around the truck parking site are proposed to allow pedestrians to safely walk from their individual truck parking spot to the restroom facility. Additionally, an eight-foot sidewalk is proposed along School Street to provide a connection from the entrance to Seminole County Site 1B to the existing sidewalk that runs along the west side of US 17/92.

The proposed site is anticipated to require 18.5 acres of ROW, impacting a total of eight parcels and requiring up to three relocations. A large, raised berm at the northeast corner of the site is proposed to decrease the visibility of the site to nearby properties. Access to the site will be provided with a signalized entrance on School Street. A median island on School Street just west of the site entrance is proposed to prevent trucks leaving the site from heading westbound on School Street and ultimately, traveling on the narrower Elder Road. The median modification will still allow passenger vehicles to travel on School Street from US 17/92 to Elder Road.

The proposed Seminole County Site 1B will include two wet detention stormwater ponds and one dry detention pond, with a combined area of 4.17 acres. As of March 2023, Seminole County Site 1B is under Design as part of the Central Corridor Truck Parking – Seminole County Site (FPID 446445-1).

Orange County Site 2 – West Landstreet Road, Adjacent to State Road 528

Orange County Site 2 is located in a heavy industrial area along West Landstreet Road, adjacent to the State Road 528 interchange. The site is near several major freight corridors, including Florida's Turnpike, US 441, and State Road 528. Interstate 4 can be accessed via nearby limited-access facility Florida's Turnpike. Alternatively, Interstate 4 can be accessed via Landstreet Road, US 441, and Sand Lake Road (approximately 5.50 miles). A full-access entrance to Landstreet Road is anticipated. The proposed site is approximately 6.8 acres, accommodating 59 truck parking spaces.

Orange County Site 4 – West Landstreet Road, East of State Road 528

Orange County Site 4 is in a heavy industrial area along the north side of West Landstreet Road, near the Trussway Boulevard intersection. Interstate 4 can be accessed via Florida's Turnpike. Alternatively, access to Interstate 4 is provided via Landstreet Road, US 441, and Sand Lake Road (approximately 6.84 miles). A full-access entrance to Landstreet Road is anticipated. The proposed site is approximately 4.9 acres, supplying 48 truck parking spaces.

1.4 DESCRIPTION OF RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE

Description of Recommended Alternative

The Recommended Alternative for this *Truck and Freight Site Analysis PD&E Study* includes four recommended site locations for truck parking within Osceola, Orange, and Volusia Counties including: Osceola County Site 1, Orange County Site 1, and Volusia County 1A (Eastbound) and Volusia County 1B (Westbound). While recognized as independent sites, the recommended Volusia County truck parking sites are located adjacent to each other, one serving I-4 Eastbound and one serving I-4 Westbound. Additionally, Seminole County Site 1B is programmed as part of the separate I-4 BtU Segment 3 project. The following is a description of the four recommended sites.

1.4.1 Recommended Sites

Osceola County Site 1 – CR 532 and Poinciana Parkway Extension

Osceola County Site 1 (**Figure 1-3**) is located approximately 3.87 miles east of the I-4 interchange along the south side of CR 532. The recommended site is immediately east of the planned Poinciana Parkway Extension, which is in the Design phase as of September 2023, and located south of the planned CR 532 widening project, which the Design phase was completed in June 2023 and construction is programmed for Fiscal Year 2025 to 2026. The site is planned to be developed around a proposed pond for the Poinciana Parkway Extension. This site would be bordered by the Poinciana Parkway Extension, CR 532, and US 17/92, providing access to I-4 as well as other high freight corridors. The Osceola County Site 1 will supply 234 truck parking spaces and restroom facilities. Eight-foot sidewalks around the truck parking site are proposed to allow pedestrians to safely walk from their individual truck parking spot to the restroom facilities and to provide connection from the site to the sidewalks along CR 532, to be installed during the widening project.

The recommended site is anticipated to require approximately 40.1 acres of ROW, impacting a total of 19 parcels. No relocations are anticipated for the recommended site. Access to the site will be located along CR 532 approximately 0.66 miles west of the intersection with US 17/92. A new signalized entrance on CR 532 is proposed for the site access, which will require a new median opening once the CR 532 widening is constructed. There is a gas easement located on the western side of the site. This easement will be maintained.

The recommended Osceola County Site 1 will include two wet detention stormwater ponds, with a combined pond area of 11.29 acres. The CR 532 widening project adjacent to the site includes construction of a new wet detention stormwater pond on the recommended site. Since this pond will need to be removed to accommodate the recommended site, compensation has been provided for the lost pond volume.

Orange County Site 1 – Sand Lake Road at John Young Parkway

Orange County Site 1 (**Figure 1-4**) is located along Sand Lake Road approximately 2.90 miles east of I-4. The site is proposed on the northeast corner of Sand Lake Road and John Young Parkway immediately west, and adjacent to, the limited access Florida's Turnpike facility. As part of a separate project, Florida's Turnpike is adding a new interchange with Sand Lake Road, which will increase access to this truck parking site. The Orange County Site 1 will supply 93 truck parking spaces and a restroom facility. An eight-foot sidewalk surrounding the truck parking site will be included to allow pedestrians to safely walk from their individual truck parking spot to the restroom facility and to provide connection from the site to the sidewalk along Sand Lake Road, to be installed during the Florida's Turnpike interchange project.

The recommended site is anticipated to require approximately 14.6 acres of ROW, impacting a total of two parcels. No relocations are anticipated for the recommended site. Access to the site will be provided with two unsignalized driveways (right-in/right-out) on John Young Parkway and on Sand Lake Road. The new driveway on Sand Lake Road is located approximately 480 feet west of the proposed Turnpike off-ramp to Sand Lake Road. The second driveway connects to the John Young Parkway northbound off-ramp (frontage road) and is located approximately 440 feet north of the John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road intersection. No access or median modifications are proposed on either Sand Lake Road or John Young Parkway to accommodate the recommended truck parking site.

The recommended Orange County Site 1 will include two wet detention stormwater ponds, with a combined pond area of 5.01 acres. An existing wet detention pond in the southwest corner of the site currently serves as the stormwater management system for portions of John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road. The existing pond will be removed with the construction of the recommended site; therefore, treatment and attenuation volumes must be replaced in kind, and the proposed stormwater ponds will serve as a joint-use stormwater management facility between the recommended site and John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road.

The site is adjacent to the new proposed off-ramp from Florida's Turnpike to Sand Lake Road (FPID: 433633-1), in the Design phase as of September 2023, which includes construction of stormwater treatment ponds which overlap the recommended Orange County Site 1. It is anticipated that the Turnpike project will be constructed during the design phase of this project, as the Turnpike project is nearing the end of the design phase and is currently in the process of obtaining a permit from the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) (ERP #48-108222-P). The 5.62 acre pond proposed as a part of the Turnpike project was re-configured as part of the recommended alternative for Orange County Site 1 to optimize the number of truck parking spaces. The future pond modification will be verified during the design phase of Orange County Site 1.

Volusia County Site 1A – I-4 Eastbound Direct Access, 4.5 miles west of I-95

Volusia County Site 1A (**Figure 1-5**) is located along I-4 approximately 4.5 miles west of the I-95 interchange. The recommended site, located at a former Volusia County rest area, will supply 275 truck parking spaces and restroom facilities. Eight-foot sidewalks will be provided around the recommended site to allow pedestrians to safely walk from their individual truck parking spot to the restroom facilities.

The recommended site is anticipated to require 73.3 acres of ROW, impacting two parcels both publicly owned by the City of Port Orange. Wildlife fencing and wildlife sensitive lighting will be provided around the recommended site due to the proximity of the existing wildlife crossing. Ramps will be provided on I-4 Eastbound for direct access to and from Volusia County Site 1A. No local road access will be provided to the sites.

The recommended Volusia County Site 1A will include one wet detention stormwater pond located along the southeast parcel line and is 7.15 acres. The proposed ROW for the site includes a proposed conservation area outside the limits of construction and surrounding the fenced truck parking area to provide an enhanced natural buffer. The conservation area (31 acres) is east of the truck parking area and will remain as existing (undeveloped) with no site clearing.

Volusia County Site 1B – I-4 Westbound Direct Access, 4.5 miles west of I-95

Volusia County Site 1B (**Figure 1-6**) is located along I-4 approximately 4.5 miles west of the I-95 interchange. The recommended site will supply 253 truck parking spaces and a centralized restroom facility. Eight-foot sidewalks will be provided around the recommended site to allow pedestrians to safely walk from their individual truck parking spot to the restroom facility.

The recommended site is anticipated to require 116.8 acres of ROW, impacting one parcel publicly owned by the City of Daytona Beach. Wildlife fencing and wildlife sensitive lighting will be provided around the recommended site due to the proximity of the existing wildlife crossing. Ramps will be provided on I-4 Westbound for direct access to and from Volusia County Site 1B. No local road access will be provided to the sites.

The recommended Volusia County Site 1B will include two wet detention stormwater ponds for a combined area of 10.17 acres. Pond 1 is located adjacent to, and east of, the truck parking site and is 3.45 acres. The second pond will involve modification of Pond I, which was originally constructed with the I-4 widening project (FPID: 408464-2). Pond I will be expanded from approximately 1.93 acres to 6.72 acres (4.79 acre increase). Volusia County Site 1B also will include a floodplain compensation area of 2.20 acres.

The proposed ROW for the site includes a proposed conservation area and contiguous wildlife corridor outside the limits of construction and surrounding the fenced truck parking area to provide an enhanced natural buffer. The proposed wildlife corridor (36.5 acres) is east of Pond 1 and extends from the existing I-4 wildlife crossing to the western boundary of the site. The proposed conservation area adjacent to the wildlife corridor and surrounding truck parking site is approximately 43.2 acres. The proposed conservation and wildlife corridor total approximately 79.7 acres of the 116.8 acre site and will remain as existing (undeveloped) with no site clearing.



Figure 1-2: Location Map – Seminole County (Site 1B)



Figure 1-3: Location Map – Osceola County (Site 1)



Figure 1-4: Location Map – Orange County (Site 1)



Figure 1-5: Location Map – Volusia County (Site 1A)



Figure 1-6: Location Map – Volusia County (Site 1B)

SECTION 2 DESIGN CRITERIA

The design of the stormwater management facilities for the project is governed by the rules set forth by FDOT and relevant water management districts. The Orange and Osceola County sites are located within the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), and the Seminole and Volusia County sites are located within the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD). Water treatment and attenuation requirements will comply with the guidelines defined in Chapter 62-330 of the Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), the SFWMD Environmental Resource Permit Applicant's Handbook (Volume II), SJRWMD Permit Information Manual, and relevant County criteria.

Each parking site has a unique set of requirements regarding water quality improvements, water quantity attenuation, nutrient loading, and floodplain compensation. Requirements for FDOT, SFWMD, SJRWMD, and the Counties are detailed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4, followed by requirements for nutrient loading analysis.

Water quality improvements and water quantity attenuation are provided in wet detention or dry retention ponds, depending on the parking site. On some sites, additional areas have been provided for further nutrient reduction or floodplain compensation and will be identified as such.

2.1 SFWMD CRITERIA

- Water Quality:
 - <u>Wet Detention Ponds</u>: Treatment will be provided for the greater of one inch (1") of runoff over the drainage area or two and a half inches (2.5") of runoff from the impervious area (excluding water bodies). The drainage area for this project is considered to be the total area of the site plus any additional required access roads or modifications to them.
 - An outfall control structure shall be designed to drawdown a maximum of one-half inch (0.5") of the detention volume in 24 hours.
 - <u>Dry Retention Ponds</u>: Treatment will be provided fifty percent (50%) of the volume provided for wet detention, equal to the greater of one-half inch (0.5") over the drainage area or one and one-quarter inch (1.25") of runoff from the impervious area (excluding water bodies).
 - Dry retention areas shall have mechanisms for returning the groundwater level in the area to the control elevation. The bleed-down rate for these systems is the same as stated above.
 - <u>Outstanding Florida Waters (OFW)</u>: When a project or portion of a project is located within a basin that discharges to an OFW, the required treatment volume shall be

increased by 50%. SFWMD requires all projects discharging to the Lake Okeechobee Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) to meet OFW criteria.

The project traverses three (3) Waterbody IDs (WBID) within SFWMD: 3170C – Reedy Creek Above Lake Russell, 3169A – Shingle Creek, and 3168B – Boggy Creek; of which none are impaired for nutrients (Chlorophyll-a) according to the current FDEP 303(d) list of impaired water bodies. However, a pre versus post nutrient loading analysis is required for this study due to these WBIDs discharging to the Lake Okeechobee BMAP. Please refer to the **WBID Maps, Figures 1-6, 2-6, 3-6,** and **4-6** in **Appendix A** for more information.

- Water Quantity:
 - \circ For a project or portion of a project located within an open drainage basin, the allowable discharge is:
 - Historic discharge, which is the peak rate at which runoff leaves the parcel of land by gravity under existing site conditions, or the legally allowable discharge at the time of permit application; or
 - Amounts determined in previous District permit actions relevant to the project.

Offsite discharges and peak stages for the existing and proposed conditions shall be computed using the SFWMD's 25-year/72-hour rainfall maps and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Type II Florida Modified 24-hour rainfall distribution with an Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) II. SFWMD Orlando Office allows the County rainfall criteria to be substituted in place of the SFWMD 25-year/72-hour storm event. Osceola County requires discharges computed using the 10-year/72-hour rainfall event, and Orange County requires discharges computed using the 25-year/24-hour rainfall event.

• Wet Detention Pond Configuration:

- <u>Area</u> Wet detention water quality treatment systems shall have a minimum area of 0.5 acres.
- <u>Width</u> Wet detention water quality treatment systems shall be designed with a 100 feet minimum width for linear areas in excess of 200 feet long. Irregular shaped areas may have narrower reaches but shall average at least 100 feet.
- <u>Depth/Littoral Zone</u> The littoral area shall be shallower than 6 feet as measured from below the control elevation. The minimum shallow, littoral area shall be the lesser of 20 percent of the wet detention area or 2.5 percent of the total of the detention area (including side slopes) plus the basin contributing area.
- <u>Side Slopes</u> All retention and detention facilities should have stabilized side slopes no steeper than 1V:4H out to a depth of two feet below the control elevation.

- <u>Maintenance Access</u> Perimeter maintenance and operation easements, with a minimum width of 20 feet and slopes no steeper than 1V:4H, should be provided landward of the control elevation water line. Widths less than 20 feet are allowed when it can be demonstrated that equipment can enter and perform the necessary maintenance for the system.
- Dry Retention Pond Configuration: The proposed pond shall consist of a minimum surface area equal to the greater of 6 square feet or 5% of the total retention area. The pond shall have a minimum depth of 2 feet, a maximum depth of 4 feet, and a minimum width of 1 foot.

2.2 SJRWMD CRITERIA

- Water Quality:
 - <u>Wet Detention Ponds</u>: Treatment will be provided for the greater of one inch (1") of runoff over the drainage area or two and a half inches (2.5") of runoff from the impervious area (excluding water bodies). The drainage area for this project is considered to be the total area of the site plus any additional required access roads or modifications to them.
 - An orifice should be set at the Average Wet Seasonal Water Elevation (AWSWE) and sized to drawdown one-half of the required treatment volume within 24 to 30 hours, but no more than one-half of this volume will be discharged within the first 24 hours.
 - <u>Outstanding Florida Waters (OFW)</u>: When a project or portion of a project is located within a basin that discharges to an OFW, the required treatment volume shall be increased by 50%.

The project traverses four (4) Waterbody IDs (WBID) within SJRWMD: 2893C – St. Johns River Above Wekiva River, 2654 – Drainage Canals, 2675 – Sand Creek and 2634 – Tomoka River; of which none are impaired for nutrients (Chlorophyll-a) according to the current FDEP 303(d) list of impaired water bodies. However, a pre versus post nutrient loading analysis is required for WBID 2893C due to this WBID discharging to the BMAP and TMDL area for the Middle St. Johns River. Please refer to the **WBID Maps, Figures 5-6** and **6-6** in **Appendix A** for more information.

- Water Quantity: For open basins, SJRWMD requires that the post-development peak discharge shall be at or below pre-development peak discharge for the 25-year/24-hour and mean annual storms.
- Offsite discharges and peak stages for the existing and proposed conditions shall be computed using the SJRWMD 25-year/24-hour rainfall depth and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Type II Florida Modified 24-hour rainfall distribution with an AMC II.

- Pond Configuration:
 - <u>Wet Detention Ponds</u>: The average length to width ratio of the wet detention pond must be at least 2:1. If short flow paths are unavoidable, the effective flow path can be increased by adding diversion barriers within the pond. Another alternative is to demonstrate that the effective permanent pool volume is provided between each inflow point in the pond to the outflow of the pond.
 - Permanent Pool The permanent pool shall be sized to provide at least a 14-day residence time during the wet season (June – October).
 - Littoral Zone The littoral zone shall be gently sloped (1V:6H or flatter). At least 30 percent of the wet detention pond surface area shall consist of a littoral zone.
 - Littoral Zone Alternatives:
 - An additional 50% of the appropriate permanent pool volume.
 - Pre-treatment of stormwater prior to the stormwater entering the wet detention pond. The level of pretreatment must be at least that required for retention, underdrain, exfiltration or swale systems.
 - Pond Depth Maximum pond depth of 12 feet and a mean depth (pond volume divided by the pond area at the control elevation) between 2 and 8 feet.
 - Side Slopes The pond must be designed so that the average pond side slope measured between the control elevation and two feet below the control elevation is no steeper than 1V:3H.

2.3 FDOT CRITERIA

- Water Quality: That which is specified by the appropriate Water Management District or County criteria.
- Water Quantity: Critical Duration as defined by Chapter 14-86 F.A.C.
 - Open Basins: Ponds shall be sized such that the post development discharge rate (or volume) does not exceed the pre-development discharge rate (or volume) for the critical duration (1-hour through 3-day) storm and up to the 100-year storm. This applies only to basins subject to historical flooding.
 - <u>Closed Basins</u>: Ponds shall be sized such that the post development discharge rate (or volume) does not exceed the pre-development discharge rate (or volume) for the critical duration (1-hour through 10-day) storm and up to the 100-year storm.

• Detention/Retention Pond Configuration:

• <u>Maintenance Berm</u>: Provide a minimum of 20 feet of horizontal clearance between the top edge of the control elevation and the right-of-way line. Provide at least 15 feet adjacent to the pond at a slope of 1:8 or flatter. Create the inside edge of the maintenance berm to have a minimum radius of 30 feet and be a minimum of one foot above the maximum design stage elevation.

- <u>Freeboard</u>: Provide at least one foot of clearance between the maximum design stage of the pond and the inside edge of the berm. For linear treatment swales, the minimum freeboard is 0.5 foot.
- <u>Side Slopes</u>: Provide a slope of 1V:4H or flatter. Install fences around ponds only when a documented maintenance need for restricted access has been demonstrated (Section 5.4.4.2 from the FDOT Drainage Manual) or when pond side slopes above the normal water level are steeper than 1V:4H and are unavoidable. A design variation is required to install fences around stormwater management facilities.
- <u>Dry Retention Swales</u>: Provide a top width-to-depth ratio of the cross section equal to or greater than 1V:6H, or side slopes equal to or greater than 1V:3H (Section 3.2.1 from the FDOT Drainage Design Guide).
- <u>Permanent (Normal) Pool Depth</u>: For facilities designed to be wet, provide a minimum permanent pool depth of six feet to minimize aquatic growth.

SECTION 3 DATA COLLECTION

The design team collected and reviewed data from the following sources:

- FDOT Drainage Manual, January 2023
- FDOT Drainage Design Guide, January 2023
- Environmental Resource Permit Applicant's Handbook Volume I, December 2020
- Environmental Resource Permit Applicant's Handbook Volume II, May 2016
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA):
 - Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 12097C0045G Effective Date 6/18/2013, in Osceola County, Florida
 - \circ FIRM Panel Nos. 12095C0410F and 12095C0420F Effective Date 9/25/2009 in Orange County, Florida
 - \odot FIRM Panel No. 12117C0055F Effective Date 9/28/2007 in Seminole County Florida
 - \circ FIRM Panel No. 12127C0500H Effective Date 2/19/2014 in Volusia County, Florida
- United States Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle Maps
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA):
 - Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Soils Survey of Osceola County, Florida, 2021
 - NRCS Soils Survey of Orange County, Florida, 2021
 - \circ NRCS Soils Survey of Seminole County, Florida, 2021
 - NRCS Soils Survey of Volusia County, Florida, 2021
- Existing Permit Databases (SFWMD, SJRWMD)
- 1-ft LIDAR Data Source:
 - o USGS, Osceola County, 2016
 - USGS, Orange County, 2018
 - o SJRWMD, Seminole County, 2005
 - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office for Coastal Management, Volusia County, 2006.

SECTION 4 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

4.1 OSCEOLA COUNTY – SITE 1

4.1.1 Topography & Hydraulic Features

The topography throughout the site is sloped downhill from the northwest corner toward the southeast corner. Please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map**, **Figure 1-2** in **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site is not within an OFW. It is within WBID 3170C – Reedy Creek Above Lake Russell, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 1-6** in **Appendix A**.

The existing site consists mainly of undeveloped mixed forest and wetland, with a small residential area. Osceola Polk Line Road (CR 532) borders the site to the north and is currently under design for widening and includes a proposed wet detention pond within the freight parking site. To the west, the site is bordered by a gravel road, but a permit application was recently filed for the proposed Poinciana Parkway (SR 538) extension that will go through this area. The Poinciana Parkway extension will also include the construction of a new wet detention pond adjacent to the freight parking site. To the southeast, the site is bordered by a CSX Railroad. The site is considered a single open drainage basin that outfalls south to an existing wetland system that drains east to Reedy Creek. Please refer to the Location Map, Figure 1-1 in Appendix A.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Osceola County, Florida (dated 2022) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 1-3 in Appendix A**.

Soil No.	USDA Soil Name	Seasonal High Ground Water			Soil Classification		
		Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	пзч	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
	Floridana fine sand,				0-19	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
12	frequently ponded, 0 to 1 percent slopes	0	4	C/D	19-25	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					25-80	SC-SM, CL, SC	A-2-4, A-4, A-7-6
16	Immokalee fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.5-1.5	6	B/D	0-6	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					6-35	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					35-54	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					54-80	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
22	Myakka fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.5-1.5	6	A/D	0-6	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					6-20	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					20-36	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					36-80	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3

Table 4-1: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Osceola County (Site 1)

Seasonal High Soil Classification Soil **Ground Water USDA Soil Name** HSG No. Depth* **Duration** Depth Unified AASHTO (feet) (months) (inches) Placid fine sand, 0-24 SP-SM, SM A-2-4, A-3 frequently ponded, 32 0 4 A/D 0 to 1 percent 24-80 SP-SM, SM A-2-4, A-3 slopes 0-4 SP-SM, SM A-2-4, A-3 Riviera fine sand, 4-36 SP-SM, SM A-2-4, A-3 frequently ponded, 39 36-42 SC-SM, CL, SM 0 4 A/D A-2-4, A-4, A-6 0 to 1 percent A-2-4, A-3 42-56 SP-SM, SM slopes SP-SM, SM A-2-4, A-3 56-80

SECTION 4 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the project site are Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) A/D, B/D, and C/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group B Soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture and have a moderate rate of water transmission. Group C soils have low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of soils with a layer that impedes downward movement of water and soils with moderately fine to fine texture. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. Table 4-1: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Osceola County summarizes and lists the soil types and relevant information. The ground water depth varies from 0' to 1.5' within the project site per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 3 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 3 sites, 2 were identified as Low Risk, and 1 was Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Low.

The sites, business operations, and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.1.2 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The project site mainly consists of undeveloped mixed forests and wetlands. The northeast corner of the site consists of low density residential land. Please see **Figure 1-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a High probability of archaeological resources and a Low probability of historic resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F**.

Natural and Biological Features

The Osceola County truck parking site consists of residential areas, shrub and brushland, pine flatwoods, and both forested and non-forested wetlands. The shrub and brushland consists of a sparse canopy of slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) with understory and groundcover species including wax myrtle (*Morella cerifera*), saw palmetto (*Serenoa repens*), American beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana*), blackberry (*Rubus pensilvanicus*), gopher apple (*Geobalanus oblongifolius*), dogfennel (*Eupatorium capillifolium*), winged sumac (*Rhus copallinum*), ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), and prickly pear (*Opuntia mesacantha*). The pine flatwoods have a canopy dominated by slash pine with live oak (*Quercus virginiana*) and cabbage palm (*Sabal palmetto*), and an understory consisting of saw palmetto, wax myrtle, blackberry, and American beautyberry. Vegetation observed in the forested wetlands within the project area includes slash pine, sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), cabbage palm, sweet bay (*Magnolia virginiana*), bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*), water oak (*Quercus nigra*), laurel oak (*Quercus laurifolia*), wax myrtle, gallberry (*Ilex*)

glabra), St. Johns wort (*Hypericum* spp.), cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnamomea*), and chalky bluestem (*Andropogon virginicus*). Vegetation observed in the non-forested wetlands includes redroot (*Lachnanthes caroliana*), St. John's wort, meadow beauty (*Rhexia* sp.), sapling red maple, pipewort (*Eriocaulon aquaticum*), Mexican primrose-willow (*Ludwidia octovalvis*), rushes (*Juncus* spp.), Peruvian primrose-willow (*Ludwidia peruviana*), Virginia chain fern (*Woodwardia virginica*), yellow-eyed grass (*Xyris* sp.) hyssoplef thoroughwort (*Eupatorium hyssopifolium*), dogfennel (*Eupatorium capillifolium*), and sedges (*Cyperus* spp.). Approximately 17.88 acres of direct impacts to wetlands are anticipated for the Osceola County truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for the sand skink, gopher tortoise, eastern indigo snake, Florida burrowing owl, Florida pine snake, southeastern American kestrel, wading birds, and southern fox squirrel was observed within the project area. Gopher tortoise burrows were observed within the project area during field reviews. Species specific surveys will likely be required to confirm absence and minimize impacts to these species should construction activities occur within this truck parking site. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.1.3 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number is 12097C0045G, dated 6/18/2013.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the site lies entirely within Zone X of the 100-year floodplain. These are areas of minimal flood hazard, which are the areas outside the 100-year floodplain and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to **Figure 1-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map.**

4.1.4 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SFWMD permit application adjacent to the freight parking site. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I** – **Existing Permits**.

Application No. 220627-34970

Application No. 220627-34970 was filed on June 27th, 2022. This application is for construction of the CR 538 (Poinciana Parkway) Extension from CR 532 to South of US 17/92. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will not impact this permit. This permit was used to collect drainage information for this PD&E Study, mainly estimating the seasonal high groundwater elevation, and relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

4.2 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 1

4.2.1 Topography & Hydraulic Features

The topography throughout the site varies with a gradual downhill slope from the southern end of the site to the north. Please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map**, **Figure 2-2** in **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site is not within an OFW. It is within WBID 3169A – Shingle Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 2-6** in **Appendix A**.

The site is located within lands bordered on all sides by right-of-way for Florida's Turnpike to the east, John Young Parkway to the west, and Sand Lake Road to the south. The site is largely comprised of forested wetland areas. An existing ditch runs through the center of the site, carrying runoff west toward cross drains beneath John Young Parkway, where it flows along its historic path to wetlands associated with Shingle Creek. A floodplain compensation site constructed as part of a project to widen Florida's Turnpike lies east of the freight parking site, directly between the site and Turnpike R/W. Additionally, there is a permitted stormwater treatment pond servicing portions of John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road located within the limits of the freight parking site. Please refer to the **Location Map, Figure 2-1** in **Appendix A**.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Orange County, Florida (dated 2021) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 2-3** of **Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water			Soil Classification		
No.	USDA SUI Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	пэц	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
	37 St. Johns Fine Sand $0.0 - 1.0$			0-12	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
37		0.0-1.0	3-4	B/D	12-24	SP-SM, SP	A-3
					24-44	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					44-80	SP-SM, SP	A-3
44	Smyrna-Smyrna, Wet, Fine Sand	0.0 - 0.5	4-6	A/D	0-4	SP-SM, SM, SP	A-2-4
					4-17	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3
					17-27	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4
					27-80	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3

Table 4-2: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information	n for Orange County (Site 1)
--	------------------------------

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered along the project limits are Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) A/D and B/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group B Soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist

chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture and have a moderate rate of water transmission. Group B soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-2: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 1)** summarizes and lists the soil types and relevant information. The ground water depth varies from 0' to 1' within the project site per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 17 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 17 sites, 16 were identified as Low Risk and 1 as Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Low.

The sites, business operations, and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.2.2 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The project site is predominantly forested wetlands and upland forests, with some transportation and open land directly adjacent to the project site. Please see **Figure 2-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Low probability of prehistoric archaeological resources and a Low probability of historic archaeological resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F**.

Natural and Biological Features

The Orange County – Site 1 truck parking site consists of mixed coniferous/hardwood upland forests, forested wetlands, and existing reservoirs and waterways. The forested uplands consist of a canopy including slash pine, cabbage palm, southern magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora*), live oak, and blackjack oak (*Quercus marilandica*), and an understory including saw palmetto, American beautyberry, and highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium elliottii*). Vegetation observed in the forested wetlands includes a canopy of slash pine, red maple, cabbage palm, sweet bay (*Magnolia virginiana*), bald cypress, laurel oak, and live oak, with understory and groundcover species including Brazilian pepper (*Schinus terebinthifolia*), wax myrtle, Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*), dahoon holly (*Ilex cassine*), cinnamon fern, chalky bluestem, saltbush (*Atriplex pentandra*), royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*), and swamp fern (*Telmatoblechnum serrulatum*). Approximately 9.04 acres of direct impacts to wetlands and 0.41 acres of direct impacts to surface waters are anticipated for this truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for the American alligator, wood stork, gopher tortoise, Florida sandhill crane, wading birds, and southeastern American kestrel was observed within the project area. No protected species were observed within the project area during field reviews. Species specific surveys will likely be required to confirm absence and minimize impacts to these species should construction activities occur within this truck parking site. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.2.3 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number is 12095C0410F, dated 09/25/2009.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, portions of the project intersect Zone AE of the 100-year floodplain. These areas have a 1% probability of flooding every year with predicted flood water elevations that have been established. The base flood elevation (BFE) of the site has been determined to be elevation 87 feet, per the FEMA FIRMs. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits, although the Zone AE floodplains that intersect the site location abut the floodway associated with Shingle Creek. Please refer to **Figure 2-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map**.

4.2.4 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SFWMD permit within the project site limits that will be impacted by the proposed freight parking site, as well as one (1) permit adjacent to the site referenced for drainage information gathering purposes. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 48-00123-S

Permit No. 48-00123-S was issued on March 30, 2016. This permit is for the construction and modification of the intersection of John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road and the associated stormwater management system. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact the existing wet detention pond located on the northeast corner of the intersection (Pond 4) as it lies completely within the proposed limits of the truck parking site. The treatment and attenuation volume, as well as floodplain compensation volume provided by this pond, will need to be replaced in kind in the proposed condition. Please refer to relevant permit documents, which can be found in **Appendix I**.

<u>Permit No. 48-01443-P</u>

Permit No. 48-01443-P was issued on August 9, 2006. This permit is for the widening of Florida's Turnpike and the construction of an associated floodplain compensation site. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will not impact this permit, and existing conditions will be maintained during the design phase. This permit was used to collect drainage information adjacent to the site, mainly relating to the seasonal high water table elevation of the floodplain compensation area; relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

4.3 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 2

4.3.1 Topography & Hydraulic Features

The topography throughout the site is relatively flat; please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map** in **Figure 3-2** of **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site is not within an
OFW. It is within WBID 3169A – Shingle Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, Figure 3-6 in Appendix A.

The existing site sits on a recently demolished motel adjacent to SR 528. The former motel was constructed in 1973 simultaneously with the construction of SR 528, including six separate motel buildings and an additional separate reception building. Construction included a series of storm pipes and inlets directly connected to the SR 528 stormwater system near the northeast corner of the project site. This section of SR 528 is untreated and discharges to the Lake Christie Outfall Canal. No on site water quality treatment or flood attenuation was provided.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Orange County, Florida (dated 2021) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 3-3** of **Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water		цсс	Soil Classification		
No.	USDA Soli Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	пзч	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
				A/D	0-4	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
	Smyrna Fine Sand –				4-13	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
45	Urban Land	0.5-1.5	6-8		13-18	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
	Complex				18-49	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					49-80	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3

Table 4-3: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information	n for Orange County (Site 2)
--	------------------------------

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the site limits are Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) A/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-3: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 2)** summarizes and lists the soil type and relevant information. The ground water depth varies from 0.5' to 1.5' within the site during the wet season per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and

NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 27 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 27 sites, 20 were identified as Low Risk, 6 as Medium Risk, and 1 as High Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Medium.

The sites, business operations and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.3.2 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The existing site is predominantly urban and built-up, with the site occupying the location of a recently demolished motel—the site borders SR 528 R/W and other commercial and retail properties. Please see **Figure 3-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Low probability of prehistoric archaeological resources and a Moderate probability of historic archaeological resources in the vicinity of the site that would need to be recorded.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F.**

Natural and Biological Features

The Orange County – Site 2 truck parking site is located in disturbed, barren land. The area is sparsely vegetated with groundcover species including cogongrass (*Imperata cylindrica*), ragweed, and dog fennel. No wetlands were observed within the project area; therefore, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated for this truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for listed species was not observed within the project area. Construction activities within this truck parking site are not anticipated to incur impacts to listed species. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.3.3 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel numbers are 12095C0410F and 12095C0420F, dated 9/25/2009.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the site lies entirely within Zone X of the 100-year floodplain. These are areas of minimal flood hazard, which are the areas outside the 100-year floodplain and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to **Figure 3-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map.**

4.3.4 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SFWMD permit application that is within the limits of the freight parking site that the proposed site construction will impact, as well as one (1) permit that is adjacent to the site referenced for drainage information gathering purposes. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 48-00633-S

Permit No. 48-00633-S was issued on December 11, 2015. The project is for the widening of SR 528. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will affect this permit, as the SR 528 storm sewer system serves as the current outfall system for the existing motel site, and the proposed ponds are anticipated to outfall in the same manner.

Permit Application No. 220504-34304

Permit Application No. 220504-34304 was submitted on May 5, 2022. This permit application is for the construction of Orange Blossom Storage Center, a three-story storage facility, associated parking lot, and stormwater management system. This application included Geotech information obtained from recent borings on the motel site used to estimate the seasonal high water table. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact this permit application as both projects overlap each other, occupying the same parcel.

4.4 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 4

4.4.1 Topography & Hydraulic Features

The topography throughout the project is relatively flat; please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map** in **Figure 4-2** of **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site is not within an OFW. It is within WBID 3168B – Boggy Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 4-6** in **Appendix A**.

The current site is covered with crushed asphalt and concrete and is currently used for truck storage. Review of aerials has confirmed that the site has historically been used for vehicle parking for the previous 20 years. Additionally, there are three small commercial buildings and one small asphalt car parking lot on the existing site. Stormwater runoff sheet flows across the site and into a small dry swale, which discharges through an 18" pipe into a ditch along Landstreet Road before being collected in the Landstreet Road storm sewer system.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Orange County, Florida (dated 2021) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 4-3** of **Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water		цке	Soil Classification		
No.	USDA Son Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	HSG	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
					0-4	SP-SM, SM, SP	A-2-4
44	Smyrna-Smyrna,	00-05	1.6	A/D	4-17	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3
44	Wet, Fine Sand	0.0 - 0.5	4-0	A) D	17-27	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4
					27-80	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3

Table 4-4: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 4)

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the site limits are Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) A/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-4: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Orange County (Site 4)** summarizes and lists the soil type and relevant information. The ground water depth varies from 0.0' to 0.5' within the site during the wet season per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 35 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 35 sites, 33 were identified as Low Risk and 2 as Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Medium.

The sites, business operations and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance to environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.4.2 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The existing site is predominantly urban and built-up, with the site currently serving as a vehicle parking site. The site borders Landstreet Road R/W as well as other industrial properties. Please see **Figure 4-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Low probability of prehistoric archaeological resources and a Low probability of historic archaeological resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F.**

Natural and Biological Features

The Orange County – Site 4 truck parking site consists of commercial lands. The site is developed, and most of the site is paved with asphalt and gravel. Some small vegetated areas are present, containing groundcover species such as bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum*), dog fennel, ragweed, and beggar's ticks. No wetlands were observed within the project area; therefore, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated for this truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for listed species was not observed within the project area. Construction activities within this truck parking site are not anticipated to incur impacts to listed species. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.4.3 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel numbers are 12095C0410F and 12095C0420F, dated 09/25/2009.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, portions of the project intersect Zone AE of the 100-year floodplain. These areas have a 1% probability of flooding every year with predicted flood water elevations that have been established. The BFE of the site has been determined to be elevation 96 feet, per the FEMA FIRMs. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to Figures **4-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map**.

4.4.4 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SFWMD permit within the site limits that will be impacted by the proposed freight parking site and one (1) permit adjacent to the site referenced for drainage information gathering purposes. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 48-100034-P

Permit No. 48-100034-P was issued on September 14, 2017. This permit, titled "Soil Tech Facility – Orlando," is for the construction of one of the small commercial buildings on the existing vehicle storage site. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact the existing permit, as the commercial building will need to be demolished during the freight parking construction. This permit was used to collect drainage information on the site, mainly relating to the existing flood compensation swale; relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

Permit No. 48-101958-P

Permit No. 48-101958-P was issued on September 16, 2019. The permit is for the construction of 2 wet detention ponds and a dry pre-treatment swale for the Landstreet Asphalt Plant owned by Hubbard Construction. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will not impact this permit, and existing conditions will be maintained during the design phase. This permit

was used to collect drainage information adjacent to the site, mainly relating to the wet pond control elevation and seasonal high water table elevation; relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

4.5 SEMINOLE COUNTY – SITE 1B

4.5.1 Topography & Hydraulic Features

The topography throughout the site is relatively flat; please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map**, **Figure 5-2** in **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site is not within an OFW. It is within WBID 2893C – St. Johns River Above Wekiva River, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP and TMDL areas for the Middle St. Johns River. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 5-6** in **Appendix A**.

The existing site consists mainly of commercial development (Donny Myers RV and Circle K) with permitted detention ponds and open space that is permitted for future commercial expansion. I-4 (SR 400) borders the site to the northwest and is currently permitted for widening under the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate (BTU) Segment 3 project and includes a proposed wet detention pond within the freight parking site. School Street borders the site to the south and Monroe Road (US 17/92) to the east. The site and existing detention ponds discharge to a swale along the I-4 off ramp which flows northeast towards Lake Monroe. Please refer to the Location Map, Figure 5-1 in Appendix A.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Seminole County, Florida (dated 2022) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 5-3 in Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water		ЦСС	Soil Classification			
No.	USDA Son Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	nsu	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO	
	Aronts 0 to 5				0-10	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3	
3	Arents, 0 to 5	1.5-3.0	6	A/D	10-32	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3	
	percent slopes				32-80	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3	
	EauGallie and Immokalee fine	0.5-1.5	4	A/D	0-6	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
					6-18	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
10					18-30	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3	
13					30-45	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3	
	Sallus				45-64	SM-SC, SC, SM	A-2-4, A-2-6	
					64-80	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3	
					0-4	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
	Felda and Manatee			A/D	4-28	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
15	mucky fine sands,	0	7		28-36	SM-SC, SC, SM	A-2-4, A-2-6	
	depressional				36-46	SP-SM, SP	A-2-4, A-3	
					46-80	SP-SM, SP	A-3	

Table 4-5: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Seminole County (Site 1B)

Soil No.		Seasonal High Ground Water			Soil Classification		
	USDA Soli Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	HSG	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
	Pineda-Pineda, wet, fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.5-1.5	12	A/D	0-1	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					1-5	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
25					5-36	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					36-54	SC-SM, CL, SC	A-2-4, A-2-6
					54-80	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3

SECTION 4 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the project site are Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) A/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-5: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Seminole County** summarizes and lists the soil types and relevant information. The ground water depth varies from 0' to 3' within the site per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 28 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 28 sites, 27 were identified as Low Risk and 1 as Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated High.

The sites, business operations and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined

during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.5.2 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The project site consists of commercial uses mixed with open land that has been cleared, along with some residences. Please see **Figure 5-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**. Please note that the available land use data does not reflect recent development on this site.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Moderate to High probability of archaeological and historic resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F**.

Natural and Biological Features

The Seminole County truck parking site consists of commercial and residential lands, dry prairie, mixed hardwood forested uplands, wet prairie, and existing reservoirs. The dry prairie areas consist of herbaceous groundcover including pennywort (Hydrocotyle spp.), ragweed, sensitive briar (Mimosa quadrivalvis), rattle box (Sesbania punicea), blackberry, shrubby false button weed (Spermacoce verticillate) and St. Augustine grass (Stenotaphrum secundatum). The forested uplands include a canopy of sweetgum, cabbage palm, cedar (Juniperus virginiana), camphor (Cinnamomum camphora), laurel oak, and sugarberry (Celtis laevigata), and groundcover consisting of St. Augustine grass, sensitive briar, ragweed, pennywort, peppervine, frog fruit (Limnobium spongia), winged loosestrife (Lythrum foliosus), and beggar's ticks (Bidens alba). Observed vegetation within the wet prairie includes white top starrush (Rhynchospora colorata), swamp smartweed (Persicaria hydropiperoides), Mexican primrose-willow (Peruvian octovalvis), water primrose (Ludwigia peruviana), frog fruit, taro (Colocasia esculenta), dogfennel, sedges (Cyperus spp.), marsh bristlegrass (Setaria parviflora), mock bishopweed (Ptilimnium capillaceum), grassleaf rush (Juncus marginatus), St. Augustine grass, Virginia buttonweed (Diodia virginiana), beggar's ticks, marsh pennywort (Hydrocotyle umbellate), and ragweed. Approximately 1.40 acres of direct impacts to wetlands are anticipated for the Seminole County truck parking site.

Some suitable habitat for the wood stork, gopher tortoise, Florida sandhill crane, and wading birds was observed within the project area. No protected species were observed within the project area

during field reviews. Existing habitat within the project area is minimal and suboptimal for the gopher tortoise. Nesting habitat is not present for the Florida sandhill crane. There will be no net loss of foraging habitat for wood storks and wading birds. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.5.3 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number is 12117C0055F, dated 9/28/2007.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the site lies entirely within Zone X of the 100-year floodplain. These are areas of minimal flood hazard, which are the areas outside the 100-year floodplain and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to **Figure 5-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map.**

4.5.4 Existing Drainage Permits

There are currently three (3) SJRWMD permits within the limits of the freight parking site that the proposed site construction will impact. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 48756-5

Permit No. 48756-5 was issued on July 18th, 2011. This permit is for the Phase I construction of Donnie Myers RV (also known as Southern Pride Business Center), including one wet detention pond and two dry retention ponds. Portions of this permit were later modified by the permit for Phase II (see below). It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact this permit application as both projects overlap each other, occupying the same parcel. Relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

Permit No. 48756-6

Permit No. 48756-6 was issued on July 24, 2012. This permit is for the Phase II construction of Donnie Myers RV (also known as Southern Pride Business Center), including additional buildings, relocation of the wet detention pond, and construction of a new dry detention pond. It appears that the wet pond relocation was completed, but none of the other construction was initiated. Information from this pond was used to estimate the seasonal high water table. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact this permit application as both projects overlap each other, occupying the same parcel. Relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

Permit No. 22434-15

Permit No. 22434-15 was issued on March 22, 2018. This permit is for the widening of I-4 (SR 400) from east of SR 434 to east of 17/92, otherwise known as the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate (BTU)

Segment 3. This project includes construction of a new wet detention pond within the proposed freight parking site. This pond has not yet been constructed. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact Pond 317D as it lies completely within the proposed limits of the truck parking site. The treatment and attenuation volume provided by this pond will need to be replaced in kind in the proposed condition. This permit was also used to collect information regarding seasonal high water table for the site. Please refer to relevant permit documents, which can be found in **Appendix I**.

4.6 VOLUSIA COUNTY – SITE 1A

The topography throughout the site slopes downhill from the southwest side to the northeast side. Please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map**, **Figure 6-2** in **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site discharges to the Tomoka River OFW. It is within WBID 2634 Tomoka River and WBID 2675 – Sand Creek, both of which are not impaired for nutrients. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 6-6** in **Appendix A**.

The majority of the existing site area consists of undeveloped mixed forests and wetlands. It is bordered to the northwest by I-4 (SR 400) and on all other sides by the Port Orange City Forest. I-4 was recently widened throughout this area. A portion of the site area, specifically the proposed eastbound I-4 off ramp, is located on a former Volusia County rest area. Please refer to the **Location Map, Figure 6-1** in **Appendix A**.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Volusia County, Florida (dated 2022) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 6-3 in Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water			Soil Classification			
No.	USDA SUI Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	nsu	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO	
					0-10	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
20	Immokalee sand	0.05	3	B/D	10-34	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
29		0-0.5			34-43	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3	
					43-85	SP-SM, SP	A-3	
	Myakka-Myakka,	0.5-1.5	6	A/D	0-6	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
22					6-20	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
32	2 percent slopes				20-36	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
	z percent slopes				36-80	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
	Comoulo much				0-24	РТ	A-8	
	Samsula muck,			A/D	24-32	PT	A-8	
56	0 to 1 percent	0	8		32-35	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
	slones				35-44	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	
	310 pes				44-80	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4	

Table 4-6: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1A)

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the project site are Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) A/D and B/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group B Soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture and have a moderate rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-7: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1A)** summarizes and lists the soil types and relevant information. The groundwater depth varies from 0' to 1.5' within the site per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 5 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 5 sites, 4 were identified as Low Risk and 1 as Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Low.

The sites, business operations and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.6.1 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The project site mainly consists of undeveloped mixed forests and wetlands. A portion of the site area, specifically the proposed eastbound I-4 off ramp, is located on a former Volusia County rest area. Please see **Figure 6-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Low probability of archaeological resources and a Low probability of historic resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F**.

Natural and Biological Features

The Volusia County Site 1A truck parking site consists of pine flatwoods and forested wetlands. The pine flatwoods within the project area consist of a canopy of longleaf pine, slash pine, cabbage palm, and loblolly bay, with understory and groundcover species including bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), saw palmetto, gopher apple, dog fennel, and ragweed. Vegetation observed within the canopy of the forested wetlands includes loblolly bay, longleaf pine, red maple, blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*), and bald cypress. Understory and groundcover species include Brazilian pepper, wax myrtle, salt bush, Mexican primrose-willow, Virginia chain fern, and elderberry (*Sambucus nigra*). Approximately 32.42 acres of direct impacts to wetlands are anticipated for the Volusia County Site 1A truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for the wood stork, southeastern American kestrel, and Florida black bear was observed within the project area. No protected species were observed within the project area during field reviews. Species specific surveys will likely be required to confirm absence and minimize impacts to these species should construction activities occur within this truck parking site. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.6.2 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number is 12127C0500H, effective 2/19/2014.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, portions of the site intersect Zone A of the 100-year floodplain. These areas have a 1% probability of flooding every year with predicted flood water elevations that have

not been established. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to **Figure 6-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map.**

4.6.3 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SJRWMD permit adjacent to the freight parking site that the proposed site construction will impact. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 64105-12

Permit No. 64105-12 was issued on November 7, 2013. This application is for the widening of I-4 from SR 44 to I-95. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will not impact this permit. This permit was also used to collect drainage information for this PD&E Study, mainly estimating the seasonal high groundwater elevation, and relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I**.

4.7 VOLUSIA COUNTY – SITE 1B

The topography throughout the site is relatively flat; please refer to the **USGS Quadrangle Map**, **Figure 6-2** in **Appendix A** and **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for elevation data. The site discharges to the Tiger Bay OFW. It is within WBID 2654 – Drainage Canals, which is not impaired for nutrients. Please refer to the **WBID Map**, **Figure 6-6** in **Appendix A**.

The existing site consists of undeveloped mixed forests and wetlands. It is bordered to the southeast by I-4 (SR 400) and by the Tiger Bay State Forest on all other sides. I-4 was recently widened throughout this area, and a new wet detention stormwater pond (Pond I) was constructed partially within the proposed freight parking site. Please refer to the **Location Map**, **Figure 6-1** in **Appendix A**.

Soils Data and Geotechnical Investigations

The soil survey of Volusia County, Florida (dated 2022) published by the USDA NRCS has been reviewed within the project vicinity. USDA Soil Survey Geographic database (SSURGO) data was also obtained from NRCS to create a soils map for the project limits using GIS ArcMap. The soil survey map for the project vicinity is illustrated in **Figure 6-3 in Appendix A**.

Soil		Seasonal High Ground Water			Soil Classification		
No.	USDA Soli Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	HSG	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
				A/D	0-6	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4
	Myakka-Myakka,				6-20	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4
32	wet, fine sands, 0 to	0.5-1.5	6		20-36	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4
	2 percent slopes				36-80	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4

Table 4-7: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1B)

Soil	LISDA Soil Name	Seasonal High Ground Water		ЦСС	Soil Classification		
No.	USDA SOII Name	Depth* (feet)	Duration (months)	пзч	Depth (inches)	Unified	AASHTO
		0	12		0-5	SP-SM, SP	A-3
24	Myakka-St. Johns complex			A/D	5-27	SP-SM, SP	A-3
34					27-43	SP-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-3
					43-78	SP-SM, SP	A-3
	Conservation				0-24	РТ	A-8
	Samsula muck,		8 A/D	24-32	PT	A-8	
56	0 to 1 porcont	0		A/D	32-35	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4
	slopes			-	35-44	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4
					44-80	SP-SM, SM	A-3, A-2-4

SECTION 4 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

*Seasonal High Ground Water Table: Depth is referenced below existing grade, except where indicated as "+".

The soils encountered within the project site are Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) A/D. Group A soils have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission. Group D soils have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission. If a soil is assigned to a dual HSG, the first letter is for drained areas, and the second is for un-drained areas. Soils are only assigned a dual class if they are group D in their natural condition. **Table 4-6: USDA NRCS Soil Survey Information for Volusia County (Site 1B)** summarizes and lists the soil types and relevant information. The groundwater depth varies from 0' to 1.5' within the site per the NRCS Soil Survey information.

A geotechnical investigation was not performed for this study. Reasonable assumptions are made to set the control elevations of the pond sites based on adjacent permitted stormwater systems and NRCS information. A detailed geotechnical investigation should be performed during the design phase.

Contamination Screening

A desktop contamination screening assessment has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. As a result of the contamination screening evaluation, 5 sites have been assigned Contamination Risk Potential Ratings (CRPR). The CRPR rating system was developed by FDOT and incorporates four levels of risk: No, Low, Medium, and High. Of the 5 sites, 4 were identified as Low Risk and 1 as Medium Risk. The overall Risk for the site has been rated Low.

The sites, business operations and/or facilities identified to date and the risk rankings given to them are preliminary. It should be understood that these risk rankings may change pending receipt of information which indicates a discharge occurred on-site or in nearby surrounding areas. Variables that may change the risk ranking include a facility's non-compliance with environmental regulations, new discharges to the soil or groundwater, and modifications to current permits. Should any of

these variables change, additional assessments of the facilities should be conducted. For any sites with a risk ranking of "Medium", Level II field screening should be conducted if it is determined during the project's design that construction activities could be within their vicinity. Please refer to **Appendix H - Contamination Screening Evaluation Report** for further information.

4.7.1 Environmental Characteristics

Land Use Data

The project site mainly consists of undeveloped mixed forests and wetlands. Please see **Figure 6-4** for the **Land Use Map** in **Appendix A**.

Cultural Features

A desktop cultural resource survey has been conducted by VHB as part of the PD&E Study. Based on the desktop analysis conducted, it was determined that the site has a Low probability of archaeological resources and a Low probability of historic resources.

During the design phase of the project, systematic archaeological field survey is recommended in accordance with the guidelines and standards promulgated by FDOT and Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The sites considered to have a low potential also should be surveyed and judgmentally tested. Historical/architectural field survey is also recommended. Please refer to the Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment (CRAS) Technical Memorandum included in **Appendix F**.

Natural and Biological Features

The Volusia County Site 1B truck parking site consists of pine flatwoods, forested wetlands, and an existing reservoir. The pine flatwoods within the project area consist of a canopy dominated by longleaf pine with some loblolly bay (*Gordonia lasianthus*) and an understory of saw palmetto. Vegetation observed within the canopy of the forested wetlands includes slash pine, loblolly bay, pond cypress (*Taxodium ascendens*), and cabbage palm. Understory and groundcover species include wax myrtle, Virginia chain fern, maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), chalky bluestem, St. Johns wort, frog's bit, sawgrass (*Cladium jamaicense*), marsh mermaidweed (*Proserpinaca palustris*), giant whitetop starrush (*Rhynchospora latifolia*), yellow-eyed grass (*Xyris* spp.), redroot, meadow beauty, hooded pitcherplant (*Sarracenia minor*), and pink sundew (*Drosera capillaris*). Approximately 31.48 acres of direct impacts to wetlands are anticipated for the Volusia County Site 1B truck parking site.

Suitable habitat for the wood stork, Florida sandhill crane, wading birds, southeastern American kestrel, and Florida black bear was observed within the project area. No protected species were observed within the project area during field reviews. Species specific surveys will likely be required to confirm absence and minimize impacts to these species should construction activities occur within this truck parking site. Please refer to the *Natural Resources Technical Memorandum* under separate cover.

4.7.2 Floodplains/Floodways

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number is 12127C0500H, dated 2/19/2014.

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the site lies entirely within Zone A of the 100-year floodplain. These areas have a 1% probability of flooding every year with predicted flood water elevations that have not been established. There are no federally regulated floodways within the site limits. Please refer to **Figure 6-5** in **Appendix A** for the **FEMA Floodplains Map**.

4.7.3 Existing Drainage Permits

There is currently one (1) SJRWMD permit adjacent to the freight parking site that the proposed site construction will impact. The sections below briefly describe the relevant information obtained from each permit or application. Documents from select permits used for the collection of drainage data can be found in **Appendix I – Existing Permits**.

Permit No. 64105-12

Permit No. 64105-12 was issued on November 7, 2013. This application is for the widening of I-4 from SR 44 to I-95 and includes a wet detention stormwater pond (Pond I) within the proposed freight parking site. It is anticipated that the construction of the freight parking site will impact this permit as a portion of the site overlaps the existing pond which is proposed to be expanded to the southwest. This permit was also used to collect drainage information for this PD&E Study, mainly estimating the seasonal high groundwater elevation, and relevant documents can be found in **Appendix I.**

SECTION 5 PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The stormwater runoff from the project site limits will be collected and conveyed to the recommended ponds for each site via storm sewer infrastructure. The various ponds among the seven freight parking sites consist of wet detention and dry retention ponds. The ponds will discharge at or near the same location that the runoff in the existing condition discharges from each site. The proposed ponds have been sized to achieve the required water quality treatment and water quantity attenuation and assist the Department in the right-of-way estimation for the project.

5.1 METHODOLOGY OF POND DETERMINATION

5.1.1 General Process

The pond sizing analysis assumes that all ponds will be designed using the appropriate criteria for wet detention or dry retention based on the best available water table data and other conditions at each proposed site. VHB identified preliminary pond shapes and sizes during their initial analysis and conceptual design of each site. These ponds were then evaluated to determine whether they were sufficient for the site's drainage needs based on the estimated required pond volumes. Pond volumes were calculated using appropriate criteria for each Water Management District and County. Impervious areas were measured in Microstation SS10 to compute existing and proposed curve numbers. Existing curve numbers were estimated using aerial imagery and land use data, and proposed curve numbers were estimated using the proposed parking design files provided by VHB. A 10% upsize in the required pond right-of-way area has been applied for all the stormwater treatment ponds to account for preliminary parameters such as the estimated average wet seasonal water elevations, ground elevations, and potential natural contouring of the ponds. Please note that since each parcel/site size is limited by real conditions (i.e., the ponds cannot be expanded outside of the selected parcel), the pond size totals used in the calculations include the 10% upsize in them to show that the total pond size requirement fits adequately within the parameters of the site.

During the final design, additional consideration should be given to aesthetic features to comply with the Highway Beautification Act, including softening the pond contours, landscaping, and other aesthetic features.

The following sections detail each proposed site and relevant information for the proposed ponds. The full Pond Alternatives Evaluation Matrix is available in **Appendix C** and summarizes many of the existing and proposed parameters for each site.

5.1.2 Nutrient Loading Analysis

None of the proposed freight parking sites are located within WBIDs that are impaired for nutrients according to the current FDEP 303(d) list of impaired water bodies. However, some sites are located within Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) areas and are subject to nutrient loading limits.

Nutrient loading analysis was performed for sites located within BMAP areas to show no adverse effects from the proposed project. All analysis was performed using BMPTRAINS 2020 software (Version 4.3.5), developed by the University of Central Florida Stormwater Management Academy. Results of the analysis, along with backup calculations (permanent pool volume, composite curve numbers, and composite loadings), are included in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**. More information for each individual site can be found in the following sections.

The relevant BMAPs and TMDLs for this project are the Lake Okeechobee BMAP (Orange County and Osceola County), the Middle St. Johns River Basin BMAP (Seminole County), and the Six Middle St. Johns River Segments TMDL.

The original BMAP and TMDL documents can be found at the links below.

Lake Okeechobee BMAP: https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/LakeO-BMAP-APR-2015.pdf

Middle St. Johns River Basin (Lake Harney, Lake Monroe, Middle St. Johns River, and Smith Canal) BMAP: <u>https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/harney-monroe-bmap-final.pdf</u>

TMDL for the Six Middle St. Johns River Segments between the Inlet of Lake Harney (WBID 2964A) and St. Johns River above Wekiva River (WBID 2893C): https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/donuttmdl_msjr_final.pdf

5.2 OSCEOLA COUNTY – SITE 1

5.2.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Osceola County freight parking site is 40.11 acres and will include two (2) wet detention stormwater ponds. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to an adjacent wetland to the south. The site is located within WBID 3107C – Reedy Creek Above Lake Russell, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee; therefore, nutrient loading analysis has been performed. More information about nutrient loading analysis is available in **Section 5.2.3**. Additionally, SFWMD requires stormwater management facilities discharging to the Lake Okeechobee BMAP to meet OFW criteria; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

This site is mostly undeveloped, with one residential property included within the project area.

The CR 532 (Osceola Polk Line Road) widening project is currently in design (not yet permitted) adjacent to this site and includes construction of a new wet detention stormwater pond (Pond 5) on the site. Since this pond will need to be removed to accommodate the proposed freight parking, compensation has been provided for the lost pond volume. The Phase II Drainage Report calculations indicate that the pond provides a treatment volume of 1.25 ac-ft. Volumetric calculations are not available for attenuation in the pond, but the required attenuation volume has been estimated from the pond storage calculations and design high water elevation provided in the model to be 3.60 ac-ft. Supplemental calculations to show these estimates can be found in **Appendix D**, along with the **Pond Sizing Calculations**.

Pond 1 is located along the west parcel line and is a 7.95 acre wet detention pond. Pond 2 is located at the southwest corner of the site and is a 3.43 acre wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation for both ponds is approximately 82.00 feet NAVD. The combined pond area for both ponds is 11.38 acres. According to the Osceola County Soil Survey, soils on Pond 1 will consist of Immokalee fine sand (#16, HSG B/D), Riviera fine sand (#38, HSG A/D) and Riviera fine sand, frequently ponded (#39 HSG A/D). Pond 2 will consist of Floridana fine sand, frequently ponded (#12, HSG C/D), Immokalee fine sand (#16, HSG B/D), and Myakka fine sand (#22, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from the proposed CR 532 pond, which has a control elevation of 81.50 feet. However, since the existing ground toward the back of the parcel is slightly lower, the control elevation for both ponds has been set at 81.00 feet. The site will require 14.39 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Ponds 1 and 2 provide 10.33 ac-ft and 4.06 ac-ft, respectively, totaling 14.39 ac-ft of provided treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

5.2.2 Floodplain Compensation

This site does not intersect any floodplains. Floodplain compensation is not required.

5.2.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

The Osceola County site is located within the Lake Okeechobee BMAP, which sets limitations for Total Phosphorus loading. Nutrient loading analysis has been performed to demonstrate that the post-development condition will not exceed pre-development nutrient loads. It was determined that the wet detention ponds provide sufficient Phosphorus reduction. **Table 5-1** summarizes the results of the nutrient loading analysis for the Osceola County site. BMPTRAINS results can be found in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**.

Nutrient	Pre- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading after BMP (kg/yr)	Required Removal Efficiency	Provided Removal Efficiency
Phosphorus	7.98	15.71	3.11	49%	80%

Table 5-1: Osceola County – Site 1 Nutrient Loading Summary

5.3 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 1

5.3.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Orange County – Site 1 freight parking site is 16.30 acres and will include two (2) wet detention stormwater ponds. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to wetlands associated with Shingle Creek via three cross drains beneath John Young Parkway. The site is located within WBID 3169A – Shingle Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP for Lake Okeechobee; therefore, a nutrient loading analysis has been performed. More

information about nutrient loading analysis is available in **Section 5.3.3**. Additionally, SFWMD requires stormwater management facilities discharging to the Lake Okeechobee BMAP to meet OFW criteria; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

Pond 1 is located in the northern portion of the site along John Young Parkway and is a 3.91 acre wet detention pond. Pond 2 is located on the southern edge of the site along Sand Lake Road and is a 1.10 acre linear wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation for the ponds varies between 83.00 and 87.00 feet NAVD. The combined pond area for all ponds is 5.01 acres. According to the Orange County Soil Survey, soils in the location of Pond 2 will consist entirely of Smyrna-Smyrna, Wet, Fine Sand (#44, HSG A/D), and soils in the location of Pond 1 will be a combination of Smyrna-Smyrna, Wet, Fine Sand (#44, HSG A/D) and St. Johns Fine Sand (#37, HSG B/D).

On the southwest corner of the site, an existing wet detention pond is currently serving as the stormwater management system for portions of John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road, hereafter referred to as "John Young Pond 4". Per the calculations from the John Young Parkway Sand Lake Road Intersection permit (Permit No. 48-00123-S), this pond currently provides 1.95 ac-ft of treatment volume. Volumetric calculations are not provided in the permit for the attenuation in John Young Pond 4, but the provided attenuation volume has been estimated from the pond storage calculations to be 2.12 ac-ft. Since this pond will be removed in the proposed condition of the freight parking site, the treatment and attenuation volumes must be replaced in kind, and the proposed stormwater ponds will serve as a joint-use stormwater management facility between the freight parking site and John Young Parkway / Sand Lake Road.

The normal water/control elevation of the proposed ponds was estimated from cross drain tailwater information obtained from the John Young Parkway Sand Lake Road Intersection permit. In the existing condition, three cross drains ("CD-7", "CD-8," and "CD-9" per the permit) carry water from the wetlands on the east side of John Young Parkway (corresponding to the proposed location of the freight parking site) to the west side, discharging into wetlands associated with Shingle Creek. John Young Pond 4 currently discharges into these eastern wetlands, but these will be removed with the construction of the freight parking site. Therefore, the proposed ponds will now be forced to discharge more directly to the cross drains, and the downstream tailwater elevation of 83.50 feet NAVD was used as the control elevation / normal water elevation.

The site will require a total of 8.52 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume (including the replaced volume from John Young Pond 4). Ponds 1 and 2 provide 7.32 ac-ft and 1.35 ac-ft of storage, respectively, totaling 8.67 ac-ft of provided treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

Additionally, this site is adjacent to a new proposed off-ramp from Florida's Turnpike to Sand Lake Road (FPID #433663-1: Sand Lake Road / SR 91 Interchange), currently in the Design phase, which includes construction of stormwater treatment ponds ("Pond 1A" and "Pond 1B") on both sides of the off-ramp. Based on coordination with FDOT and Florida's Turnpike Enterprise (FTE), further evaluation of this area is needed once the final design plans for FPID #433663-1 are complete and the subsequent design phase for the truck parking site is initiated allowing more detailed design of the site plan which will facilitate further drainage analysis. As both projects move forward, more coordination will need to occur between both design teams, FDOT District 5 and FTE, to ensure that enough treatment and attenuation volume is provided to meet the requirements for both projects.

During the study phase for this project, the direction given by FDOT suggested that the FTE ponds will be constructed first, as the FTE project is nearing the end of the Design phase and is currently in the process of obtaining a permit from SFWMD (ERP #48-108222-P), and that the freight parking site and all associated ponds will be adjusted to ensure all treatment and attenuation volume requirements are met for both projects. The Phase IV plans for FPID #433663-1 show a conflict between the current freight parking site layout and the western portion of Pond 1B, which will be re-configured as a part of the Design phase of this project to avoid a conflict with the freight parking site and provide in-kind the treatment volume lost by the construction of the freight parking site. Please refer to the **Basin Maps** in **Appendix B** for the current freight parking site layout.

5.3.2 Floodplain Compensation

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the entirety of the project site lies within Zone AE of the 100-year floodplain, and the BFE of the site has been determined to be at the elevation of 87 feet. Volumetric floodplain impacts for the truck parking site were computed by estimating the volume to be filled between the higher of either the seasonal high water table or existing ground elevation and the 100-year flood elevation, as the finished floor elevation of the truck site will be above the 100-year flood elevation. This was done by measuring the existing ground area that lies at a contour elevation (using data obtained from LiDAR) and multiplying by the height differential to the 100-year flood elevation. The seasonal high water table elevation for the site was estimated at elevation 84.50 feet NAVD using SHW information taken from the Turnpike widening permit (Permit No. 48-01443-P). Orange County – Site 1 was determined to have 19.22 ac-ft of floodplain impacts. Additionally, construction of the freight parking site will remove 5.40 ac-ft of floodplain impacts.

Floodplain compensation volume will be provided within the stormwater ponds as they will be hydraulically connected to the 100-year floodplain. Compensation is provided between the normal water elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. Ponds 1 and 2 provide 7.56 ac-ft and 1.40 ac-ft of compensation volume, respectively, totaling 8.96 ac-ft of provided floodplain compensation volume. Additionally, there is excess compensation volume provided in both the existing Turnpike FPC (0.88 ac-ft) and in the existing John Young Parkway ponds (3.76 ac-ft). Please refer to the **Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations** located in **Appendix F** and the **Existing Permit Information** located in **Appendix I**. Additional floodplain discussion is provided in the Location Hydraulics Report, prepared under a separate cover.

A preliminary estimate of the potential rise of the BFE was performed by dividing the remaining floodplain impact volume to be compensated (11.02 ac-ft) by the total area of the impacted

floodplain (288.43 acres) uninhibited by any constrictions. The resulting potential rise in the flood stage was found to be 0.038 ft. Please refer to **Figure 2-7** in **Appendix A**.

In the design phase of this project, a hydraulic floodplain model can be developed to show that any uncompensated volume will not result in a significant rise in the base flood elevation, as the floodplain boundary associated with Shingle Creek is extensive in this location. Alternatively, flood volume could be compensated for within Ponds 1A and 1B of the Turnpike/Sand Lake Road Intersection project. Finally, the freight parking site layout could be adjusted to reduce the parking site and expand the pond sites to reduce impact volume and increase pond storage capacity/compensation volume.

5.3.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

Orange County – Site 1 is located within the Lake Okeechobee BMAP, which sets limitations for Total Phosphorus loading. Nutrient loading analysis has been performed to demonstrate that the post-development condition will not exceed pre-development nutrient loads. It was determined that the wet detention ponds provide sufficient Phosphorus reduction. **Table 5-2** summarizes the results of the nutrient loading analysis for this site. BMPTRAINS results can be found in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**.

Nutrient	Pre- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading after BMP (kg/yr)	Required Removal Efficiency	Provided Removal Efficiency
Phosphorus	2.83	7.20	1.69	61%	77%

Table 5-2: Orange County – Site 1 Nutrient Loading Summary

5.4 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 2

5.4.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Orange County – Site 2 freight parking site is 6.82 acres and will include two (2) wet detention stormwater ponds. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to the SR 528 storm sewer system to the east, which ultimately discharges into the Lake Christie Outlet Canal to the north as runoff from this SR 528 basin is untreated. The site is located within WBID 3169A – Shingle Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP for Lake Okeechobee; therefore, a nutrient loading analysis has been performed. More information about nutrient loading analysis is available in **Section 5.4.3**. Additionally, SFWMD requires stormwater management facilities discharging to the Lake Okeechobee BMAP to meet OFW criteria; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

Pond 1 is located in the northeast corner of the site and is a 0.80 acre wet detention pond. Pond 2 is located along the western parcel lines and is a 0.64 acre rectangular wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation for both ponds is approximately 98.00 feet NAVD. The combined pond area for both ponds is 1.44 acres. According to the Orange County Soil Survey, soils on both ponds locations will consist of Smyrna Fine Sand (#45, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from Geotech information obtained from a recent SFWMD permit application (Permit Application No. 220504-34304 for Orange Blossom Storage Center) that is located on the proposed pond site, which was determined to be 94.50 feet NAVD. The control elevation for Ponds 1 and 2 has been set at 94.50 feet to match. The site will require 1.35 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Ponds 1 and 2 provide 0.72 ac-ft and 0.76 ac-ft of storage, respectively, totaling 1.48 ac-ft of provided treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

5.4.2 Floodplain Compensation

There are no anticipated floodplain impacts associated with this site; therefore, no floodplain compensation is necessary.

5.4.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

Orange County – Site 2 is located within the Lake Okeechobee BMAP, which sets limitations for Total Phosphorus loading. Nutrient loading analysis has been performed to demonstrate that the post-development condition will not exceed pre-development nutrient loads. It was determined that the wet detention ponds provide sufficient Phosphorus reduction. **Table 5-3** summarizes the results of the nutrient loading analysis for this site. BMPTRAINS results can be found in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**.

Nutrient	Pre- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading after BMP (kg/yr)	Required Removal Efficiency	Provided Removal Efficiency
Phosphorus	4.64	3.80	1.54	0%	59%

Table 5-3: Orange County – Site 2 Nutrient Loading Summary

5.5 ORANGE COUNTY – SITE 4

5.5.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Orange County – Site 4 freight parking site is 4.86 acres and will include three (3) dry retention stormwater ponds. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to the Landstreet Road storm sewer system. The site is located within WBID 3168B – Boggy Creek, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP area for Lake Okeechobee; therefore, a nutrient loading analysis has been performed. More information about nutrient loading analysis is available in **Section 5.5.3**. Additionally, SFWMD requires stormwater management facilities discharging to the Lake Okeechobee BMAP to meet OFW criteria; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

Pond 1 is located in the southeast corner of the site and is a 0.07 acre dry retention pond. Pond 2 is located along the northern parcel line and is a 0.49 acre dry retention pond. Pond 3 is located in the southwest corner of the site and is a 0.35 acre dry retention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation for all three ponds is approximately 97.00 feet NAVD. The

combined pond area for all ponds is 0.91 acres. According to the Orange County Soil Survey, soils on all ponds locations will consist of Smyrna-Smyrna, Wet, Fine Sand (#44, HSG A/D).

The seasonal high water table elevation was estimated from the normal water/control elevation obtained from a recent SFWMD permit (Permit No. 48-101958-P for Landstreet Asphalt Plant) that is located adjacent to the proposed pond site, which was constructed as 92.00 feet NAVD. The dry pond bottom elevation for Ponds 1, 2, and 3 has been set at 95.00 feet to match the bottom elevation of the dry pre-treatment swale constructed as part of the adjacent permit. The site will require 0.52 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Ponds 1, 2, and 3 provide 0.03 ac-ft, 0.29 ac-ft, and 0.25 ac-ft of storage, respectively, totaling 0.57 ac-ft of provided treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

5.5.2 Floodplain Compensation

According to the FEMA FIRMs, portions of the project intersect Zone AE of the 100-year floodplain, and the BFE of the site has been determined to be at the elevation of 96 feet. Volumetric floodplain impacts for the truck parking site were computed by estimating the volume to be filled between the existing ground and the 100-year flood elevation, as the finished floor elevation of the truck site will be above the 100-year flood elevation. This was done by measuring the existing ground area that lies at a contour elevation (using data obtained from LiDAR) and multiplying by the height differential to the 100-year flood elevation. Orange County – Site 4 was determined to have 0.42 ac-ft of floodplain impacts.

Floodplain compensation volume will be provided within the stormwater ponds as they will be hydraulically connected to the 100-year floodplain. Compensation is provided between the dry pond bottom elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. Ponds 1, 2, and 3 provide 0.03 ac-ft, 0.29 ac-ft, and 0.25 ac-ft of compensation volume, respectively, totaling 0.57 ac-ft of provided floodplain compensation volume. Please refer to the **Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations** located in **Appendix F**.

5.5.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

Orange County – Site 4 is located within the Lake Okeechobee BMAP, which sets limitations for Total Phosphorus loading. Nutrient loading analysis has been performed to demonstrate that the post-development condition will not exceed pre-development nutrient loads. It was determined that the wet detention ponds provide sufficient Phosphorus reduction. **Table 5-4** summarizes the results of the nutrient loading analysis for this site. BMPTRAINS results can be found in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**.

Nutrient	Pre- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading after BMP (kg/yr)	Required Removal Efficiency	Provided Removal Efficiency
Phosphorus	5.00	2.80	0.40	0%	86%

Table 5-4: Orange County – Site 4 Nutrient Loading Summary

5.6 SEMINOLE COUNTY – SITE 1B

5.6.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for Seminole County is 19.26 acres and will include two (2) wet detention stormwater ponds and one (1) dry detention pre-treatment pond. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to Lake Monroe via a ditch within FDOT Right of Way. The site is located within WBID 2893C – St. Johns River Above Wekiva River, which is not impaired for nutrients but is within the BMAP and TMDL areas for the Middle St. Johns River; therefore, nutrient loading analysis has been performed. More information about nutrient loading analysis is available in **Section 5.6.3**.

Parts of this site have been developed in recent years to include a new RV shop with permitted stormwater ponds. For simplification of calculations, the existing condition on this site is considered to be prior to construction of the store, and calculations from the Donnie Myers RV Permit (Permit No.'s 48756-5 and 48756-6) have been used to determine the existing conditions prior to the construction. There is also a Circle K Convenience Store located on the site, which is considered to be part of the existing condition since no permit documents are available.

Finally, the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate Project (Permit No. 22434-15) was recently permitted adjacent to this site and includes construction of a new wet detention stormwater pond (317D) on the site. Since this pond will need to be removed to accommodate the proposed freight parking, compensation has been provided for the lost pond volume. The permitted calculations indicate that the pond provides a treatment volume of 0.56 ac-ft. Volumetric calculations are not available for attenuation in the pond, but the provided attenuation volume has been estimated from the pond storage calculations and design high water elevation provided in the model to be 1.43 ac-ft. Supplemental calculations to show these estimates can be found in **Appendix D**, along with the **Pond Sizing Calculations**.

The Seminole County site has been divided into two basins to allow for different control elevations in each pond and better analyze the volumes on the site. Basin 1 is the larger basin at 13.40 acres and includes the western portion of the site and the proposed modifications to the access along School Road. Basin 2 is the smaller basin at 5.86 acres and encompasses the eastern portion of the site. Both basins will discharge to the existing FDOT ditch.

<u>Basin 1</u>

Pond 1 is located in the southwest corner of the site and is a 2.28 acre triangular wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 11.00 feet

NAVD. According to the Seminole County Soil Survey, soils on Pond 1 will consist of Felda and Manatee mucky fine sands, depressional (#15, HSG A/D), and Pineda-Pineda wet, fine sand (#25, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from an existing permitted pond (Permit No. 48756-6 for Donnie Myers RV Phase 2) that intersects the proposed pond site, which has a control elevation of 6.46 feet NAVD (converted from NGVD). The control elevation for Pond 1 has been set at 6.50 feet. The basin will require 5.38 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume, and Pond 1 provides 5.54 ac-ft. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

To achieve sufficient nutrient reduction, an additional dry retention area has been added within Basin 1. This will consist of a 0.40 acre swale located in between the parking area and School Road. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 12.00 feet NAVD, and the pond bottom will be set at 8.50 feet which is 2.00 feet above the estimated normal water elevation in the adjacent Pond 1. More information on nutrient loading analysis for this site can be found in **Section 5.6.3**.

<u>Basin 2</u>

Pond 2 is located along the eastern parcel line and is a 1.73 acre linear wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 11.00 feet NAVD. According to the Seminole County Soil Survey, soils on Pond 2 will consist of Eaugallie and Immokalee fine sands (#13, HSG A/D) and Pineda-Pineda wet, fine sand (#25, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from a recently permitted pond (Permit No. 22434-15 for I-4 BTU) that intersects the proposed pond site, which has a control elevation of 8.50 feet NAVD. The control elevation for Pond 2 has been set at 8.50 feet. The basin will require 0.78 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume, and Pond 2 provides 0.78 ac-ft. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**

5.6.2 Floodplain Compensation

This site does not intersect any floodplains. Floodplain compensation is not required.

5.6.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

The Seminole County Site is located within the Middle St. Johns River Basin BMAP, which sets limitations for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen loading. Nutrient loading analysis has been performed to demonstrate that the post-development condition will not exceed pre-development nutrient loads. During the process of performing this analysis, it was determined that the two proposed wet detention ponds could not provide sufficient Nitrogen reduction, so a small dry retention pond (0.40 acres) was added to the site to provide additional Nitrogen removal. Stage/storage calculations for the dry pond are available in **Appendix D – Pond Sizing Calculations**. **Table 5-5** summarizes the results of the nutrient loading analysis for the Seminole County site. BMPTRAINS results can be found in **Appendix E – Nutrient Loading Analysis**.

Nutrient	Pre- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post- Development Loading (kg/yr)	Post-Development Loading after BMP (kg/yr)	Required Removal Efficiency	Provided Removal Efficiency
Nitrogen	30.91	76.51	28.80	60%	62%
Phosphorus	2.20	10.07	2.01	78%	80%

 Table 5-5: Seminole County – Site 1B Nutrient Loading Summary

5.7 VOLUSIA COUNTY – SITE 1A

5.7.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Volusia County Site 1A freight parking site is 73.3 acres, of which 46.27 acres has been determined to be the contributing basin area to the pond, and will include one (1) wet detention stormwater pond. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to surrounding wetlands toward the Tomoka River. The site is located within WBID 2634 – Tomoka River and WBID 2675 – Sand Creek, both of which are not impaired for nutrients. Nutrient loading analysis is not required. Additionally, the site discharges to the Tomoka River OFW; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

This site is undeveloped, and there are no anticipated impacts to existing drainage facilities.

Pond 1 is located along the southeast parcel line and is a 7.15 acre wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 37.00 feet NAVD. According to the Volusia County Soil Survey, soils on Pond 1 will consist of Immokalee sand (#29, HSG B/D), Myakka-Myakka wet, fine sands (#32, HSG A/D), and Samsula Much (#56, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from the NRCS soils data which indicate the SHWT to be 0-1.5 feet deep within the pond area. The control elevation was set at 36.00 feet NAVD. The site will require 8.68 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Pond 1 provides 8.77 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D.**

5.7.2 Floodplain Compensation

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the entirety of the project site lies within Zone A of the 100-year floodplain. The BFE of the site has been determined to be at the elevation of 37 feet by comparing the Zone A 100-year floodplain boundaries to the 1-foot contours obtained from LiDAR data, which closely aligned. Volumetric floodplain impacts for the truck parking site were computed by estimating the volume to be filled between the higher of either the seasonal high water table or existing ground elevation and the 100-year flood elevation, as the finished floor elevation of the truck site will be above the 100-year flood elevation. This was done by measuring the existing ground area that lies at a contour elevation (using data obtained from LiDAR) and multiplying by the height differential to the 100-year flood elevation. The seasonal high water table elevation for the

site was estimated at elevation 36.00 feet NAVD using NRCS Soils information. The Volusia County Site1A freight parking site was determined to have 17.48 ac-ft of floodplain impacts.

Floodplain compensation volume will be provided within the stormwater pond as it will be hydraulically connected to the 100-year floodplain. Compensation is provided between the normal water elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. Pond 1 provides 4.26 ac-ft of compensation volume. Additionally, two (2) separate dedicated Floodplain Compensation Areas (FPCAs) were identified within the site. These are scraped down areas that are hydraulically connected to the floodplain. Compensation is provided between the SHW elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. FPCA 1 and FPCA 2 provide 0.91 ac-ft and 1.00 ac-ft of compensation, respectively. The total compensation available within the site is 6.17 acres. Please refer to the **Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations** located in **Appendix F**. Additional floodplain discussion is provided in the Location Hydraulics Report, prepared under a separate cover.

A preliminary estimate of the potential rise of the BFE was performed to show that there will be an insignificant rise in the floodplain elevation given the large extent of the floodplain boundary. This estimate was performed by dividing the remaining floodplain impact volume to be compensated (11.31 ac-ft) by the total area of the impacted floodplain (3029.00 acres) uninhibited by any constrictions. The resulting potential rise in the flood stage was found to be 0.0037 ft. Please refer to **Figure 6-7** in **Appendix A**.

In the design phase of this project, a hydraulic floodplain model can be developed to show that any uncompensated volume will not result in a significant rise in the base flood elevation, as the floodplain boundary in this location is extensive. Additionally, the freight parking site layout could be adjusted to reduce the parking site and expand the pond sites to reduce impact volume and increase pond storage capacity/compensation volume.

This site will likely compensate for the remaining floodplain impacts by utilizing a floodplain model to show a minimal rise in the 100-year floodplain, as this was the method by which the previous I-4 widening project was permitted. This will be an analysis performed during the design phase.

5.7.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

This site does not require nutrient loading analysis.

5.8 VOLUSIA COUNTY – SITE 1B

5.8.1 Stormwater Pond Evaluation

The proposed site for the Volusia County Site 1B freight parking site is 116.8 acres, of which 47.65 acres is determined to be the contributing basin area to the proposed ponds, and will include two (2) wet detention stormwater ponds. The site is located within an open basin and discharges to surrounding wetlands toward Tiger Bay. The site is located within WBID 2654 – Drainage Canals, which is not impaired for nutrients. Nutrient loading analysis is not required. Additionally, the site

discharges to the Tiger Bay OFW; therefore, an additional 50% of the required treatment volume has been provided.

This site is undeveloped, with an existing wet detention stormwater pond (I-4 Pond I) intersecting the southwest corner of the site. This pond is part of the I-4 widening project (Permit No. 64105-12). The proposed freight parking will impact this pond, which is proposed to be expanded to the southwest to jointly service both I-4 and the freight parking site in conjunction with Pond 1. The expanded Pond I will be a 6.72 acre wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 38.00 feet NAVD. According to the Volusia County Soil Survey, soils on Pond I will consist of Myakka-Myakka wet, fine sands (#32, HSG A/D), and Myakka-St. Johns Complex (#34, HSG A/D).

Pond 1 is located along the northeast parcel line and is a 3.45 acre wet detention pond. According to LIDAR data for the site, the existing ground elevation is approximately 38.00 feet NAVD. According to the Volusia County Soil Survey, soils on Pond 1 will consist of Myakka-Myakka wet, fine sands (#32, HSG A/D), and Samsula Muck, frequently ponded (#56, HSG A/D).

The normal water/control elevation was estimated from the existing I-4 Pond I, which has a control elevation of 37.90 feet. The site will require 11.71 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume. Pond I and Pond 1 provide 10.55 ac-ft and 3.08 ac-ft of treatment and attenuation volume respectively, totaling 13.64 ac-ft of provided treatment and attenuation volume. Please refer to the **Pond Sizing Calculations** located in **Appendix D**.

5.8.2 Floodplain Compensation

According to the FEMA FIRMs, the entirety of the project site lies within Zone A of the 100-year floodplain. The BFE of the site has been determined to be at the elevation of 40 feet by comparing the Zone A 100-year floodplain boundaries to the 1-foot contours obtained from LiDAR data, which closely aligned. Volumetric floodplain impacts for the truck parking site were computed by estimating the volume to be filled between the higher of either the seasonal high water table or existing ground elevation and the 100-year flood elevation, as the finished floor elevation of the truck site will be above the 100-year flood elevation. This was done by measuring the existing ground area that lies at a contour elevation (using data obtained from LiDAR) and multiplying by the height differential to the 100-year flood elevation. The seasonal high water table elevation for the site was estimated at elevation 37.90 feet NAVD using SHW information taken from the I-4 widening permit (Permit No. 64105-12). However, an elevation of 38 feet was used to compute the floodplain impacts. The Volusia County Site 1B freight parking site was determined to have 62.75 ac-ft of floodplain impacts.

Floodplain compensation volume will be provided within the stormwater pond as it will be hydraulically connected to the 100-year floodplain. Compensation is provided between the normal water elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. Pond I and Pond 1 provide 8.39 ac-ft and 2.39 ac-ft of compensation volume, respectively, totaling 10.78 ac-ft of provided compensation volume.

Additionally, one (1) separate dedicated Floodplain Compensation Area (FPCA) was identified within the site. This is a scraped down area that is hydraulically connected to the floodplain. Compensation is provided between the SHW elevation and the 100-year floodplain elevation. FPCA 1 provides 2.75 ac-ft of compensation. The total compensation available within the site is 13.53 acres. Please refer to the **Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations** located in **Appendix F**. Additional floodplain discussion is provided in the Location Hydraulics Report, prepared under a separate cover.

A preliminary estimate of the potential rise of the BFE was performed to show that there will be an insignificant rise in the floodplain elevation given the large extent of the floodplain boundary. This estimate was performed by dividing the remaining floodplain impact volume to be compensated (49.22 ac-ft) by the total area of the impacted floodplain (11834.82 acres) uninhibited by any constrictions. The resulting potential rise in the flood stage was found to be 0.0041 ft. Please refer to **Figure 6-7** in **Appendix A**.

During the design phase of this project, a hydraulic floodplain model can be developed to show that any uncompensated volume will not result in a significant rise in the base flood elevation, as the floodplain boundary in this location is extensive. Additionally, the freight parking site layout could be adjusted to reduce the parking site and expand the pond sites to reduce impact volume and increase pond storage capacity/compensation volume.

This site will likely compensate for the remaining floodplain impacts by utilizing a floodplain model to show a minimal rise in the 100-year floodplain, as this was the method by which the previous I-4 widening project was permitted. This will be an analysis performed during the design phase.

5.8.3 Nutrient Loading Analysis

This site does not require nutrient loading analysis.

SECTION 6 ENVIRONMENTAL LOOK AROUNDS (ELAs)

Environmental Look Arounds (ELAs) provide a unique opportunity to team up with regional stakeholders to explore watershed wide stormwater needs and alternative permitting approaches for the project. Areas of potential cooperation are documented in this report for future follow up as the design moves forward.

There are currently two ongoing projects adjacent to the Osceola County site that could be utilized as a joint-use opportunity with the freight parking study. One is the CR 538 (Poinciana Parkway) Extension by CFX (Project No. 538-235), which includes a new wet detention pond (Pond 100) adjacent to the proposed freight parking site. The required pond treatment and attenuation areas could be combined, leaving a single pond servicing both projects. The other is the CR 532 widening by CFX (Project No. 538-235A), which includes a new wet detention pond (Pond 5) that overlaps the proposed freight parking site. The required pond treatment and attenuation areas could be combined, leaving a single pond servicing both projects. The other is the CR 532 widening by CFX (Project No. 538-235A), which includes a new wet detention pond (Pond 5) that overlaps the proposed freight parking site. The required pond treatment and attenuation areas could be combined, leaving a single pond servicing both projects. The joint-use opportunity is discussed further in **Section 5.2.1**.

There is currently an ongoing Florida's Turnpike project (FPID #433663-1: Sand Lake Road/SR 91 Interchange) entering design that could be utilized as a joint-use opportunity with the freight parking study for Orange County – Site 1. Proposed Pond 1B overlaps with the proposed freight parking site. The required pond treatment and attenuation areas for both projects could be combined, leaving a single pond servicing both projects. This joint-use opportunity is discussed further in **Section 5.3.1**.

There is currently an ongoing FDOT project (FPID #242592-4-52-01: I-4 BTU Segment 3) that is permitted and could be utilized as a joint-use opportunity with the freight parking study for the Seminole County site. Proposed Pond 317D overlaps with Pond 2 of the proposed freight parking site. The required pond treatment and attenuation areas could be combined, leaving a single pond servicing both projects. This joint-use opportunity is discussed further in **Section 5.6.1**.

SECTION 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Potential ponds have been sized and located within the project site limits for this PD&E study. The analysis estimates right-of-way needs using a volumetric analysis, which accounts for water quality treatment and water quantity for runoff attenuation. Please note that the estimated areas for the ponds were based on pond sizes determined from preliminary data calculations, reasonable engineering judgment, and assumptions. Pond sizes and configurations may change during final design as more detailed information on SHWT, wetland normal pool elevation, finished floor elevations, etc., become available. Please refer to **Table 7-1** for **Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements**.

Freight Parking Site	Required Treatment + Attenuation (ac-ft)	Provided Treatment + Attenuation (ac-ft)	Pond Area (ac)
Osceola County – Site 1	14.39	14.39	11.38
Orange County – Site 1	8.52	8.67	5.01
Orange County – Site 2	1.35	1.48	1.44
Orange County – Site 4	0.52	0.57	0.91
Seminole County – Site 1B	6.16	6.32	4.17
Volusia County – Site 1A	8.68	8.77	7.15
Volusia County – Site 1B	11.71	13.64	10.17
Total:	51.33	53.84	40.23

Table 7-1: Recommended Stormwater Pond Requirements

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Exhibits
- Appendix B Basin Maps
- Appendix C Pond Evaluation Matrix
- Appendix D Pond Sizing Calculations
- Appendix E Nutrient Loading Calculations
- Appendix F Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations
- Appendix G Cultural Resources Analysis
- Appendix H Desktop Contamination Analysis
- Appendix I Existing Permits & Backup Information (Excerpts)

APPENDIX A

Exhibits




Osceola County Soil Descriptions

12: Floridana fine sand frequently ponded 13: Samsula muck, frequently ponded 16: Immokalee fine sand	fine sands 19: Floridana mucky fine sand, frequently ponded 21: Immokalee sand 22: Myakka fine sand	 20: Plack and Myarka line sands, depressional 29: Parkwood loamy find sands, depressional 30: Pompano fine sand 31: Pits 	 36: Pompano fine sand 37: Pompano fine sand, frequently ponded 38: Riviera fine sand 	40: Samsula muck, frequently ponded 41: Satellite sand 45: Wabasso sand	99: Water
			40 45	37 41 16 99 3	7
		99	5 41 32 5 16 32 32	36 38 99	29 9:
Soil Group		77 13	25 21 13 25 77 25 17 19	59	25
A A/D B/D C/D Water			13 25 13 25 21 30 25 21	41 16 12 41 31 41 31 16 21 25	PROJECT AREA



Freight Parking PD&E Study

Osceola County, Florida

Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

NRCS SOILS MAP





























































Orange County, Florida

Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

Site 4

LAND USE MAP

1400





Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

4-5











fine sands





















Volusia County Soil Descriptions

complex

17: Daytona sand

27: Hontoon muck, frequently flooded

29: Immokalee sand

32: Myakka-Myakka, wet, fine sands 34: Myakka-St. Johns

49: Pomona fine sand 56: Samsula muck, frequently ponded

60: Smyrna-Smyrna, wet, fine sand

99: Water

57: Satellite sand SITE 1B PROJECT AREA 60 32 56 60 C 56 34 29 34 29 32 4 400 32 32 32 17 32 99 34 34 29 17 56 60 34 Soil Group 32



A

A/D

B/D

Water

Freight Parking PD&E Study

Volusia County, Florida

Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

NRCS SOILS MAP

56

27

17

56

32

29

57

56

32



Volusia County Land Use Urban and Built Up 1180: Residential, rural, <0.5 dwelling units per acre 1620: Sand and gravel pits 1660: Holding ponds **Upland Nonforested** 3200: Scrub and brush land 3300: Mixed upland nonforested **Upland Forests** 4110: Upland coniferous forests 4120: Longleaf pine - xeric oak 4130: Sand pine 4410: Coniferous pine Water 5300: Reservoirs Wetlands 6110: Bay swamps 6170: Mixed wetland hardwoods 6210: Cypress 6250: Hydric pine flatwoods 6300: Wetland forested mixed 6410: Freshwater marshes 6430: Wet prairies 6440: Emergent aquatic vegetation 6460: Mixed scrub-shrub wetland Transportation, Communication, and Utilities 8140: Roads and highways





Panel No. 12127C0350H Eff. Date 2/19/2014

Panel No. 12127C0363H Eff. Date 2/19/2014

600

0.5

Miles

0.25

Panel No. 12127C0525H Eff. Date 2/19/2014










Freight Parking PD&E Study

Volusia County, Florida

Financial Project ID: 447724-1-22-01

IMPACTED FEMA FLOODPLAIN MAP

Figure 6-7

APPENDIX B

Basin Maps















APPENDIX C

Pond Evaluation Matrix



I-4 Truck Parking PD&E Study

SUMMARY OF POND SITES

ENGINEERING DATA & ANALYSIS

Pond	Location	Existing Ground Elevation (ft)	Pond Type	Soil Names & Hydrologic Groups	Estimated SHWT Elevation (ft)	Lowest Allowable Site Elevation (ft)	Distance From Site Center to Pond (ft)	Estimated Allowable DHW (ft)	Estimated Allowable Treatment & Attenuation Depth (ft)	Design Storm Event	Outfall Location	Site Drainage Area Including Pond (ac)	Required Treatment & Attenuation Volume (ac-ft)	Required Pond Area (ac)
Volusia County - Site 1A	Parcel No. 621800000010	37.00	Wet Detention	Immokalee sand (HSG B/D), Samsula muck (HSG A/D), & Myakka-Myakka fine sand (HSG A/D)	36.00	40.00	400	38.00	2.00	25 yr / 24 hr (SJRWMD)	Adjacent Wetlands	46.27	8.68	7.15
Volusia County - Site 1B	Parcel No. 610100000020	38.00	Wet Detention	Myakka-Myakka fine sand (HSG A/D) & Myakka-St. Johns complex (HSG A/D)	37.90	41.40	400	40.50	2.60	25 yr / 24 hr (SJRWMD)	Adjacent Wetlands	52.18	11.71	10.17
Seminole County -	Parcel Nos. 21-19-30-511-0000-0010, 21-19-30-511-0000-0020, 21-19-30-511-0A00-0000.	Wet Detention	Pineda-Pineda fine sand (HSG A/D), Eaugallie and Immokalee fine sands	6.50	13.00	400	12.00	5.50	25 yr / 24 hr (SJRWMD)	Ditch along I-4 to Lake Monroe	13.40	5.38	2.28	
Site 1b 16-19-30-5AC-0000-026B, 16-19-30-5AC-0000-026E, 16-19-30-5AC-0000-027A, 21-19-30-511-0000-0030, 21-19-30-502-0400-0000 11.00	Wet Detention	(HSG A/D), & Felda and Manatee mucky fine sand (HSG A/D)	8.50	13.00	400	10.00	3.50	25 yr / 24 hr (SJRWMD)	Ditch along I-4 to Lake Monroe	5.86	0.78	1.57		
Orange County - Site 1	Parcel Nos. 28-23-29-0000-00-002, 29-23-29-0000-00-006	84.00	Wet Detention	Smyrna-Smyrna fine sand (HSG A/D) & St. Johns fine sand (HSG B/D)	83.50	88.00	550	86.90	3.40	25 yr / 24 hr (Orange County)	CD-7, CD-8 & CD- 9 Under John Young Parkway to Shingle Creek Wetlands	16.30	8.52	5.01
Orange County - Site 2	Parcel No. 34-23-29-7268-12-101	98.00	Wet Detention	Smyrna-Smyrna fine sand (HSG A/D)	94.50	100.00	250	98.50	4.00	25 yr / 24 hr (Orange County)	SR 528 Stormsewer System	6.82	1.35	1.44
Orange County - Site 4	Parcel No. 35-23-29-7268-11-802	97.00	Dry Retention	Smyrna-Smyrna fine sand (HSG A/D)	92.00	97.50	225	96.00	1.00	25 yr / 24 hr (Orange County)	Landstreet Road Ditch & Stormsewer System	4.86	0.52	0.91
Osceola County - Site 1	$\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:parcel_Nos.} \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0010, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0020, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0030, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0050, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0050, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0070, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0070, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0090, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0100, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0110, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0110, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0110, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0130, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0130, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0150, \\ 06-26-28-4785-0001-0150, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0073-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-000000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0070-0000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-00000-00000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0000-00000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-000000000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-0000000000, \\ 06-26-28-0000-00000000000000000000000000$	82.00	Wet Detention	Immokalee sand (HSG B/D), Myakka fine sand (HSG A/D), & Riviera fine sand (HSG A/D)	81.00	84.50	1100	83.00	2.00	10 yr / 72 hr (Osceola County)	Adjacent Wetlands	40.11	14.39	11.38





I-4 Truck Parking PD&E Study

SUMMARY OF POND SITES

Pond	Site Floodplain Impacts (ac-ft)	FEMA Floodzone	Arch. / Historical Impact Potential	Wetland Impacts (ac)	Environmental Impact Risk	Threatened or Endangered Species Impacts	Hazardous Materials & Contamination Potential	Major Utility Conflict Potential (Y/N)	Existing L
Volusia County - Site 1A	17.48	A	Low/Low	31.56	High	Wood Stork, Southeastern American Kestrel, and Florida Black Bear	Low	N	Upland Nonforested, Upla
Volusia County - Site 1B	62.75	A	Low/Low	29.87	High	Wood Stork, Florida Sandhill Crane, Wading Birds, Southeastern American Kestrel, and Florida Black Bear	Low	N	Upland Forests
Seminole County - Site 1b	0	x	Moderate to High	1.40	Moderate	Wood Stork, Gopher Tortoise, Florida Sandhill Crane, and Wading Birds	High	N	Urban and Builtu
Orange County - Site 1	24.62	AE	Low/Low	9.45	Moderate	American Alligator, Wood Stork, Gopher Tortoise, Florida Sandhill Crane, Wading Birds, and Southeastern American Kestrel	Low	N	Upland Forests, Urban a
Orange County - Site 2	0	x	Low/Moderate	0.00	Low	N/A	Medium	N	Urban and
Orange County - Site 4	0.42	AE	Low/Low	0.00	Low	N/A	Medium	N	Urban and
Osceola County - Site 1	0	x	High/Low	17.89	High	Sand Skink, Gopher Tortoise, Eastern Indigo Snake, Florida Burrowing Owl, Florida Pine Snake, Southeastern American Kestrel, Wading Birds, and Southern Fox Squirrel	Low	N	Cropland and Pastureland, W Dens

IMPACT ANALYSIS

Note: The potential occurrence of any listed species within each proposed pond site was valued as low, medium, or high based on FLUCFCS type, FNAI reports, and data gathered during field reviews. A determination of low was given for areas that are developed and exhibited minimal to no available habitat for listed species. A determination of medium was given for areas where suitable habitat was identified within one quarter mile of the pond site, or suboptimal habitat was observed within the pond site. A determination of high was given for direct observations of listed species, or areas with greater than one mile of contiguous suitable habitat.



Land Use
land Forests, & Wetlands
sts & Wetlands
tup & Agriculture
and Builtup & Wetlands
nd Builtup
nd Builtup
Wetlands, & Residential Low nsity

APPENDIX D

Pond Sizing Calculations

Osceola County Site 1



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Note: The proposed parking site for Osceola South impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the CR 532 widening by CFX. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond. The new proposed pond will serve as a joint use pond between CFX and FDOT.

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Total Area:	0.00 ac 40.11 ac 40.11 ac

Curve Number:

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Residential Areas (2.0 acre, 12% Impervious)	D	82	2.92 ac	239.4
Woods & Wetlands Combination	D	97	7.72 ac	748.8
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	79	29.47 ac	2328.1
		Total:	40.11 ac	3316.4

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 82.7

Runoff:				Osceola 10yr/72hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	2.09 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.89 in	6.27 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	5.84 in	4.31 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Note: The proposed parking site for Osceola South impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the CR 532 widening by CFX. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond. The new proposed pond will serve as a joint use pond between CFX and FDOT.

PROPOSED CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area :	3.86 ac		
	Water Surface Area:	7.52 ac V	Vet Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
	Total Pond Area:	11.39 ac		
Total Area:	Impervious Area:	18.63 ac		
	Pervious Area:	13.96 ac		
	Water Surface Area:	7.52 ac		
	Total Area:	40.11 ac		

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	18.63 ac	1825.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries,	D	80	10 10 20	807.6
etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	00	10.10 ac	007.0
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	7.52 ac	752.4
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries,	D	80	2 96 00	308.0
etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	5.00 ac	508.9
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	40.11 ac	3694.6

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 92.1

Runoff:				Osceola 10yr/72hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.86 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.89 in	6.27 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.95 in	5.35 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Note: The proposed parking site for Osceola South impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the CR 532 widening by CFX. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond. The new proposed pond will serve as a joint use pond between CFX and FDOT. **POND SIZING**

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Selection cintena		
Permitting Agency	SFWMD	
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention	
Online/Offline	Online	1
OFW	Yes	(Lake Okeechobee BMAP)
Open/Closed Basin	Open]

Wat Datantian	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	s = 3.88 ac-ft		
Wet Detention	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	3.34 ac-ft		

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 3.88 ac-ft

Additional 50% Trt. Vol. (OFW) = 1.94 ac-ft

Compensate for Treatment from CR 532 Pond 5 = <u>1.25 ac-ft</u> Total Required Treatment Volume = 7.07 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)	Osceola 10yr/72hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	19.50 ac-ft	14.40 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	23.22 ac-ft	17.88 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	3.72 ac-ft	3.47 ac-ft	
ΔQ from CR 532 Pond 5 =	3.60 ac-ft	3.60 ac-ft	
Total ΔQ =	7.32 ac-ft	7.07 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 7.32 ac-ft (use largest value)



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Note: The proposed parking site for Osceola South impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the CR 532 widening by CFX. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond. The new proposed pond will serve as a joint use pond between CFX and FDOT.





DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1

POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Note: The proposed parking site for Osceola South impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the CR 532 widening by CFX. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond. The new proposed pond will serve as a joint use pond between CFX and FDOT.

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 1

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
82.00	Pond Tie-Down	7.23 ac	
85.00	Back of Main. Berm	6.61 ac	21.98 ac-ft
84.00	Front of Main. Berm	5.64 ac	15.86 ac-ft
83.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	5.40 ac	10.33 ac-ft
83.00	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	5.40 ac	10.33 ac-ft
82.97	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	5.40 ac	10.15 ac-ft
82.01	Top of Treatment Vol.	5.17 ac	5.08 ac-ft
81.00	Normal Water Level	4.93 ac	0.00 ac-ft
79.00		4.47 ac	
75.00	Pond Bottom	4.02 ac	

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 2

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
82.00	Pond Tie-Down	3.12 ac	
85.00	Back of Main. Berm	2.76 ac	8.79 ac-ft
84.00	Front of Main. Berm	2.27 ac	6.27 ac-ft
83.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	2.15 ac	4.06 ac-ft
83.00	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	2.15 ac	4.06 ac-ft
82.97	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	2.15 ac	3.99 ac-ft
82.01	Top of Treatment Vol.	2.03 ac	1.98 ac-ft
81.00	Normal Water Level	1.91 ac	0.00 ac-ft
79.00		1.68 ac	
75.00	Pond Bottom	1.45 ac	

POND STAGE/STORAGE TOTALS

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
82.00	Pond Tie-Down	10.35 ac		
85.00	Back of Main. Berm	9.37 ac	30.77 ac-ft	
84.00	Front of Main. Berm	7.91 ac	22.13 ac-ft	
83.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	7.55 ac	14.39 ac-ft	
83.00	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	7.55 ac	14.39 ac-ft	о
82.97	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	7.55 ac	14.14 ac-ft	о
82.01	Top of Treatment Vol.	7.20 ac	7.07 ac-ft	о
81.00	Normal Water Level	6.84 ac	0.00 ac-ft	

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 14.39 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 83.00 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 14.14 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 82.97 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Pond 1 Provided Pond R/W =	7.95 ac
Pond 2 Provided Pond R/W =	3.43 ac
Total Provided Pond R/W =	11.38 ac

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 14.39 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 83.00 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 82.01 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 7.07 ac-ft ok



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: DLD Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : CR 532 Pond 5 (Supplemental Calculations)

Note: These supplemental calculations serve to estimate the attenuation volume in the proposed CR 532 Pond 5. The drainage report for this pond does not include volumetric attenuation calculations.

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - CR 532 POND 5

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
84.00	Back of Main. Berm	3.11 ac		
84.00	Front of Main. Berm	2.78 ac	6.56 ac-ft	
83.00	Provided Att.Vol	2.65 ac	3.84 ac-ft	
82.91	Req'd Att. Vol	2.64 ac	3.60 ac-ft	<use as="" attenuation="" required="" td="" vol.<=""></use>
82.91	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	2.64 ac	3.60 ac-ft	<use as="" required="" sewer<="" storm="" td=""></use>
82.00	Top of Treatment Vol.	2.53 ac	1.25 ac-ft	attenuation vol.
81.50	Normal Water Level	2.46 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
79.50		2.20 ac		
69.50	Pond Bottom	0.92 ac		

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Davenport, Florida, USA* Latitude: 28.2587°, Longitude: -81.5528° Elevation: 83.03 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF tabular | PF graphical | Maps & aerials

PF tabular

PDS-I	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹									
Duration				Average I	recurrence	interval (y	ears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.477 (0.396-0.576)	0.544 (0.450-0.657)	0.647 (0.534-0.785)	0.729 (0.598-0.889)	0.835 (0.656-1.05)	0.911 (0.700-1.17)	0.984 (0.727-1.31)	1.05 (0.743-1.45)	1.14 (0.769-1.62)	1.19 (0.789-1.75)
10-min	0.699 (0.580-0.843)	0.796 (0.659-0.961)	0.948 (0.782-1.15)	1.07 (0.875-1.30)	1.22 (0.960-1.54)	1.34 (1.02-1.71)	1.44 (1.07-1.91)	1.54 (1.09-2.12)	1.66 (1.13-2.38)	1.75 (1.16-2.57)
15-min	0.852 (0.707-1.03)	0.971 (0.804-1.17)	1.16 (0.954-1.40)	1.30 (1.07-1.59)	1.49 (1.17-1.87)	1.63 (1.25-2.09)	1.76 (1.30-2.33)	1.88 (1.33-2.59)	2.03 (1.37-2.90)	2.13 (1.41-3.13)
30-min	1.37 (1.14-1.66)	1.56 (1.29-1.88)	1.85 (1.53-2.24)	2.08 (1.70-2.53)	2.37 (1.86-2.98)	2.59 (1.99-3.32)	2.79 (2.06-3.70)	2.98 (2.11-4.10)	3.22 (2.18-4.59)	3.38 (2.23-4.96)
60-min	1.83 (1.51-2.20)	2.08 (1.72-2.51)	2.48 (2.05-3.00)	2.79 (2.29-3.41)	3.20 (2.52-4.02)	3.50 (2.69-4.49)	3.78 (2.79-5.01)	4.04 (2.86-5.57)	4.37 (2.96-6.24)	4.60 (3.04-6.75)
2-hr	2.28 (1.90-2.73)	2.60 (2.17-3.12)	3.11 (2.58-3.74)	3.51 (2.90-4.25)	4.03 (3.19-5.03)	4.41 (3.41-5.63)	4.77 (3.55-6.28)	5.11 (3.63-6.98)	5.52 (3.76-7.84)	5.81 (3.87-8.49)
3-hr	2.49 (2.08-2.97)	2.85 (2.38-3.41)	3.43 (2.86-4.11)	3.89 (3.22-4.69)	4.51 (3.58-5.62)	4.96 (3.85-6.32)	5.40 (4.04-7.11)	5.83 (4.17-7.97)	6.38 (4.37-9.04)	6.78 (4.52-9.86)
6-hr	2.88 (2.43-3.41)	3.29 (2.77-3.90)	3.98 (3.34-4.74)	4.57 (3.81-5.48)	5.41 (4.36-6.78)	6.08 (4.77-7.76)	6.77 (5.11-8.93)	7.48 (5.40-10.2)	8.46 (5.86-12.0)	9.22 (6.20-13.3)
12-hr	3.34 (2.84-3.93)	3.78 (3.20-4.45)	4.58 (3.87-5.42)	5.33 (4.48-6.35)	6.50 (5.32-8.21)	7.49 (5.96-9.62)	8.58 (6.56-11.4)	9.77 (7.14-13.4)	11.5 (8.03-16.3)	12.9 (8.71-18.5)
24-hr	3.83 (3.28-4.49)	4.33 (3.70-5.08)	5.31 (4.52-6.24)	6.27 (5.30-7.42)	7.81 (6.48-9.90)	9.18 (7.38-11.8)	10.7 (8.27-14.2)	12.4 (9.15-17.0)	14.9 (10.5-21.1)	17.0 (11.5-24.2)
2-day	4.37 (3.76-5.08)	4.98 (4.28-5.80)	6.17 (5.29-7.21)	7.35 (6.25-8.63)	9.23 (7.71-11.6)	10.9 (8.81-13.9)	12.7 (9.91-16.8)	14.8 (11.0-20.2)	17.8 (12.7-25.1)	20.3 (13.9-28.8)
3-day	4.78 (4.13-5.54)	5.42 (4.68-6.28)	6.67 (5.73-7.76)	7.89 (6.74-9.23)	9.86 (8.26-12.4)	11.6 (9.41-14.7)	13.5 (10.6-17.7)	15.7 (11.7-21.3)	18.8 (13.4-26.4)	21.5 (14.8-30.3)
4-day	5.16 (4.47-5.96)	5.80 (5.02-6.70)	7.04 (6.07-8.17)	8.27 (7.09-9.65)	10.2 (8.61-12.8)	12.0 (9.77-15.2)	13.9 (10.9-18.2)	16.1 (12.1-21.8)	19.3 (13.8-27.0)	22.0 (15.2-30.9)
7-day	6.19 (5.39-7.11)	6.80 (5.92-7.82)	8.02 (6.95-9.25)	9.22 (7.95-10.7)	11.2 (9.44-13.8)	12.9 (10.6-16.2)	14.8 (11.7-19.2)	17.0 (12.8-22.8)	20.2 (14.5-28.0)	22.8 (15.8-31.9)
10-day	7.10 (6.21-8.13)	7.74 (6.77-8.87)	8.98 (7.82-10.3)	10.2 (8.82-11.8)	12.1 (10.3-14.9)	13.8 (11.4-17.3)	15.7 (12.4-20.3)	17.8 (13.4-23.8)	20.9 (15.1-28.9)	23.5 (16.3-32.7)
20-day	9.71 (8.55-11.0)	10.6 (9.33-12.1)	12.2 (10.7-13.9)	13.6 (11.8-15.6)	15.6 (13.2-18.8)	17.3 (14.2-21.2)	19.1 (15.1-24.2)	21.0 (15.9-27.7)	23.7 (17.2-32.3)	25.9 (18.2-35.9)
30-day	12.0 (10.6-13.6)	13.2 (11.6-14.9)	15.1 (13.3-17.2)	16.7 (14.6-19.2)	19.0 (16.0-22.6)	20.8 (17.1-25.2)	22.6 (17.9-28.3)	24.4 (18.5-31.8)	26.9 (19.5-36.3)	28.8 (20.2-39.7)
45-day	15.0 (13.4-17.0)	16.6 (14.7-18.7)	19.1 (16.9-21.6)	21.1 (18.5-24.0)	23.7 (20.0-27.9)	25.6 (21.1-30.8)	27.5 (21.8-34.2)	29.3 (22.2-37.8)	31.6 (22.9-42.3)	33.2 (23.5-45.7)
60-day	17.8 (15.8-20.0)	19.7 (17.5-22.1)	22.6 (20.1-25.6)	25.0 (22.0-28.3)	27.9 (23.6-32.7)	30.1 (24.8-35.9)	32.0 (25.4-39.6)	33.9 (25.7-43.4)	36.1 (26.2-48.1)	37.6 (26.7-51.6)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical









Large scale aerial

Orange County Site 1



DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	0.07 ac
	Pervious Area:	16.23 ac
	Total Area:	16.30 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	0.07 ac	6.9
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)	D	89	1.42 ac	126.4
Woods; Good condition (Woods are protected from grazing and covered with forest litter and brush)	D	77	12.92 ac	994.8
Existing Lakes (Water surface)	D	100	1.89 ac	189.0
		Total:	16.30 ac	1317.1

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 80.8

Storm Orange Runoff: Sewer 25yr/24hr 10yr/24hr Precipitation (P) = 7.67 in Soil Capacity (S) = <u>1000</u> - 10 = 6.19 in 2.38 in CN Runoff (Q) = Runoff (Q) = 5.41 in 4.04 in <u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)



DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

PROPOSED CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area:	2.69 ac	
	Water Surface Area:	2.42 ac Wet Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
	Total Pond Area:	5.11 ac	
Total Area:	Impervious Area:	8.43 ac	
	Pervious Area:	5.45 ac	
	Water Surface Area:	2.42 ac	
	Total Area:	16.30 ac	

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	8.43 ac	826.1
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	2.76 ac	220.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	2.42 ac	242.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	2.69 ac	215.2
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	16.30 ac	1504.1
CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =	92.3	-		

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.84 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.67 in	6.19 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.75 in	5.29 in	



DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria		
Permitting Agency	SFWMD]
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention	1
Online/Offline	Online]
OFW	Yes	(Lake Okeechobee BMAP)
Open/Closed Basin	Open]

Wet Detention	2.50 in x Impervious Areas = 1.76 ac-ft 1.00 in x Total Basin Area = 1.36 ac-ft				
Treatment V _{reg} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 1.76 ac-ft					
OFW Criteria, Add. 50% Trt. Vol. = 0.88 ac-ft					
Additional Trt. Vol.	(John Young Pkwy Pond 4) = <u>1.95 ac-ft</u>				
Total	Required Treatment Volume = 4.58 ac-ft				

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)	Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	7.35 ac-ft	5.48 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	9.17 ac-ft	7.18 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	1.82 ac-ft	1.70 ac-ft	
ΔQ (John Young Pkwy Pond 4) =	2.12 ac-ft	1.72 ac-ft	
TOTAL ΔQ =	3.94 ac-ft	3.42 ac-ft	
·			

Attenuation V_{req} = 3.94 ac-ft (use largest value)



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study

BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2





(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Tru BASIN NAME : Orang

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Pond 1 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
84.00	Pond Tie-Down	3.55 ac	
87.90	Back of Main. Berm	3.06 ac	9.77 ac-ft
87.90	Front of Main. Berm	2.52 ac	9.77 ac-ft
87.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	2.40 ac	7.56 ac-ft
86.90	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	2.38 ac	7.32 ac-ft
86.85	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	2.38 ac	7.20 ac-ft
86.67	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	2.35 ac	6.77 ac-ft
85.41	Top of Treatment Vol.	2.18 ac	3.92 ac-ft
83.50	Normal Water Level	1.92 ac	0.00 ac-ft
81.50		1.71 ac	
77.50	Pond Bottom	1.40 ac	

Pond 2 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
87.00	Pond Tie-Down	1.10 ac		Hig
87.90	Back of Main. Berm	0.88 ac	1.90 ac-ft	
87.90	Front of Main. Berm	0.58 ac	1.90 ac-ft	
87.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.52 ac	1.40 ac-ft	
86.90	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.51 ac	1.35 ac-ft	
86.85	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.51 ac	1.33 ac-ft	
86.67	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.50 ac	1.24 ac-ft	
85.41	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.41 ac	0.66 ac-ft	
83.50	Normal Water Level	0.28 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
81.50		0.20 ac		
77.50	Pond Bottom	0.05 ac		

ligher Existing Ground Elevation



DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

POND STAGE/STORAGE TOTALS

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
Varies	Pond Tie-Down	4.65 ac		ĺ
87.90	Back of Main. Berm	3.94 ac	11.67 ac-ft	
87.90	Front of Main. Berm	3.10 ac	11.67 ac-ft	
87.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	2.92 ac	8.96 ac-ft	
86.90	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	2.90 ac	8.67 ac-ft	
86.85	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	2.89 ac	8.52 ac-ft	oł
86.67	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	2.85 ac	8.01 ac-ft	oł
85.41	Top of Treatment Vol.	2.59 ac	4.58 ac-ft	oł
83.50	Normal Water Level	2.20 ac	0.00 ac-ft	

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 8.52 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 86.85 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 8.01 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 86.67 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Pond 1 Provided Pond R/W =	3.91 ac
Pond 2 Provided Pond R/W =	1.10 ac
Total Provided Pond R/W =	5.01 ac

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 8.67 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 86.90 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 85.41 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 4.58 ac-ft



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Made by: CND Checked by: REC

DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : John Young Parkway Pond 4 (Supplemental Calculations)

Note: These supplemental calculations serve to estimate the attenuation volume in the existing John Young Parkway Pond 4. The drainage report for this pond does not include volumetric attenuation calculations.

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	6.90 ac
	Pervious Area:	0.56 ac
	Total Area:	7.46 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	6.90 ac	676.2
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	4.85 ac	388.0
Woods; Good condition (Woods are protected from grazing and covered with forest litter and brush)	D	77	1.89 ac	145.5
Existing Lakes (Water surface)	D	100	0.56 ac	56.0
		Total:	14.20 ac	1265.7

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =

89.1

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	1.22 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.67 in	6.19 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.38 in	4.93 in	



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : John Young Parkway Pond 4 (Supplemental Calculations)

Note: These supplemental calculations serve to estimate the attenuation volume in the existing John Young Parkway Pond 4. The drainage report for this pond does not include volumetric attenuation calculations.

PROPOSED CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	8.67 ac
	Pervious Area:	1.89 ac
	Total Area:	10.56 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	8.67 ac	849.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	3.64 ac	291.2
Existing Lakes (Water surface)	D	100	1.89 ac	189.0
		Total:	14.20 ac	1329.9
CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =	93.7	-		

Storm Orange Runoff: Sewer 25yr/24hr 10yr/24hr <u>1000</u> - 10 = Precipitation (P) = Soil Capacity (S) = 7.67 in 6.19 in 0.68 in CN Runoff (Q) = (P - 0.2S)² Runoff (Q) = 6.91 in 5.44 in (P + 0.8S)

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)

	Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	3.97 ac-ft	3.07 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	6.08 ac-ft	4.79 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	2.12 ac-ft	1.72 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 2.12 ac-ft (use largest value)



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: August 17, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Turnpike Basin 1 POND NAME : Turnpike Pond 1B

Note: These supplemental calculations serve to estimate the treatment volume in the existing Turnpike Pond 1B that will be impacted by the truck parking site.

EXISTING CONDITION

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - Turnpike Pond 1B Existing

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
90.00	Back of Main. Berm	5.62 ac		
89.00	Front of Main. Berm	4.88 ac		
87.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	4.60 ac	7.55 ac-ft	<existing 1.5'="" freeboard="" provided<="" td=""></existing>
86.60	Top of Treatment Vol. (Weir El.)	4.43 ac	3.48 ac-ft	<existing td="" treatment="" vol.<=""></existing>
85.80	Normal Water Level	4.28 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
83.80		3.93 ac		
78.00	Pond Bottom	2.92 ac		

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - Turnpike Pond 1B Proposed

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
90.00	Back of Main. Berm	5.27 ac		
89.00	Front of Main. Berm	4.58 ac		
87.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	4.31 ac	7.07 ac-ft	
86.65	Top of Treatment Vol. (Weir El.)	4.16 ac	3.48 ac-ft	<equivalent td="" treatment="" vol.<=""></equivalent>
85.80	Normal Water Level	4.01 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
83.80		3.69 ac		
78.00	Pond Bottom	2.76 ac		

Weir El. Increase = 0.05 ft.



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Orlando, Florida, USA* Latitude: 28.4512°, Longitude: -81.4264° Elevation: 83.05 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-b	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹									
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (y	ears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.469 (0.379-0.578)	0.540 (0.436-0.666)	0.649 (0.522-0.804)	0.735 (0.588-0.915)	0.845 (0.649-1.08)	0.923 (0.695-1.21)	0.996 (0.726-1.34)	1.06 (0.745-1.49)	1.15 (0.773-1.66)	1.20 (0.794-1.79)
10-min	0.687 (0.555-0.847)	0.790 (0.638-0.975)	0.951 (0.765-1.18)	1.08 (0.861-1.34)	1.24 (0.950-1.58)	1.35 (1.02-1.77)	1.46 (1.06-1.97)	1.56 (1.09-2.17)	1.68 (1.13-2.43)	1.76 (1.16-2.62)
15-min	0.838 (0.677-1.03)	0.964 (0.778-1.19)	1.16 (0.933-1.44)	1.31 (1.05-1.63)	1.51 (1.16-1.93)	1.65 (1.24-2.15)	1.78 (1.30-2.40)	1.90 (1.33-2.65)	2.05 (1.38-2.96)	2.15 (1.42-3.19)
30-min	1.40 (1.13-1.73)	1.60 (1.29-1.97)	1.90 (1.53-2.36)	2.14 (1.71-2.67)	2.45 (1.88-3.13)	2.67 (2.01-3.49)	2.88 (2.10-3.88)	3.07 (2.15-4.28)	3.30 (2.23-4.78)	3.46 (2.29-5.15)
60-min	1.87 (1.51-2.30)	2.14 (1.73-2.64)	2.56 (2.06-3.17)	2.89 (2.31-3.60)	3.31 (2.55-4.24)	3.61 (2.72-4.72)	3.89 (2.84-5.24)	4.15 (2.91-5.79)	4.46 (3.01-6.45)	4.67 (3.09-6.95)
2-hr	2.34 (1.90-2.86)	2.68 (2.18-3.29)	3.22 (2.61-3.96)	3.64 (2.94-4.50)	4.18 (3.23-5.30)	4.56 (3.46-5.91)	4.91 (3.60-6.57)	5.24 (3.69-7.25)	5.62 (3.82-8.07)	5.88 (3.91-8.70)
3-hr	2.54 (2.07-3.09)	2.92 (2.39-3.57)	3.53 (2.88-4.33)	4.01 (3.25-4.94)	4.64 (3.61-5.87)	5.09 (3.88-6.58)	5.51 (4.06-7.35)	5.91 (4.19-8.17)	6.40 (4.37-9.18)	6.75 (4.50-9.94)
6-hr	2.90 (2.39-3.51)	3.33 (2.74-4.04)	4.05 (3.32-4.92)	4.64 (3.79-5.68)	5.47 (4.31-6.95)	6.11 (4.71-7.91)	6.75 (5.04-9.03)	7.41 (5.31-10.3)	8.29 (5.71-11.9)	8.96 (6.02-13.1)
12-hr	3.34 (2.77-4.02)	3.79 (3.14-4.56)	4.59 (3.79-5.54)	5.32 (4.37-6.47)	6.44 (5.17-8.26)	7.38 (5.77-9.61)	8.39 (6.34-11.3)	9.49 (6.88-13.2)	11.1 (7.71-15.9)	12.3 (8.33-17.9)
24-hr	3.82 (3.19-4.56)	4.31 (3.60-5.16)	5.27 (4.38-6.32)	6.19 (5.13-7.47)	7.67 (6.24-9.87)	8.96 (7.09-11.7)	10.4 (7.93-14.0)	12.0 (8.77-16.6)	14.3 (10.1-20.5)	16.2 (11.0-23.4)
2-day	4.33 (3.65-5.14)	4.96 (4.17-5.89)	6.15 (5.16-7.33)	7.31 (6.10-8.76)	9.14 (7.50-11.7)	10.7 (8.56-13.9)	12.5 (9.62-16.7)	14.5 (10.7-20.0)	17.3 (12.3-24.7)	19.7 (13.5-28.3)
3-day	4.76 (4.03-5.62)	5.44 (4.60-6.43)	6.73 (5.67-7.98)	7.96 (6.67-9.50)	9.92 (8.16-12.6)	11.6 (9.29-15.0)	13.5 (10.4-17.9)	15.6 (11.5-21.4)	18.6 (13.2-26.4)	21.1 (14.5-30.1)
4-day	5.15 (4.37-6.06)	5.85 (4.96-6.89)	7.16 (6.06-8.48)	8.43 (7.08-10.0)	10.4 (8.60-13.2)	12.2 (9.75-15.6)	14.1 (10.9-18.6)	16.2 (12.0-22.1)	19.2 (13.7-27.2)	21.8 (15.0-31.0)
7-day	6.22 (5.31-7.28)	6.92 (5.90-8.11)	8.23 (7.00-9.69)	9.49 (8.03-11.2)	11.5 (9.52-14.4)	13.2 (10.6-16.8)	15.1 (11.7-19.8)	17.2 (12.8-23.3)	20.2 (14.5-28.4)	22.7 (15.8-32.2)
10-day	7.17 (6.15-8.37)	7.91 (6.78-9.24)	9.27 (7.92-10.9)	10.5 (8.96-12.4)	12.5 (10.4-15.6)	14.2 (11.5-18.0)	16.1 (12.6-21.0)	18.1 (13.6-24.5)	21.1 (15.1-29.4)	23.5 (16.3-33.2)
20-day	9.93 (8.59-11.5)	10.9 (9.45-12.7)	12.7 (10.9-14.7)	14.2 (12.1-16.6)	16.3 (13.6-19.9)	18.1 (14.6-22.5)	19.9 (15.6-25.5)	21.8 (16.3-29.0)	24.4 (17.6-33.6)	26.5 (18.6-37.1)
30-day	12.4 (10.7-14.3)	13.6 (11.8-15.8)	15.7 (13.6-18.2)	17.5 (15.0-20.4)	19.9 (16.5-24.0)	21.7 (17.6-26.7)	23.5 (18.4-29.9)	25.3 (19.0-33.4)	27.7 (20.0-37.9)	29.5 (20.8-41.3)
45-day	15.6 (13.6-17.9)	17.2 (15.0-19.8)	19.8 (17.2-22.9)	21.9 (18.9-25.4)	24.6 (20.4-29.4)	26.5 (21.6-32.4)	28.4 (22.3-35.7)	30.1 (22.7-39.3)	32.3 (23.4-43.7)	33.8 (23.9-47.0)
60-day	18.5 (16.2-21.1)	20.4 (17.9-23.4)	23.4 (20.4-27.0)	25.8 (22.3-29.8)	28.7 (23.9-34.1)	30.8 (25.1-37.3)	32.7 (25.7-40.9)	34.4 (25.9-44.7)	36.4 (26.4-49.0)	37.7 (26.7-52.3)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical



Large scale terrain





Large scale aerial

Orange County Site 2



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	5.79 ac
	Pervious Area:	1.02 ac
	Total Area:	6.82 ac

Curve Number:

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Commercial & business (85% impervious)	D	95	6.82 ac	647.4
Total:				647.4
CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =	95.0	-		

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.53 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.72 in	6.23 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	7.12 in	5.64 in	


DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

PROPOSED CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Pervious Pond Area :	1.20 ac		
Water Surface Area:	0.23 ac	Wet Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
Total Pond Area:	1.43 ac	_	
Impervious Area:	4.33 ac		
Pervious Area:	2.25 ac		
Water Surface Area:	0.23 ac		
Total Area:	6.82 ac	_	
	Pervious Pond Area : Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area: Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area: Total Area:	Pervious Pond Area :1.20 acWater Surface Area:0.23 acTotal Pond Area:1.43 acImpervious Area:2.25 acPervious Area:0.23 acWater Surface Area:0.23 acTotal Area:6.82 ac	Pervious Pond Area : Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area: Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area: Total Area: 1.20 ac 0.23 ac Wet Pond 1.43 ac 4.33 ac 2.25 ac 0.23 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	4.33 ac	424.3
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	1.06 ac	84.4
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	0.23 ac	23.1
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	1.20 ac	95.9
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	6.82 ac	627.8

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 92.1

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.86 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.72 in	6.23 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)</u> ² (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.78 in	5.31 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria		
Permitting Agency	SFWMD]
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention	1
Online/Offline	Online]
OFW	Yes	(Lake Okeechobee BMAP)
Open/Closed Basin	Open	

Wat Datantian	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	0.90 ac-ft
wet Detention	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	0.57 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 0.90 ac-ft OFW Critereia, Add. 50% Trt. Vol. = 0.45 ac-ft Total Required Treatment Volume = 1.35 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)

	Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	4.04 ac-ft	3.20 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	3.85 ac-ft	3.01 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	-0.19 ac-ft	-0.19 ac-ft	

Attenuation $V_{req} = 0.00 \text{ ac-ft}$ (use largest value)





DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 1

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
98.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.72 ac	
100.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.56 ac	1.25 ac-ft
99.50	Front of Main. Berm	0.33 ac	1.03 ac-ft
98.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.28 ac	0.72 ac-ft
98.26	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.27 ac	0.65 ac-ft
97.88	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.25 ac	0.56 ac-ft
98.26	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.27 ac	0.65 ac-ft
94.50	Normal Water Level	0.08 ac	0.00 ac-ft
92.50		0.04 ac	
88.50	Pond Bottom	0.01 ac	

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 2

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
98.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.58 ac	
100.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.45 ac	1.21 ac-ft
99.50	Front of Main. Berm	0.28 ac	1.03 ac-ft
98.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.25 ac	0.76 ac-ft
98.26	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.24 ac	0.70 ac-ft
97.88	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.23 ac	0.61 ac-ft
98.26	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.24 ac	0.70 ac-ft
94.50	Normal Water Level	0.13 ac	0.00 ac-ft
92.50		0.08 ac	
88.50	Pond Bottom	0.04 ac	

POND STAGE/STORAGE TOTALS

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
98.00	Pond Tie-Down	1.30 ac	
100.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.01 ac	2.46 ac-ft
99.50	Front of Main. Berm	0.61 ac	2.05 ac-ft
98.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.53 ac	1.48 ac-ft
98.26	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.51 ac	1.35 ac-ft
97.88	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.48 ac	1.16 ac-ft
98.26	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.51 ac	1.35 ac-ft
94.50	Normal Water Level	0.21 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 1.35 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 98.26 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 1.16 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 97.88 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Pond 1 Provided Pond R/W = Pond 2 Provided Pond R/W =	0.80 ac 0.64 ac
Total Provided Pond R/W =	1.44 ac

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 1.48 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 98.50 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 98.26 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 1.35 ac-ft ok



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Orlando, Florida, USA* Latitude: 28.437°, Longitude: -81.4019° Elevation: 96.85 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹										
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (y	vears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.470 (0.379-0.586)	0.540 (0.436-0.674)	0.650 (0.523-0.814)	0.736 (0.589-0.926)	0.846 (0.650-1.09)	0.924 (0.696-1.22)	0.997 (0.727-1.35)	1.07 (0.746-1.49)	1.15 (0.774-1.66)	1.20 (0.795-1.79)
10-min	0.688 (0.555-0.857)	0.791 (0.638-0.987)	0.952 (0.766-1.19)	1.08 (0.862-1.36)	1.24 (0.952-1.60)	1.35 (1.02-1.78)	1.46 (1.06-1.98)	1.56 (1.09-2.18)	1.68 (1.13-2.43)	1.76 (1.16-2.62)
15-min	0.839 (0.677-1.05)	0.965 (0.779-1.20)	1.16 (0.934-1.45)	1.31 (1.05-1.65)	1.51 (1.16-1.95)	1.65 (1.24-2.17)	1.78 (1.30-2.41)	1.90 (1.33-2.66)	2.05 (1.38-2.97)	2.15 (1.42-3.19)
30-min	1.40 (1.13-1.75)	1.60 (1.29-2.00)	1.91 (1.53-2.38)	2.15 (1.72-2.70)	2.45 (1.89-3.17)	2.68 (2.02-3.52)	2.88 (2.10-3.90)	3.08 (2.15-4.31)	3.31 (2.23-4.79)	3.47 (2.29-5.16)
60-min	1.87 (1.51-2.33)	2.15 (1.73-2.68)	2.57 (2.07-3.22)	2.90 (2.32-3.65)	3.32 (2.55-4.28)	3.62 (2.73-4.76)	3.90 (2.84-5.28)	4.16 (2.91-5.82)	4.47 (3.01-6.46)	4.67 (3.09-6.95)
2-hr	2.34 (1.90-2.90)	2.69 (2.19-3.34)	3.23 (2.62-4.02)	3.65 (2.94-4.57)	4.19 (3.24-5.36)	4.57 (3.46-5.96)	4.92 (3.61-6.61)	5.24 (3.69-7.28)	5.62 (3.81-8.08)	5.88 (3.91-8.68)
3-hr	2.54 (2.07-3.14)	2.94 (2.39-3.62)	3.55 (2.88-4.39)	4.03 (3.25-5.01)	4.65 (3.61-5.94)	5.10 (3.88-6.64)	5.52 (4.06-7.40)	5.92 (4.19-8.20)	6.40 (4.36-9.18)	6.74 (4.50-9.92)
6-hr	2.92 (2.39-3.57)	3.35 (2.75-4.11)	4.06 (3.32-5.00)	4.66 (3.79-5.77)	5.49 (4.32-7.04)	6.13 (4.71-8.00)	6.77 (5.04-9.10)	7.43 (5.31-10.3)	8.31 (5.72-11.9)	8.97 (6.03-13.1)
12-hr	3.36 (2.78-4.09)	3.81 (3.14-4.64)	4.61 (3.79-5.63)	5.35 (4.37-6.57)	6.47 (5.18-8.37)	7.42 (5.78-9.73)	8.44 (6.36-11.4)	9.54 (6.91-13.3)	11.1 (7.76-16.0)	12.4 (8.39-18.0)
24-hr	3.84 (3.19-4.64)	4.34 (3.60-5.25)	5.30 (4.39-6.43)	6.23 (5.13-7.61)	7.72 (6.26-10.0)	9.03 (7.12-11.9)	10.5 (7.98-14.1)	12.1 (8.84-16.8)	14.4 (10.2-20.7)	16.4 (11.2-23.6)
2-day	4.35 (3.64-5.22)	4.99 (4.17-5.99)	6.20 (5.16-7.48)	7.37 (6.10-8.93)	9.22 (7.52-11.9)	10.8 (8.59-14.1)	12.6 (9.67-16.9)	14.6 (10.7-20.2)	17.5 (12.4-24.9)	19.9 (13.6-28.5)
3-day	4.77 (4.01-5.71)	5.46 (4.58-6.54)	6.76 (5.65-8.12)	8.01 (6.66-9.67)	9.98 (8.16-12.8)	11.7 (9.30-15.2)	13.6 (10.4-18.1)	15.7 (11.6-21.6)	18.7 (13.3-26.5)	21.2 (14.6-30.3)
4-day	5.16 (4.35-6.16)	5.87 (4.93-7.00)	7.19 (6.03-8.61)	8.46 (7.05-10.2)	10.5 (8.58-13.4)	12.2 (9.73-15.8)	14.1 (10.9-18.8)	16.2 (12.0-22.3)	19.3 (13.8-27.3)	21.8 (15.1-31.1)
7-day	6.23 (5.27-7.38)	6.93 (5.86-8.23)	8.26 (6.96-9.83)	9.52 (7.98-11.4)	11.5 (9.47-14.6)	13.2 (10.6-17.0)	15.1 (11.7-20.0)	17.2 (12.8-23.5)	20.3 (14.5-28.5)	22.8 (15.8-32.3)
10-day	7.18 (6.09-8.48)	7.92 (6.71-9.36)	9.29 (7.85-11.0)	10.6 (8.89-12.6)	12.6 (10.4-15.8)	14.3 (11.5-18.2)	16.1 (12.5-21.2)	18.2 (13.6-24.6)	21.1 (15.2-29.5)	23.5 (16.4-33.2)
20-day	9.92 (8.48-11.6)	10.9 (9.34-12.8)	12.7 (10.8-14.9)	14.2 (12.0-16.8)	16.3 (13.4-20.1)	18.1 (14.5-22.7)	19.9 (15.5-25.7)	21.8 (16.3-29.1)	24.4 (17.6-33.7)	26.5 (18.6-37.2)
30-day	12.3 (10.6-14.4)	13.6 (11.7-15.9)	15.7 (13.4-18.5)	17.5 (14.8-20.6)	19.8 (16.3-24.2)	21.7 (17.4-26.9)	23.5 (18.3-30.0)	25.3 (19.0-33.5)	27.7 (20.0-37.9)	29.6 (20.8-41.3)
45-day	15.6 (13.4-18.1)	17.2 (14.8-20.1)	19.8 (17.0-23.2)	21.9 (18.6-25.7)	24.5 (20.2-29.6)	26.5 (21.4-32.6)	28.3 (22.1-35.9)	30.1 (22.6-39.5)	32.3 (23.3-43.8)	33.8 (23.9-47.1)
60-day	18.4 (15.9-21.4)	20.4 (17.6-23.7)	23.4 (20.1-27.3)	25.7 (22.0-30.1)	28.7 (23.6-34.4)	30.7 (24.8-37.6)	32.6 (25.5-41.1)	34.4 (25.8-44.8)	36.4 (26.3-49.1)	37.7 (26.7-52.3)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical



Large scale terrain



Large scale map Gainesville Palm Coast Florida 75 Orlando Melbourne Tampa Lakeland 19 Palm Bay +St Petersburg _ Port St Lucie 1<u>00km</u> 60mi

Large scale aerial

Orange County Site 4



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	4.59 ac
	Pervious Area:	0.27 ac
	Total Area:	4.86 ac

Curve Number:

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.	D	98	0.44 ac	43.1
Impervious areas; Gravel including right-of-way	D	91	4.15 ac	377.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	0.27 ac	21.6
		Total:	4.86 ac	442.4
CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =	91.0	-		

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.99 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.73 in	6.24 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.66 in	5.19 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

PROPOSED CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area: Water Surface Area:	0.92 ac 0.00 ac	Dry Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
	Total Pond Area:	0.92 ac		
Total Area:	Impervious Area:	3.13 ac		
	Pervious Area:	1.73 ac		
	Water Surface Area:	0.00 ac	_	
	Total Area:	4.86 ac		

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	3.13 ac	306.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	0.81 ac	64.8
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	0.92 ac	73.6
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	4.86 ac	445.1
CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area =	91.6	-		

Runoff:				Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.92 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.73 in	6.24 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.73 in	5.26 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Sol	lection	critoria
Jei	iection	Cillena

Ocicotion ontena		
Permitting Agency	SFWMD	
StormW.Mgmt.	Dry Retention	
Online/Offline	Online	
OFW	Yes	(Lake Okeechobee BMAP)
Open/Closed Basin	Open	

Dry Retention	1.25 in	x Impervious Areas =	0.33 ac-ft
	0.50 in	x Total Basin Area =	0.20 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 0.33 ac-ft

OFW Criteria, Add. 50% Trt. Vol. = 0.16 ac-ft

Total Required Treatment Volume = 0.49 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)

	Orange 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	2.70 ac-ft	2.10 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	2.72 ac-ft	2.13 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	0.03 ac-ft	0.03 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 0.03 ac-ft (use largest value)



Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3





Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

Pond 1 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
97.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.06 ac	
97.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.05 ac	0.07 ac-ft
97.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.05 ac	0.07 ac-ft
96.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.03 ac	0.03 ac-ft
96.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.03 ac	0.03 ac-ft
95.92	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.03 ac	0.02 ac-ft
95.92	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.03 ac	0.02 ac-ft
95.87	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.03 ac	0.02 ac-ft
95.00	Pond Bottom	0.02 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Pond 2 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
97.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.45 ac	
97.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.43 ac	0.67 ac-ft
97.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.43 ac	0.67 ac-ft
96.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.34 ac	0.29 ac-ft
96.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.34 ac	0.29 ac-ft
95.92	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.33 ac	0.27 ac-ft
95.92	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.33 ac	0.27 ac-ft
95.87	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.33 ac	0.25 ac-ft
95.00	Pond Bottom	0.25 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Pond 3 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
97.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.33 ac	
97.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.32 ac	0.55 ac-ft
97.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.32 ac	0.55 ac-ft
96.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.27 ac	0.25 ac-ft
96.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.27 ac	0.25 ac-ft
95.92	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.27 ac	0.23 ac-ft
95.92	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.27 ac	0.23 ac-ft
95.87	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.27 ac	0.22 ac-ft
95.00	Pond Bottom	0.23 ac	0.00 ac-ft



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

POND STAGE/STORAGE TOTALS

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
97.00	Pond Tie-Down	0.84 ac		
97.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.79 ac	1.29 ac-ft	
97.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.79 ac	1.29 ac-ft	
96.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.64 ac	0.57 ac-ft	
96.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.64 ac	0.57 ac-ft	
95.92	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.63 ac	0.52 ac-ft	ok
95.92	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.63 ac	0.52 ac-ft	ok
95.87	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.62 ac	0.49 ac-ft	ok
95.00	Pond Bottom	0.50 ac	0.00 ac-ft	

0.91 ac

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 0.52 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 95.92 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 0.52 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 95.92 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Pond 1 Provided Pond R/W =	0.07 ac
Pond 2 Provided Pond R/W =	0.49 ac
Pond 3 Provided Pond R/W =	0.35 ac

Total Provided Pond R/W =

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 0.57 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 96.00 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 95.87 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 0.49 ac-ft



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Orlando, Florida, USA* Latitude: 28.4367°, Longitude: -81.3817° Elevation: 94.9 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹										
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (y	vears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.469 (0.379-0.588)	0.540 (0.436-0.678)	0.650 (0.523-0.818)	0.736 (0.589-0.931)	0.846 (0.650-1.10)	0.925 (0.697-1.22)	0.998 (0.727-1.36)	1.07 (0.747-1.49)	1.15 (0.774-1.66)	1.20 (0.796-1.79)
10-min	0.687 (0.555-0.861)	0.790 (0.638-0.992)	0.951 (0.765-1.20)	1.08 (0.862-1.36)	1.24 (0.952-1.60)	1.35 (1.02-1.79)	1.46 (1.07-1.98)	1.56 (1.09-2.19)	1.68 (1.13-2.43)	1.76 (1.17-2.62)
15-min	0.837 (0.677-1.05)	0.964 (0.778-1.21)	1.16 (0.934-1.46)	1.31 (1.05-1.66)	1.51 (1.16-1.96)	1.65 (1.24-2.18)	1.78 (1.30-2.42)	1.90 (1.33-2.67)	2.05 (1.38-2.97)	2.15 (1.42-3.20)
30-min	1.40 (1.13-1.76)	1.60 (1.29-2.01)	1.91 (1.54-2.40)	2.15 (1.72-2.72)	2.46 (1.89-3.19)	2.68 (2.02-3.54)	2.89 (2.11-3.92)	3.08 (2.16-4.32)	3.32 (2.24-4.80)	3.48 (2.30-5.17)
60-min	1.87 (1.51-2.35)	2.15 (1.73-2.70)	2.57 (2.07-3.24)	2.91 (2.33-3.68)	3.33 (2.56-4.31)	3.63 (2.73-4.79)	3.91 (2.85-5.30)	4.17 (2.92-5.84)	4.47 (3.02-6.47)	4.68 (3.09-6.96)
2-hr	2.34 (1.91-2.92)	2.70 (2.19-3.36)	3.24 (2.62-4.05)	3.66 (2.95-4.61)	4.20 (3.24-5.40)	4.58 (3.47-6.00)	4.93 (3.61-6.64)	5.25 (3.70-7.30)	5.63 (3.82-8.09)	5.88 (3.91-8.69)
3-hr	2.55 (2.08-3.16)	2.94 (2.40-3.65)	3.56 (2.89-4.43)	4.04 (3.26-5.06)	4.66 (3.62-5.98)	5.11 (3.89-6.68)	5.53 (4.07-7.44)	5.93 (4.19-8.23)	6.41 (4.37-9.19)	6.74 (4.50-9.92)
6-hr	2.92 (2.39-3.60)	3.36 (2.75-4.14)	4.07 (3.32-5.04)	4.67 (3.79-5.81)	5.50 (4.32-7.08)	6.14 (4.72-8.04)	6.78 (5.05-9.13)	7.44 (5.32-10.3)	8.31 (5.73-11.9)	8.98 (6.03-13.1)
12-hr	3.37 (2.78-4.13)	3.81 (3.14-4.67)	4.61 (3.79-5.67)	5.35 (4.37-6.61)	6.47 (5.17-8.41)	7.42 (5.78-9.77)	8.44 (6.36-11.4)	9.55 (6.91-13.3)	11.1 (7.76-16.0)	12.4 (8.40-18.0)
24-hr	3.84 (3.19-4.68)	4.34 (3.60-5.29)	5.30 (4.38-6.48)	6.24 (5.12-7.66)	7.73 (6.25-10.1)	9.04 (7.11-11.9)	10.5 (7.98-14.2)	12.1 (8.84-16.9)	14.5 (10.2-20.7)	16.4 (11.2-23.7)
2-day	4.35 (3.63-5.26)	4.99 (4.16-6.04)	6.21 (5.15-7.54)	7.38 (6.10-9.00)	9.24 (7.52-12.0)	10.9 (8.59-14.2)	12.6 (9.67-17.0)	14.6 (10.8-20.3)	17.5 (12.4-24.9)	19.9 (13.6-28.5)
3-day	4.78 (3.99-5.75)	5.47 (4.57-6.59)	6.77 (5.64-8.19)	8.03 (6.65-9.75)	10.00 (8.15-12.9)	11.7 (9.29-15.3)	13.6 (10.4-18.2)	15.7 (11.6-21.6)	18.7 (13.3-26.5)	21.2 (14.6-30.3)
4-day	5.17 (4.33-6.20)	5.87 (4.92-7.06)	7.21 (6.01-8.68)	8.48 (7.04-10.3)	10.5 (8.57-13.5)	12.2 (9.72-15.9)	14.1 (10.9-18.9)	16.3 (12.0-22.3)	19.3 (13.8-27.3)	21.8 (15.1-31.1)
7-day	6.23 (5.24-7.43)	6.94 (5.84-8.29)	8.27 (6.94-9.91)	9.54 (7.96-11.5)	11.5 (9.46-14.7)	13.3 (10.6-17.1)	15.2 (11.7-20.1)	17.2 (12.8-23.5)	20.3 (14.5-28.5)	22.8 (15.8-32.2)
10-day	7.18 (6.06-8.54)	7.93 (6.69-9.44)	9.31 (7.83-11.1)	10.6 (8.87-12.7)	12.6 (10.3-15.9)	14.3 (11.4-18.3)	16.2 (12.5-21.3)	18.2 (13.6-24.7)	21.1 (15.2-29.5)	23.5 (16.4-33.2)
20-day	9.93 (8.44-11.7)	10.9 (9.29-12.9)	12.7 (10.7-15.0)	14.2 (11.9-16.9)	16.4 (13.4-20.3)	18.1 (14.5-22.8)	19.9 (15.5-25.8)	21.8 (16.3-29.2)	24.5 (17.6-33.7)	26.5 (18.6-37.2)
30-day	12.3 (10.5-14.5)	13.6 (11.6-16.1)	15.8 (13.4-18.6)	17.5 (14.8-20.8)	19.9 (16.3-24.4)	21.7 (17.4-27.1)	23.5 (18.3-30.2)	25.4 (18.9-33.6)	27.8 (20.0-38.0)	29.6 (20.8-41.3)
45-day	15.6 (13.3-18.2)	17.2 (14.7-20.2)	19.8 (16.9-23.3)	21.9 (18.5-25.9)	24.6 (20.1-29.8)	26.5 (21.3-32.8)	28.4 (22.1-36.1)	30.1 (22.6-39.6)	32.3 (23.3-43.9)	33.9 (23.9-47.1)
60-day	18.4 (15.8-21.5)	20.4 (17.5-23.8)	23.4 (20.0-27.5)	25.7 (21.9-30.3)	28.7 (23.5-34.6)	30.8 (24.7-37.8)	32.7 (25.4-41.3)	34.4 (25.8-44.9)	36.4 (26.3-49.1)	37.8 (26.8-52.4)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical



Large scale terrain





Large scale aerial

Seminole County Site 1B



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 1

Note: The proposed parking site for Seminole County impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond.

EXISTING CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Note: Existing condition curve number calculations are based on the pre-development condition prior to the construction of Donnie Myers RV. The original site calculations can be found in SJRMD Permit No. 48756-5

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	1.43 ac
	Pervious Area:	11.97 ac
	Total Area:	13.40 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	1.43 ac	140.1
Brush-weed-grass mixture; Fair condition (50% to 75% ground cover)	D	77	4.35 ac	335.0
Industrial (72% Impervious)	D	93	1.51 ac	140.4
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	80	6.11 ac	488.8
		Total:	13.40 ac	1104.3

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 82.4

Runoff:				SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	2.13 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.74 in	6.20 in
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	5.66 in	4.22 in



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B

POND NAME : Pond 1

Note: The proposed parking site for Seminole County impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond.

PROPOSED CONDITION

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area : Water Surface Area:	1.43 ac 0.85 ac _ W	et Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
	Total Pond Area:	2.28 ac		
Total Area:	Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area:	9.21 ac 3.34 ac 0.85 ac		

Total Area: 13.40 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	9.21 ac	902.6
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	1.91 ac	152.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	0.85 ac	84.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	1.43 ac	114.4
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	13.40 ac	1254.5

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 93.6

Runoff:				SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	0.68 in	Precipitation (P) =	7.74 in	6.20 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	6.98 in	5.45 in	



DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 1

Note: The proposed parking site for Seminole County impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond.

POND SIZING

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria

Permitting Agency	SJRWMD
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention
Online/Offline	Online
OFW	No
Open/Closed Basin	Open

Wet Detention	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	1.92 ac-ft
	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	1.12 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 1.92 ac-ft

Compensate for Treatment from BTU Pond 317D = 0.56 ac-ft

Total Required Treatment Volume = 2.48 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)	SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	6.32 ac-ft	4.71 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	7.79 ac-ft	6.09 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	1.47 ac-ft	1.38 ac-ft	
ΔQ from BTU Pond 317D =	1.43 ac-ft	0.96 ac-ft	
Total ΔQ =	2.90 ac-ft	2.34 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 2.90 ac-ft (use largest value)



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study

BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B

POND NAME : Pond 1

Note: The proposed parking site for Seminole County impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond.



Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) check





DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 1

Note: The proposed parking site for Seminole County impacts a future wet detention pond that is proposed as part of the I-4 Beyond the Ultimate. These calculations include compensation for the treatment and attenuation volume that will be lost in the pond.

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 1

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
11.00	Pond Tie Down	2.07 ac		
14.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.69 ac	8.34 ac-ft	
13.00	Front of Main. Berm	1.33 ac	6.83 ac-ft	
12.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	1.24 ac	5.54 ac-ft	
11.87	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	1.23 ac	5.38 ac-ft	ok
11.41	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	1.19 ac	4.82 ac-ft	ok
9.29	Top of Treatment Vol.	1.01 ac	2.48 ac-ft	ok
6.50	Normal Water Level	0.77 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
4.50		0.62 ac		
-1.50	Pond Bottom	0.43 ac		

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 5.38 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 11.87 ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 5.54 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 12.00 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 4.82 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 11.41 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Total Provided Pond R/W = 2.28 ac

Top of Treatment Vol= 9.29 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 2.48 ac-ft ok



DATE: September 13, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

EXISTING CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Note: Existing condition curve number calculations are based on the pre-development condition prior to the construction of Donnie Myers RV. The original site calculations can be found in SJRMD Permit No. 48756-5

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	0.20 ac
	Pervious Area:	5.66 ac
	Total Area:	5.86 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	0.20 ac	19.6
Brush-weed-grass mixture; Fair condition (50% to 75% ground cover)	D	77	3.92 ac	301.8
Commercial & business (85% impervious)	D	95	1.74 ac	165.3
	4	Total:	5.86 ac	486.7

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 83.1

Storm SJRWMD Runoff: Sewer 25yr/24hr 10yr/24hr <u>1000</u> - 10 = Precipitation (P) = 7.74 in 6.20 in Soil Capacity (S) = 2.04 in CN Runoff (Q) = (P - 0.2S)² Runoff (Q) = 5.74 in 4.28 in (P + 0.8S)



DATE: September 13, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

PROPOSED CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area : Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area:	1.11 ac 0.46 ac 1.57 ac	et Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
Total Area:	Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area:	2.17 ac 3.23 ac 0.46 ac		

Total Area: 5.86 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	2.17 ac	212.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	2.12 ac	169.2
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	0.46 ac	46.2
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	1.11 ac	88.9
Denotes Pervious Pond Area		Total:	5.86 ac	516.9

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 88.2





DATE: September 13, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria

Ocicotion onteria	
Permitting Agency	SJRWMD
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention
Online/Offline	Online
OFW	No
Open/Closed Basin	Open

Wat Datantian	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	0.45 ac-ft
wet Detention	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	0.49 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 0.49 ac-ft

Total Required Treatment Volume = 0.49 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)

	SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	2.80 ac-ft	2.09 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	3.10 ac-ft	2.37 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	0.30 ac-ft	0.27 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 0.30 ac-ft (use largest value)





Made by: DLD Checked by: REC **DATE:** September 13, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - POND 2

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
11.00	Pond Tie Down	1.43 ac		
12.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.31 ac	2.51 ac-ft	
11.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.76 ac	1.48 ac-ft	
10.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	0.62 ac	0.78 ac-ft	
10.00	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	0.62 ac	0.78 ac-ft	ok
9.96	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.62 ac	0.76 ac-ft	ok
9.50	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.56 ac	0.49 ac-ft	ok
8.50	Normal Water Level	0.42 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
6.50		0.18 ac		
5.50	Pond Bottom	0.12 ac		

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 0.78 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 11.94 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 0.76 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 11.08 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Total Provided Pond R/W = **1.57 ac**

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 0.78 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 12.00 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 9.50 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 0.49 ac-ft



Made by: DLD Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : BTU Pond 317D

Note: These supplemental calculations serve to estimate the attenuation volume in the proposed I-4 BTU Pond 317D. The drainage report for this pond does not include volumetric attenuation calculations.

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - I-4 BTU Pond 317D

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
12.01	Back of Main. Berm	0.95 ac		
12.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.70 ac	2.10 ac-ft	
11.00	Provided Att.Vol	0.64 ac	1.43 ac-ft	
11.00	Req'd Att. Vol	0.64 ac	1.43 ac-ft	<use as="" attenuation="" required="" td="" vol.<=""></use>
10.25	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	0.60 ac	0.96 ac-ft	<use as="" required="" sewer<="" storm="" td=""></use>
9.55	Top of Treatment Vol.	0.56 ac	0.56 ac-ft	attenuation vol.
8.50	Normal Water Level	0.50 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
6.50		0.57 ac		
3.50	Pond Bottom	0.26 ac		



Made by: DLD Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pre-treatment Dry Detention

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - Dry Detention Pre-treatment

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
12.00	Back of Main. Berm	0.40 ac	
12.00	Front of Main. Berm	0.32 ac	0.81 ac-ft
11.00	Provided Treatment/Att.Vol	0.27 ac	0.51 ac-ft
8.50	Pond Bottom (Dry Pond)	0.14 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Sanford, Florida, USA* Latitude: 28.8275°, Longitude: -81.3245° Elevation: 8.95 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS

source. 03GS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹										
Duration				Average I	recurrence	interval (y	ears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.480 (0.391-0.587)	0.547 (0.445-0.669)	0.652 (0.529-0.800)	0.735 (0.593-0.907)	0.843 (0.654-1.07)	0.923 (0.700-1.19)	0.998 (0.732-1.33)	1.07 (0.753-1.47)	1.16 (0.785-1.64)	1.22 (0.809-1.78)
10-min	0.703 (0.573-0.860)	0.801 (0.652-0.980)	0.954 (0.774-1.17)	1.08 (0.868-1.33)	1.24 (0.958-1.57)	1.35 (1.03-1.74)	1.46 (1.07-1.94)	1.57 (1.10-2.15)	1.70 (1.15-2.41)	1.79 (1.18-2.60)
15-min	0.857 (0.699-1.05)	0.976 (0.795-1.20)	1.16 (0.944-1.43)	1.31 (1.06-1.62)	1.51 (1.17-1.91)	1.65 (1.25-2.13)	1.78 (1.31-2.37)	1.91 (1.34-2.62)	2.07 (1.40-2.94)	2.18 (1.44-3.17)
30-min	1.41 (1.15-1.72)	1.60 (1.30-1.96)	1.90 (1.54-2.34)	2.14 (1.73-2.64)	2.46 (1.90-3.11)	2.68 (2.04-3.46)	2.90 (2.13-3.85)	3.11 (2.18-4.26)	3.36 (2.27-4.76)	3.54 (2.34-5.14)
60-min	1.86 (1.51-2.27)	2.13 (1.73-2.60)	2.55 (2.07-3.13)	2.89 (2.33-3.57)	3.34 (2.59-4.23)	3.66 (2.78-4.73)	3.98 (2.92-5.28)	4.28 (3.01-5.87)	4.66 (3.15-6.60)	4.92 (3.26-7.15)
2-hr	2.31 (1.89-2.80)	2.65 (2.17-3.23)	3.20 (2.62-3.90)	3.64 (2.96-4.46)	4.22 (3.30-5.32)	4.64 (3.55-5.96)	5.05 (3.73-6.68)	5.45 (3.86-7.44)	5.95 (4.05-8.39)	6.31 (4.20-9.11)
3-hr	2.50 (2.06-3.02)	2.88 (2.37-3.49)	3.50 (2.87-4.25)	4.01 (3.27-4.89)	4.69 (3.69-5.91)	5.20 (4.00-6.67)	5.71 (4.24-7.53)	6.21 (4.42-8.46)	6.87 (4.70-9.66)	7.35 (4.91-10.6)
6-hr	2.85 (2.37-3.43)	3.27 (2.72-3.94)	3.99 (3.31-4.82)	4.62 (3.80-5.60)	5.52 (4.40-6.98)	6.25 (4.86-8.02)	7.00 (5.26-9.25)	7.79 (5.61-10.6)	8.88 (6.15-12.5)	9.74 (6.55-13.9)
12-hr	3.29 (2.76-3.93)	3.72 (3.11-4.45)	4.53 (3.77-5.43)	5.29 (4.38-6.37)	6.47 (5.25-8.24)	7.49 (5.91-9.66)	8.61 (6.55-11.4)	9.84 (7.17-13.4)	11.6 (8.12-16.3)	13.1 (8.84-18.5)
24-hr	3.79 (3.20-4.49)	4.28 (3.61-5.08)	5.24 (4.40-6.24)	6.20 (5.17-7.41)	7.74 (6.37-9.88)	9.12 (7.27-11.8)	10.6 (8.18-14.1)	12.4 (9.10-16.9)	14.9 (10.5-20.9)	17.0 (11.6-24.0)
2-day	4.37 (3.72-5.14)	5.01 (4.25-5.90)	6.24 (5.29-7.38)	7.46 (6.28-8.87)	9.41 (7.80-11.9)	11.1 (8.94-14.3)	13.1 (10.1-17.2)	15.2 (11.3-20.6)	18.3 (13.0-25.6)	20.9 (14.4-29.3)
3-day	4.84 (4.14-5.68)	5.56 (4.74-6.52)	6.93 (5.89-8.16)	8.27 (6.99-9.79)	10.4 (8.65-13.1)	12.3 (9.90-15.6)	14.4 (11.2-18.8)	16.7 (12.4-22.5)	20.1 (14.3-27.8)	22.9 (15.7-31.9)
4-day	5.27 (4.51-6.16)	6.01 (5.15-7.04)	7.44 (6.35-8.74)	8.83 (7.49-10.4)	11.0 (9.20-13.9)	13.0 (10.5-16.5)	15.1 (11.8-19.7)	17.5 (13.1-23.6)	21.0 (15.0-29.1)	23.9 (16.5-33.3)
7-day	6.34 (5.47-7.37)	7.12 (6.13-8.28)	8.59 (7.38-10.0)	10.0 (8.55-11.8)	12.3 (10.3-15.3)	14.2 (11.6-17.9)	16.4 (12.8-21.2)	18.8 (14.1-25.1)	22.3 (16.0-30.6)	25.1 (17.5-34.8)
10-day	7.28 (6.31-8.44)	8.10 (7.01-9.39)	9.63 (8.30-11.2)	11.1 (9.49-12.9)	13.3 (11.2-16.5)	15.3 (12.4-19.1)	17.4 (13.7-22.4)	19.7 (14.8-26.2)	23.1 (16.7-31.6)	25.9 (18.1-35.7)
20-day	9.98 (8.71-11.5)	11.1 (9.65-12.7)	12.9 (11.2-14.9)	14.6 (12.6-16.9)	17.0 (14.3-20.6)	19.0 (15.5-23.4)	21.1 (16.6-26.7)	23.3 (17.6-30.5)	26.4 (19.1-35.6)	28.9 (20.2-39.5)
30-day	12.3 (10.8-14.1)	13.7 (12.0-15.7)	16.0 (13.9-18.4)	17.9 (15.5-20.7)	20.5 (17.2-24.6)	22.6 (18.5-27.6)	24.7 (19.5-31.0)	26.9 (20.3-34.8)	29.8 (21.6-39.9)	32.0 (22.5-43.7)
45-day	15.4 (13.6-17.6)	17.2 (15.1-19.6)	20.0 (17.6-22.9)	22.3 (19.4-25.7)	25.3 (21.3-30.0)	27.6 (22.6-33.3)	29.8 (23.5-37.0)	31.9 (24.1-41.0)	34.7 (25.2-46.0)	36.7 (25.9-49.8)
60-day	18.1 (16.0-20.6)	20.3 (17.9-23.1)	23.6 (20.8-27.0)	26.3 (23.0-30.1)	29.7 (24.9-34.9)	32.1 (26.4-38.5)	34.4 (27.2-42.5)	36.6 (27.7-46.7)	39.2 (28.5-51.7)	41.0 (29.1-55.6)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Large scale terrain





Large scale aerial

Volusia County Site 1A



DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A POND NAME : Pond 1

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	0.00 ac
	Pervious Area:	46.27 ac
	Total Area:	46.27 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)	D	89	4.34 ac	386.3
Woods & Wetlands Combination	D	97	22.87 ac	2218.4
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	79	19.06 ac	1505.7
		Total:	46.27 ac	4110.4

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 88.8

Runoff:				SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	1.26 in	Precipitation (P) =	8.69 in	6.84 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	7.34 in	5.53 in	



PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A POND NAME : Pond 1

PROPOSED CONDITION

Pond Area:	Pervious Pond Area: Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area:	2.61 ac 4.54 ac 7.15 ac	_Wet Pond	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
Total Area:	Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area:	24.07 ac 17.66 ac 4.54 ac		
	Total Area:	46.27 ac	-	

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	24.07 ac	2358.9
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	15.05 ac	1204.0
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	4.54 ac	454.3
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	2.61 ac	208.6
		Total:	46.27 ac	4225.7

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 91.3

Runoff:

Soil Capacity (S) = $\frac{100}{CN}$

<u>1000</u> - 10 = 0.95 in

Precipitation (P) =

Runoff (Q) = (P - 0.2)(P + 0.2)

<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S) Runoff (Q) =[

5.82 in

Storm

Sewer

10yr/24hr

6.84 in

SJRWMD

25yr/24hr

8.69 in

7.65 in



DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A POND NAME : Pond 1

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria	
Permitting Agency	SJRWMD
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention
Online/Offline	Online
OFW	Yes
Open/Closed Basin	Open

Wat Datantian	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	5.01 ac-ft
Wet Detention	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	3.86 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 5.01 ac-ft Additional 50% Trt. <u>Vol. (OFW) = 2.51 ac-ft</u> Total Required Treatment Volume = <u>7.52 ac-ft</u>

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)

	SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	28.32 ac-ft	21.33 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	29.48 ac-ft	22.44 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	1.16 ac-ft	1.10 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 1.16 ac-ft (use largest value)





(407) 971-8955 (fax)

Made by: DLD Checked by: REC DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A POND NAME : Pond 1

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations

				_
ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
37.00	Pond Tie-Down	6.50 ac		1
40.00	Back of Main. Berm	5.82 ac	18.89 ac-ft	
39.00	Front of Main. Berm	4.89 ac	13.53 ac-ft	
38.00	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	4.64 ac	8.77 ac-ft	1
37.98	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	4.63 ac	8.68 ac-ft	ok
37.97	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	4.63 ac	8.62 ac-ft	ok
37.73	Top of Treatment Vol.	4.57 ac	7.52 ac-ft	ok
37.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	4.38 ac	4.26 ac-ft	
36.00	Normal Water Level	4.13 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
34.00		3.62 ac		
30.00	Pond Bottom	3.13 ac		

7.15 ac

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 8.68 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 37.98 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 8.62 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 37.97 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Total Provided Pond R/W =

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 8.77 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 38.00 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 37.73 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 7.52 ac-ft
Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Port Orange, Florida, USA* Latitude: 29.1155°, Longitude: -81.1353° Elevation: 35.43 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-b	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹									
Duration				Average	recurrence	e interval (y	vears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.544 (0.449-0.658)	0.620 (0.511-0.752)	0.740 (0.608-0.899)	0.834 (0.681-1.02)	0.957 (0.749-1.20)	1.05 (0.800-1.33)	1.13 (0.833-1.48)	1.21 (0.853-1.63)	1.31 (0.885-1.82)	1.38 (0.909-1.96)
10-min	0.797 (0.658-0.964)	0.909 (0.749-1.10)	1.08 (0.890-1.32)	1.22 (0.997-1.49)	1.40 (1.10-1.75)	1.53 (1.17-1.95)	1.65 (1.22-2.16)	1.77 (1.25-2.39)	1.91 (1.30-2.66)	2.01 (1.33-2.87)
15-min	0.972 (0.802-1.18)	1.11 (0.913-1.34)	1.32 (1.09-1.61)	1.49 (1.22-1.82)	1.71 (1.34-2.14)	1.87 (1.43-2.38)	2.02 (1.49-2.64)	2.16 (1.52-2.91)	2.33 (1.58-3.24)	2.46 (1.62-3.50)
30-min	1.48 (1.22-1.79)	1.69 (1.39-2.04)	2.01 (1.65-2.45)	2.27 (1.86-2.77)	2.61 (2.04-3.26)	2.86 (2.19-3.63)	3.09 (2.28-4.04)	3.31 (2.33-4.46)	3.58 (2.42-4.98)	3.77 (2.49-5.37)
60-min	1.93 (1.59-2.33)	2.21 (1.82-2.67)	2.65 (2.17-3.22)	3.00 (2.45-3.67)	3.48 (2.73-4.36)	3.83 (2.93-4.88)	4.17 (3.08-5.46)	4.50 (3.18-6.07)	4.92 (3.33-6.85)	5.22 (3.45-7.44)
2-hr	2.38 (1.98-2.86)	2.73 (2.26-3.28)	3.28 (2.71-3.96)	3.74 (3.07-4.53)	4.34 (3.43-5.42)	4.80 (3.70-6.09)	5.25 (3.90-6.84)	5.69 (4.05-7.64)	6.26 (4.27-8.67)	6.68 (4.44-9.45)
3-hr	2.60 (2.17-3.11)	2.98 (2.48-3.58)	3.61 (3.00-4.34)	4.14 (3.41-5.00)	4.86 (3.87-6.07)	5.43 (4.21-6.88)	5.99 (4.48-7.81)	6.57 (4.70-8.82)	7.33 (5.03-10.1)	7.91 (5.28-11.2)
6-hr	2.98 (2.50-3.54)	3.43 (2.87-4.08)	4.20 (3.51-5.01)	4.88 (4.05-5.86)	5.89 (4.74-7.38)	6.71 (5.26-8.53)	7.58 (5.73-9.89)	8.51 (6.15-11.4)	9.80 (6.79-13.6)	10.8 (7.28-15.2)
12-hr	3.39 (2.86-4.01)	3.91 (3.30-4.62)	4.86 (4.09-5.76)	5.76 (4.81-6.86)	7.16 (5.84-9.01)	8.36 (6.62-10.6)	9.67 (7.38-12.6)	11.1 (8.11-14.9)	13.2 (9.22-18.2)	14.9 (10.1-20.7)
24-hr	3.85 (3.27-4.51)	4.48 (3.80-5.25)	5.68 (4.80-6.68)	6.84 (5.75-8.09)	8.69 (7.17-10.9)	10.3 (8.24-13.1)	12.1 (9.31-15.8)	14.1 (10.4-18.9)	17.0 (12.0-23.4)	19.4 (13.2-26.8)
2-day	4.43 (3.79-5.16)	5.20 (4.44-6.06)	6.66 (5.67-7.78)	8.07 (6.83-9.48)	10.3 (8.57-12.9)	12.3 (9.89-15.5)	14.5 (11.2-18.7)	16.9 (12.5-22.5)	20.4 (14.5-27.9)	23.3 (16.0-32.0)
3-day	4.97 (4.27-5.76)	5.74 (4.92-6.66)	7.23 (6.18-8.41)	8.69 (7.38-10.2)	11.0 (9.22-13.8)	13.1 (10.6-16.5)	15.4 (12.0-19.9)	18.0 (13.4-23.9)	21.8 (15.5-29.7)	24.9 (17.1-34.1)
4-day	5.42 (4.67-6.27)	6.18 (5.31-7.15)	7.66 (6.56-8.89)	9.13 (7.77-10.6)	11.5 (9.64-14.3)	13.6 (11.0-17.1)	16.0 (12.5-20.6)	18.6 (13.9-24.6)	22.5 (16.1-30.6)	25.7 (17.7-35.1)
7-day	6.46 (5.59-7.43)	7.23 (6.25-8.32)	8.75 (7.53-10.1)	10.2 (8.77-11.9)	12.7 (10.6-15.6)	14.8 (12.1-18.4)	17.2 (13.5-21.9)	19.8 (14.9-26.1)	23.7 (17.1-32.1)	27.0 (18.7-36.6)
10-day	7.35 (6.38-8.42)	8.21 (7.12-9.41)	9.84 (8.50-11.3)	11.4 (9.80-13.2)	13.9 (11.7-16.9)	16.0 (13.1-19.8)	18.4 (14.5-23.3)	21.0 (15.8-27.4)	24.8 (17.9-33.3)	27.9 (19.4-37.8)
20-day	9.96 (8.70-11.3)	11.2 (9.79-12.8)	13.4 (11.6-15.3)	15.3 (13.2-17.5)	18.0 (15.1-21.5)	20.3 (16.5-24.6)	22.6 (17.8-28.1)	25.0 (18.9-32.2)	28.5 (20.6-37.7)	31.2 (21.8-41.9)
30-day	12.2 (10.7-13.8)	13.8 (12.1-15.6)	16.4 (14.3-18.6)	18.5 (16.1-21.2)	21.6 (18.1-25.5)	23.9 (19.5-28.7)	26.3 (20.7-32.4)	28.7 (21.6-36.5)	31.8 (23.0-41.8)	34.3 (24.1-45.9)
45-day	15.0 (13.2-16.9)	16.9 (14.9-19.1)	20.1 (17.6-22.7)	22.6 (19.7-25.7)	25.9 (21.7-30.3)	28.4 (23.3-33.8)	30.8 (24.4-37.8)	33.2 (25.1-42.0)	36.3 (26.3-47.3)	38.5 (27.2-51.3)
60-day	17.4 (15.4-19.6)	19.6 (17.3-22.1)	23.1 (20.3-26.1)	25.9 (22.6-29.3)	29.5 (24.7-34.3)	32.1 (26.4-38.1)	34.7 (27.4-42.2)	37.1 (28.1-46.6)	40.2 (29.2-52.1)	42.3 (30.0-56.2)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical







Large scale aerial

Volusia County Site 1B



Made by: CND Checked by: REC

DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : I-4 Pond I & Pond 1

EXISTING CONDITION

Total Area:	Impervious Area:	0.00 ac
	Pervious Area:	52.18 ac
	Total Area:	52.18 ac

Curve Number:

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	10.47 ac	837.6
Existing Lakes (Water surface)	D	100	1.26 ac	126.0
Woods & Wetlands Combination	D	97	24.55 ac	2381.4
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	79	15.90 ac	1256.1
		Total:	52.18 ac	4601.1

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 88.2

Runoff:				SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Soil Capacity (S) =	<u>1000</u> - 10 = CN	1.34 in	Precipitation (P) =	8.69 in	6.84 in	
Runoff (Q) =	<u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)		Runoff (Q) =	7.27 in	5.46 in	



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : I-4 Pond I & Pond 1

PROPOSED CONDITION

Pervious Pond Area: Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area:	5.20 ac 5.12 ac 10.32 ac Wet Ponc	(Pond Areas Include Add. 10% F.S.)
Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area:	23.67 ac 23.40 ac 5.12 ac	
Total Area:	52.18 ac	
	Pervious Pond Area: Water Surface Area: Total Pond Area: Impervious Area: Pervious Area: Water Surface Area: Total Area:	Pervious Pond Area: 5.20 ac Water Surface Area: 5.12 ac Total Pond Area: 10.32 ac Impervious Area: 23.67 ac Pervious Area: 23.40 ac Water Surface Area: 5.12 ac Total Area: 52.18 ac

Curve Number:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	CN*Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	23.67 ac	2319.7
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	18.19 ac	1455.4
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100	5.12 ac	511.5
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80	5.20 ac	416.2
		Total:	52.18 ac	4702.8
CN - Total CN*Area / Total Area -	00.1			

CN = Total CN*Area / Total Area = 90.1

Storm SJRWMD Runoff: Sewer 25yr/24hr 10yr/24hr <u>1000</u> - 10 = Precipitation (P) = 8.69 in 6.84 in Soil Capacity (S) = 1.10 in CN Runoff (Q) = Runoff (Q) = 7.50 in 5.68 in <u>(P - 0.2S)²</u> (P + 0.8S)



Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : I-4 Pond I & Pond 1

POND SIZING

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

Required Treatment Volume (TV)

Selection criteria

Permitting Agency	SJRWMD
StormW.Mgmt.	Wet Detention
Online/Offline	Online
OFW	Yes
Open/Closed Basin	Open

Wat Datantian	2.50 in x Impervious Areas =	4.93 ac-ft
Wet Detention	1.00 in x Total Basin Area =	4.35 ac-ft

Treatment V_{req} = Largest of Trt. Vol. = 4.93 ac-ft

Additional 50% Trt. Vol. (OFW) = 2.47 ac-ft

Compensate for Treatment Vol. in Pond I = 2.99 ac-ft

Total Required Treatment Volume = 10.39 ac-ft

Required Attenuation Volume:

Total Runoff (ac-ft)	SJRWMD 25yr/24hr	Storm Sewer 10yr/24hr	
Q _{pre} =	31.59 ac-ft	23.73 ac-ft	
Q _{post} =	32.62 ac-ft	24.70 ac-ft	
ΔQ =	1.02 ac-ft	0.97 ac-ft	
ΔQ from I-4 Pond I =	0.29 ac-ft	0.25 ac-ft	(Att. Vol. Available - Treat. Vol.)
Total ΔQ =	1.32 ac-ft	1.22 ac-ft	

Attenuation V_{req} = 1.32 ac-ft (use largest value)



Made by: CND Checked by: REC **DATE:** November 14, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : I-4 Pond I & Pond 1



I-4 Modified Pond I Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
38.00	Pond Tie-Down	6.28 ac		1
42.50	Back of Main. Berm	5.68 ac	20.25 ac-ft	
41.50	Front of Main. Berm	4.66 ac	15.08 ac-ft	
40.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	4.40 ac	10.55 ac-ft	
40.17	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	4.31 ac	9.10 ac-ft	ok
40.15	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	4.31 ac	9.02 ac-ft	ok
40.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	4.27 ac	8.39 ac-ft	
39.93	Top of Treatment Vol.	4.25 ac	8.09 ac-ft	ok
37.90	Normal Water Level	3.72 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
35.90		3.22 ac		1
31.90	Pond Bottom	2.22 ac		

Pond 1 Stage / Storage Calculations

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
38.00	Pond Tie-Down	3.10 ac		
42.50	Back of Main. Berm	2.41 ac	6.65 ac-ft	
41.50	Front of Main. Berm	1.64 ac	4.63 ac-ft	
40.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	1.44 ac	3.08 ac-ft	
40.17	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	1.38 ac	2.61 ac-ft	ok
40.15	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	1.37 ac	2.59 ac-ft	ok
40.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	1.34 ac	2.39 ac-ft	1
39.93	Top of Treatment Vol.	1.33 ac	2.30 ac-ft	ok
37.90	Normal Water Level	0.93 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
35.90		0.62 ac		1
31.90	Pond Bottom	0.01 ac]



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : I-4 Pond I & Pond 1

Total Pond Stage / Storage Calculations

				_
ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE	
38.00	Pond Tie-Down	9.38 ac		
42.50	Back of Main. Berm	8.09 ac	26.91 ac-ft	
41.50	Front of Main. Berm	6.30 ac	19.71 ac-ft	
40.50	Provided Treat.Vol.+Att.Vol	5.84 ac	13.64 ac-ft	
40.17	Req'd Treat.Vol+Att. Vol	5.69 ac	11.71 ac-ft	ok
40.15	Estimated Storm Sewer TW	5.68 ac	11.61 ac-ft	ok
40.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	5.61 ac	10.78 ac-ft	
39.93	Top of Treatment Vol.	5.58 ac	10.39 ac-ft	ok
37.90	Normal Water Level	4.65 ac	0.00 ac-ft	
35.90		3.84 ac		
31.90	Pond Bottom	2.23 ac		

Required Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 11.71 ac-ft Required Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 40.15 ft

Estimated Treat. Vol.+Storm Sewer Att.= 11.61 ac-ft Estimated Storm Sewer TW EL.= 40.15 ft ok

Use a 10% safety factor:

Pond I Provided Pond R/W =	6.72 ac
Pond 1 Provided Pond R/W =	3.45 ac
Total Provided Pond R/W =	10.17 ac

Provided Treatment+Attenuation Vol.= 13.64 ac-ft Provided Treatment+Attenuation Stage= 40.50 ft

> Top of Treatment Vol= 39.93 ac-ft Required Treatment Vol= 10.39 ac-ft

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Port Orange, Florida, USA* Latitude: 29.1155°, Longitude: -81.1353° Elevation: 35.43 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹										
Duration				Average	recurrence	e interval (y	vears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.544 (0.449-0.658)	0.620 (0.511-0.752)	0.740 (0.608-0.899)	0.834 (0.681-1.02)	0.957 (0.749-1.20)	1.05 (0.800-1.33)	1.13 (0.833-1.48)	1.21 (0.853-1.63)	1.31 (0.885-1.82)	1.38 (0.909-1.96)
10-min	0.797 (0.658-0.964)	0.909 (0.749-1.10)	1.08 (0.890-1.32)	1.22 (0.997-1.49)	1.40 (1.10-1.75)	1.53 (1.17-1.95)	1.65 (1.22-2.16)	1.77 (1.25-2.39)	1.91 (1.30-2.66)	2.01 (1.33-2.87)
15-min	0.972 (0.802-1.18)	1.11 (0.913-1.34)	1.32 (1.09-1.61)	1.49 (1.22-1.82)	1.71 (1.34-2.14)	1.87 (1.43-2.38)	2.02 (1.49-2.64)	2.16 (1.52-2.91)	2.33 (1.58-3.24)	2.46 (1.62-3.50)
30-min	1.48 (1.22-1.79)	1.69 (1.39-2.04)	2.01 (1.65-2.45)	2.27 (1.86-2.77)	2.61 (2.04-3.26)	2.86 (2.19-3.63)	3.09 (2.28-4.04)	3.31 (2.33-4.46)	3.58 (2.42-4.98)	3.77 (2.49-5.37)
60-min	1.93 (1.59-2.33)	2.21 (1.82-2.67)	2.65 (2.17-3.22)	3.00 (2.45-3.67)	3.48 (2.73-4.36)	3.83 (2.93-4.88)	4.17 (3.08-5.46)	4.50 (3.18-6.07)	4.92 (3.33-6.85)	5.22 (3.45-7.44)
2-hr	2.38 (1.98-2.86)	2.73 (2.26-3.28)	3.28 (2.71-3.96)	3.74 (3.07-4.53)	4.34 (3.43-5.42)	4.80 (3.70-6.09)	5.25 (3.90-6.84)	5.69 (4.05-7.64)	6.26 (4.27-8.67)	6.68 (4.44-9.45)
3-hr	2.60 (2.17-3.11)	2.98 (2.48-3.58)	3.61 (3.00-4.34)	4.14 (3.41-5.00)	4.86 (3.87-6.07)	5.43 (4.21-6.88)	5.99 (4.48-7.81)	6.57 (4.70-8.82)	7.33 (5.03-10.1)	7.91 (5.28-11.2)
6-hr	2.98 (2.50-3.54)	3.43 (2.87-4.08)	4.20 (3.51-5.01)	4.88 (4.05-5.86)	5.89 (4.74-7.38)	6.71 (5.26-8.53)	7.58 (5.73-9.89)	8.51 (6.15-11.4)	9.80 (6.79-13.6)	10.8 (7.28-15.2)
12-hr	3.39 (2.86-4.01)	3.91 (3.30-4.62)	4.86 (4.09-5.76)	5.76 (4.81-6.86)	7.16 (5.84-9.01)	8.36 (6.62-10.6)	9.67 (7.38-12.6)	11.1 (8.11-14.9)	13.2 (9.22-18.2)	14.9 (10.1-20.7)
24-hr	3.85 (3.27-4.51)	4.48 (3.80-5.25)	5.68 (4.80-6.68)	6.84 (5.75-8.09)	8.69 (7.17-10.9)	10.3 (8.24-13.1)	12.1 (9.31-15.8)	14.1 (10.4-18.9)	17.0 (12.0-23.4)	19.4 (13.2-26.8)
2-day	4.43 (3.79-5.16)	5.20 (4.44-6.06)	6.66 (5.67-7.78)	8.07 (6.83-9.48)	10.3 (8.57-12.9)	12.3 (9.89-15.5)	14.5 (11.2-18.7)	16.9 (12.5-22.5)	20.4 (14.5-27.9)	23.3 (16.0-32.0)
3-day	4.97 (4.27-5.76)	5.74 (4.92-6.66)	7.23 (6.18-8.41)	8.69 (7.38-10.2)	11.0 (9.22-13.8)	13.1 (10.6-16.5)	15.4 (12.0-19.9)	18.0 (13.4-23.9)	21.8 (15.5-29.7)	24.9 (17.1-34.1)
4-day	5.42 (4.67-6.27)	6.18 (5.31-7.15)	7.66 (6.56-8.89)	9.13 (7.77-10.6)	11.5 (9.64-14.3)	13.6 (11.0-17.1)	16.0 (12.5-20.6)	18.6 (13.9-24.6)	22.5 (16.1-30.6)	25.7 (17.7-35.1)
7-day	6.46 (5.59-7.43)	7.23 (6.25-8.32)	8.75 (7.53-10.1)	10.2 (8.77-11.9)	12.7 (10.6-15.6)	14.8 (12.1-18.4)	17.2 (13.5-21.9)	19.8 (14.9-26.1)	23.7 (17.1-32.1)	27.0 (18.7-36.6)
10-day	7.35 (6.38-8.42)	8.21 (7.12-9.41)	9.84 (8.50-11.3)	11.4 (9.80-13.2)	13.9 (11.7-16.9)	16.0 (13.1-19.8)	18.4 (14.5-23.3)	21.0 (15.8-27.4)	24.8 (17.9-33.3)	27.9 (19.4-37.8)
20-day	9.96 (8.70-11.3)	11.2 (9.79-12.8)	13.4 (11.6-15.3)	15.3 (13.2-17.5)	18.0 (15.1-21.5)	20.3 (16.5-24.6)	22.6 (17.8-28.1)	25.0 (18.9-32.2)	28.5 (20.6-37.7)	31.2 (21.8-41.9)
30-day	12.2 (10.7-13.8)	13.8 (12.1-15.6)	16.4 (14.3-18.6)	18.5 (16.1-21.2)	21.6 (18.1-25.5)	23.9 (19.5-28.7)	26.3 (20.7-32.4)	28.7 (21.6-36.5)	31.8 (23.0-41.8)	34.3 (24.1-45.9)
45-day	15.0 (13.2-16.9)	16.9 (14.9-19.1)	20.1 (17.6-22.7)	22.6 (19.7-25.7)	25.9 (21.7-30.3)	28.4 (23.3-33.8)	30.8 (24.4-37.8)	33.2 (25.1-42.0)	36.3 (26.3-47.3)	38.5 (27.2-51.3)
60-day	17.4 (15.4-19.6)	19.6 (17.3-22.1)	23.1 (20.3-26.1)	25.9 (22.6-29.3)	29.5 (24.7-34.3)	32.1 (26.4-38.1)	34.7 (27.4-42.2)	37.1 (28.1-46.6)	40.2 (29.2-52.1)	42.3 (30.0-56.2)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical







Large scale aerial

APPENDIX E

Nutrient Loading Calculations

Osceola County Site 1



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: DLD Checked by: REC

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

PERMANENT POOL VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Basin Characteristics

Land Use	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Product
Roadway Paved Area	18.63	0.95	17.70
Roadway Pervious Area	10.10	0.20	2.02
Pond Pervious Area	3.86	0.20	0.77
Pond Area at NWL	7.52	1.00	7.52
Total	40.11		28.01

Composite C =

0.70

Annual Rainfall (P) =

<mark>49</mark> in

Min. Permanent Pool Vol.

= Area x Composite C x P x 14 / (365 x 12) =

4.39 ac-ft

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool

ELE (fi	EV. i)	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
81.00	NWL	6.84				36.23
			6.50	2.00	12.99	
79.00	Slope Break	6.15				23.24
			5.81	4.00	23.24	
75.00	Pond Bottom	5.47				

Permanent Pool Volume Provided =

Mean Depth = Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

36.23 ac-ft 5.30 ft



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Osecola Site 1

POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non- DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Woods & Wetlands Combination	D	97		7.72 ac	748.8
Posidential Areas (2.0 aero, 12% Importious)	D	80		2.57 ac	205.6
	D	98	0.35		
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	79		29.47 ac	2328.1
		Total:	0.35 ac	39.76 ac	3282.6

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =



Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	Non- DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	18.63 ac		0.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		10.10 ac	808.0
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100		7.52 ac	752.4
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		3.86 ac	308.8
		Total:	18.63 ac	21.48 ac	1869.2

87.00

46.4%

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =

Composite Nutrient Loading:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Residential Low Density	1.645	0.270	2.92 ac	4.80	0.79
Upland Hardwood	1.042	0.346	29.47 ac	30.71	10.20
Wet Flatwood	1.213	0.021	7.72 ac	9.36	0.16
	Total:		40.11 ac	44.88	11.15

TN = Total TN / Area = 1.119

TP = Total TP / Area =

Complete Report

Project: Truck Parking – Osceola Site 1 Date: 6/28/2022 4:30:32 PM

Site and Catchment Information

Analysis: Net Improvement

Catchment Name	Osceola
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Annual Mean Rainfall	49.00

Pre-Condition Landuse Information

User Defined Values
40.11
0.14
82.56
0.90
1.119
0.278
23.275
0.000
0.000
32.114
7.978

Post-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200
Area (acres)	40.11
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.48
Non DCIA Curve Number	87.00
DCIA Percent (0-100)	46.40
Wet Pond Area (ac)	7.52
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.520
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.200
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	63.716
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	119.413
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	15.712

Catchment Number: 1 Name: Osceola

Project: Truck Parking – Osceola Site 1 **Date:** 6/28/2022

Wet Detention Design

Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft)36.230Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft) for 31 days residence 5.411Annual Residence Time (days)208Littoral Zone Efficiency CreditWetland Efficiency Credit

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)	40.11
Contributing Area (acres)	32.590
Non-DCIA Curve Number	87.00
DCIA Percent	46.40
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Rainfall (in)	49.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 73 Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 43 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 49 Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 80

Media Mix Information

Type of Media MixNot SpecifiedMedia N Reduction (%)Media P Reduction (%)

Groundwater Discharge (Stand-Alone)

Treatment Rate (MG/yr)0.000TN Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TN Concentration (mg/L)0.000TP Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TP Concentration (mg/L)0.000

Load Diagram for Wet Detention (stand-alone)



Load Diagram for Wet Detention (As Used In Routing)



Summary Treatment Report Version: 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking – Osceola Site 1

Date:6/28/2022

Analysis Type: Net Improvement BMP Types: Catchment 1 - (Osceola) Wet Detention Based on % removal values to the nearest percent Total nitrogen target removal met? No

Routing Summary Catchment 1 Routed to Outlet

Total phosphorus target removal met? Yes

Summary Report

Nitrogen

Surface Water Discharge

Total N pre load	32.11 kg/yr	
Total N post load	119.41 kg/yr	
Target N load reduction	73 %	
Target N discharge load	32.11 kg/yr	
Percent N load reduction	43 %	
Provided N discharge load	68.25 kg/yr	150.49 lb/yr
Provided N load removed	51.16 kg/yr	112.82 lb/yr

Phosphorus

Surface Water Discharge

Total P pre load	7.978 kg/yr	
Total P post load	15.712 kg/yr	
Target P load reduction	49 %	
Target P discharge load	7.978 kg/yr	
Percent P load reduction	80 %	
Provided P discharge load	3.113 kg/yr	6.86 lb/yr
Provided P load removed	12.6 kg/yr	27.782 lb/yr

Orange County Site 1



Made by: CND Checked by: REC

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

PERMANENT POOL VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Basin Characteristics

Land Use	Area	Runoff Coeff.	Product
	(ac)		
Roadway Paved Area	8.43	0.95	8.01
Roadway Pervious Area	2.76	0.20	0.55
Pond Pervious Area	2.69	0.20	0.54
Pond Area at NWL	2.42	1.00	2.42
Total	16.30		11.52
Composite C =	0.71		

Min. Permanent Pool Vol.

= Area x Composite C x P x 14 / (365 x 12) =

50 in

1.84 ac-ft

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool - Ponds 1

Annual Rainfall (P) =

ELE (fi	EV. t)	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
83.50	NWL	1.92				9.85
			1.82	2.00	3.63	
81.50	Slope Break	1.71				6.22
			1.56	4.00	6.22	
77.50	Pond Bottom	1.40				

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool - Pond 2

ELE (ft	ev.)	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
83.50	NWL	0.28				0.99
			0.24	2.00	0.48	
81.50	Slope Break	0.20				0.51
			0.13	4.00	0.51	
77.50	Pond Bottom	0.05				

Permanent Pool Volume Provided = Mean Depth Pond 1 Mean Depth Pond 2

= Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

= Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

10.84 ac-ft 5.13 ft

3.54 ft



Made by: DLD Checked by: REC

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	0.07		
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)	D	89		1.42	126.4
Woods; Good condition (Woods are protected from grazing and covered with forest litter and brush)	D	77		12.92	994.8
Existing Lakes (Water surface)	D	100		1.89	189.0
		Total:	0.07 ac	16.23 ac	1310.2

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =



Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	8.43 ac		
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		2.76 ac	220.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100		2.42 ac	242.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		2.69 ac	215.2
		Total:	8.43 ac	7.87 ac	678.0

Non-DCIA CN	=
% DCIA =	

86.15	
51.7%	

Composite Nutrient Loading:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Wet Pond Area	0.000	0.000	1.89 ac	0.00	0.00
Upland Hardwood	1.042	0.346	12.92 ac	13.46	4.47
Rangeland/Parkland	1.150	0.055	1.49 ac	1.71	0.08
	Total:		16.30 ac	15.18	4.55

TN = Total TN / Area =

0.931

TP = Total TP / Area =

0.279

Complete Report (not including cost) Ver 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking - Orange Sand Lake Date: 8/15/2023 5:11:31 PM

Site and Catchment Information

Analysis: Net Improvement

Catchment Name	Orange Sand Lake
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Annual Mean Rainfall	50.00

Pre-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	User Defined Values
Area (acres)	16.30
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.12
Non DCIA Curve Number	80.73
DCIA Percent (0-100)	0.40
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	0.931
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.279
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	8.212
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	9.427
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	2.825

Post-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200
Area (acres)	16.30
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.50
Non DCIA Curve Number	86.15
DCIA Percent (0-100)	51.70
Wet Pond Area (ac)	2.42
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.520
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.200
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	29.206

Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	54.736
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	7.202

Catchment Number: 1 Name: Orange Sand Lake

Project: Truck Parking - Orange Sand Lake **Date:** 8/15/2023

Wet Detention Design

Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft)10.840Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft) for 31 days residence 2.480Annual Residence Time (days)135Littoral Zone Efficiency CreditWetland Efficiency Credit

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)	16.30
Contributing Area (acres)	13.880
Non-DCIA Curve Number	86.15
DCIA Percent	51.70
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Rainfall (in)	50.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 83 Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 42 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 61 Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 77

Media Mix Information

Type of Media Mix Not Specified Media N Reduction (%) Media P Reduction (%)

Groundwater Discharge (Stand-Alone)

Treatment Rate (MG/yr)0.000TN Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TN Concentration (mg/L)0.000TP Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TP Concentration (mg/L)0.000

Load Diagram for Wet Detention (stand-alone)



Load Diagram for Wet Detention (As Used In Routing)



Summary Treatment Report Version: 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking -Orange Sand Lake Date:8/15/2023

Routing Summary Catchment 1 Routed to Outlet

Analysis Type: Net Improvement

BMP Types: Catchment 1 - (Orange Sand Lake) Wet Detention Based on % removal values to the nearest percent Total nitrogen target removal met? **No** Total phosphorus target removal met? **Yes**

Summary Report

Nitrogen

Surface Water Discharge

9.43 kg/yr	
54.74 kg/yr	
83 %	
9.43 kg/yr	
42 %	
31.54 kg/yr	69.54 lb/yr
23.2 kg/yr	51.15 lb/yr
	9.43 kg/yr 54.74 kg/yr 83 % 9.43 kg/yr 42 % 31.54 kg/yr 23.2 kg/yr

Phosphorus

Surface Water Discharge

Total P pre load	2.825 kg/yr	
Total P post load	7.202 kg/yr	
Target P load reduction	61 %	
Target P discharge load	2.825 kg/yr	
Percent P load reduction	77 %	
Provided P discharge load	1.69 kg/yr	3.73 lb/yr
Provided P load removed	5.513 kg/yr	12.155 lb/yr

Orange County Site 2



Made by:DLDChecked by:REC

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

PERMANENT POOL VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Basin Characteristics

Land Use	Area	Runoff Coeff.	Product
	(ac)		
Roadway Paved Area	4.33	0.95	4.11
Roadway Pervious Area	1.06	0.20	0.21
Pond Pervious Area	1.20	0.20	0.24
Pond Area at NWL	0.23	1.00	0.23
Total	6.82		4.80
Composite C =	0.70		
Annual Rainfall (P) =	50) in	

Min. Permanent Pool Vol.

= Area x Composite C x P x 14 / (365 x 12) =

0.77 ac-ft

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool

ELE (ft	e v .	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
94.50	NWL	0.21				0.67
			0.17	2.00	0.33	
92.50	Slope Break	0.12				0.34
			0.09	4.00	0.34	
88.50	Pond Bottom	0.05				

Permanent Pool Volume Provided =

Mean Depth = Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

0.67 ac-ft

3.19 ft



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 2 POND NAME : Ponds 1 & 2

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non- DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Commercial & business (85% impervious)	D	80		1.02 ac	81.6
	D	98	5.80		
		Total:	5.80 ac	1.02 ac	81.6

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =



Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	Non- DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	4.33 ac		
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		1.06 ac	84.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100		0.23 ac	23.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover >	D	80		1.20 ac	96.0
		Total:	4.33 ac	2.49 ac	203.8

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =

81	.85	
63	.5%	

Composite Nutrient Loading:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description		TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Low Intensity Commercial		1.130	0.188	6.82 ac	7.71	1.28
		Total:		6.82 ac	7.71	1.28
TN = Total TN / Area =	1.130					

0.188

TP = Total TP / Area =

Complete Report Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 2

Date: 6/28/2022 4:21:06 PM

Site and Catchment Information

Analysis: Net Improvement	
Catchment Name	Orange Site 2
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Annual Mean Rainfall	50.00

Pre-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Low-Intensity Commercial: TN=1.13 TP=0.188
Area (acres)	6.82
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.71
Non DCIA Curve Number	80.00
DCIA Percent (0-100)	85.00
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.130
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.188
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	20.034
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	27.913
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	4.644

Post-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200
Area (acres)	6.82
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.56
Non DCIA Curve Number	81.85
DCIA Percent (0-100)	63.50
Wet Pond Area (ac)	0.23
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.520
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.200
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	15.408
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	28.876
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	3.799

Catchment Number: 1 Name: Orange Former Motel

Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 2 **Date:** 6/28/2022

Wet Detention Design

Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft)0.670Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft) for 31 days residence 1.309Annual Residence Time (days)16Littoral Zone Efficiency CreditWetland Efficiency Credit

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)6.82Contributing Area (acres)6.590Non-DCIA Curve Number81.85DCIA Percent63.50Rainfall ZoneFlorida Zone 2Rainfall (in)50.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 3 Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 34 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 59

Media Mix Information

Type of Media Mix Not Specified Media N Reduction (%) Media P Reduction (%)

Groundwater Discharge (Stand-Alone)

Treatment Rate (MG/yr)0.000TN Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TN Concentration (mg/L)0.000TP Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TP Concentration (mg/L)0.000

Load Diagram for Wet Detention (stand-alone)



Load Diagram for Wet Detention (As Used In Routing)



Summary Treatment Report Version: 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 2

Analysis Type: Net Improvement BMP Types:

Date:6/28/2022

Routing Summary Catchment 1 Routed to Outlet

Catchment 1 - (Orange Site 2) Wet Detention Based on % removal values to the nearest percent Total nitrogen target removal met? **Yes** Total phosphorus target removal met? **Yes**

Summary Report

Nitrogen

Surface Water Discharge

Total N pre load	27.91 kg/yr	
Total N post load	28.88 kg/yr	
Target N load reduction	3 %	
Target N discharge load	27.91 kg/yr	
Percent N load reduction	34 %	
Provided N discharge load	18.98 kg/yr	41.84 lb/yr
Provided N load removed	9.9 kg/yr	21.83 lb/yr

Phosphorus

Surface Water Discharge

Total P pre load	4.644 kg/yr	
Total P post load	3.799 kg/yr	
Target P load reduction	%	
Target P discharge load	4.644 kg/yr	
Percent P load reduction	59 %	
Provided P discharge load	1.544 kg/yr	3.4 lb/yr
Provided P load removed	2.256 kg/yr	4.974 lb/y

Orange County Site 4



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4 POND NAME : Ponds 1, 2 & 3

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.	D	98	0.44		
Impervious areas; Gravel including right-of-way	D	91	4.15		
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		0.27 ac	21.6
		Total:	4.59 ac	0.27 ac	21.6

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =

1	80.00
	94.4%

80.00 64.4%

Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	3.13 ac		
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		0.81 ac	64.8
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		0.92 ac	73.6
		Total:	3.13 ac	1.73 ac	138.4

Non-DCIA CN =	
% DCIA =	

Composite	Nutrient	Loading:

Existing Condition:

	Land Use Description		TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Light Industrial			1.200	0.260	6.82 ac	8.18	1.77
			Total:		6.82 ac	8.18	1.77
	TN = Total TN / Area =	1.200	Ì				
	TP = Total TP / Area =	0.260					

Complete Report

Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 4 Date: 6/23/2022 11:00:54 AM

Site and Catchment Information

Analysis: Net Improvement

Catchment Name	Orange Site 4
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Annual Mean Rainfall	50.00

Pre-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Light Industrial: TN=1.200 TP=0.260
Area (acres)	4.86
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.77
Non DCIA Curve Number	80.00
DCIA Percent (0-100)	94.40
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.200
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.260
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	15.591
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	23.068
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	4.998

Post-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200
Area (acres)	4.86
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.56
Non DCIA Curve Number	80.00
DCIA Percent (0-100)	64.40
Wet Pond Area (ac)	0.00
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.520
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.200
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	11.356
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	21.283
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	2.800

Catchment Number: 1 Name: Orange Site 4

Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 4 **Date:** 6/23/2022

Retention Design

Retention Depth (in)1.407Retention Volume (ac-ft)0.570

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)	4.86
Contributing Area (acres)	4.860
Non-DCIA Curve Number	80.00
DCIA Percent	64.40
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Rainfall (in)	50.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 86 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 86

Media Mix Information

Type of Media MixNot SpecifiedMedia N Reduction (%)Media P Reduction (%)

Groundwater Discharge (Stand-Alone)

Treatment Rate (MG/yr)0.000TN Mass Load (kg/yr)18.281TN Concentration (mg/L)0.000TP Mass Load (kg/yr)2.405TP Concentration (mg/L)0.000
Load Diagram for Retention (stand-alone)



Load Diagram for Retention (As Used In Routing)



Summary Treatment Report Version: 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking - Orange County Site 4

Date:6/23/2022

Analysis Type: Net Improvement BMP Types: Catchment 1 - (Orange Site 4) Retention Based on % removal values to the nearest percent Total nitrogen target removal met? Yes Total phosphorus target removal met? Yes

Routing Summary Catchment 1 Routed to Outlet

Summary Report

Nitrogen

Surface Water Discharge

Total N pre load	23.07 kg/yr	
Total N post load	21.28 kg/yr	
Target N load reduction	%	
Target N discharge load	23.07 kg/yr	
Percent N load reduction	86 %	
Provided N discharge load	3 kg/yr	6.62 lb/yr
Provided N load removed	18.28 kg/yr	40.31 lb/yr

Phosphorus

Surface Water Discharge		
Total P pre load	4.998 kg/yr	
Total P post load	2.8 kg/yr	
Target P load reduction	%	
Target P discharge load	4.998 kg/yr	
Percent P load reduction	86 %	
Provided P discharge load	.395 kg/yr	.87 lb/yr
Provided P load removed	2.405 kg/yr	5.304 lb/yr

Seminole County Site 1B



Made by: DLD Checked by: REC

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 1

PERMANENT POOL VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Basin Characteristics

Land Use	Area	Runoff Coeff.	Product
	(ac)		
Roadway Paved Area	9.21	0.95	8.75
Roadway Pervious Area	1.91	0.20	0.38
Pond Pervious Area	1.43	0.20	0.29
Pond Area at NWL	0.85	1.00	0.85
Total	13.40		10.26
Composite C =	0.77		

Annual Rainfall (P) = 51 in

Min. Permanent Pool Vol.

= Area x Composite C x P x 14 / (365 x 12) =

1.67 ac-ft

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool - Pond 1

ELE (ft	e v. .)	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
6.50	NWL	0.77				4.54
			0.70	2.00	1.39	
4.50	Slope Break	0.62				3.15
			0.53	6.00	3.15	
-1.50	Pond Bottom	0.43				

Permanent Pool Volume Provided =

Mean Depth = Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

4.54 ac-ft 5.90 ft



(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: DLD Checked by: REC

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

PERMANENT POOL VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Basin Characteristics

Land Use	Area	Runoff Coeff.	Product
	(ac)		
Roadway Paved Area	2.17	0.95	2.06
Roadway Pervious Area	1.96	0.20	0.39
Pond Pervious Area	1.27	0.20	0.25
Pond Area at NWL	0.46	1.00	0.46
Total	5.86		3.17
Composite C =	0.54		
Annual Rainfall (P) =	5'	1 in	

Min. Permanent Pool Vol.

= Area x Composite C x P x 14 / (365 x 12) =

0.52 ac-ft

Stage Storage Calc. for Permanent Pool - Pond 2

ELE (ft	EV. .)	AREA (ac)	AVG AREA (ac)	Delta D (ft)	Delta storage (ac-ft)	Sum Storage (ac-ft)
8.50	NWL	0.42				0.81
			0.27	3.00	0.81	
5.50	Pond Bottom	0.12				

Permanent Pool Volume Provided =

Mean Depth = Permanent Pool Volume / Area at NWL =

0.81 ac-ft 1.93 ft



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 1

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	1.43 ac		
Brush-weed-grass mixture; Fair condition (50% to 75% ground cover)	D	77		4.35 ac	335.0
Industrial (72% Impervious)	D	80		0.42 ac	33.6
	D	98	1.09		
Woods; Fair condition (Woods grazed but not burned, and with some forest litter)	D	80		6.11 ac	488.8
		Total:	2.52 ac	10.88 ac	857.4

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =



Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	9.21 ac		0.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover > 75%)	D	80		1.91 ac	152.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100		0.85 ac	85.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) Good condition (grass cover >	D	80		1.43 ac	114.4
		Total:	9.21 ac	4.19 ac	352.2

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =

84.06	
68.7%	

Composite Nutrient Loading:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Light Industrial	1.200	0.260	1.51 ac	1.81	0.39
Highway	1.520	0.200	1.43 ac	2.17	0.29
Rangeland/Parkland	1.150	0.055	4.35 ac	5.00	0.24
Wet Flatwood	1.213	0.021	6.11 ac	7.41	0.13
	Total:		13.40 ac	16.40	1.05

TN = Total TN / Area =

TP = Total TP / Area =



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Seminole Site 1B POND NAME : Pond 2

Non-DCIA Curve Number Calculations:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	DCIA Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	0.20 ac		
Brush-weed-grass mixture; Fair condition (50% to 75% ground cover)	D	77		3.92 ac	301.8
Commercial & business (85% impervious)		98	1.48		
	D	80		0.26 ac	20.8
		Total:	1.68 ac	4.18 ac	322.6

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =



Proposed Condition:

Land Use Description	Soil Group	CN	Area	Non-DCIA Area	CN* Non-DCIA Area
Impervious areas; Streets & roads	D	98	2.17 ac		0.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses,	D	80		1.96 ac	156.8
Proposed Ponds (Water Surface)	D	100		0.64 ac	64.0
Open Space (lawns, parks, golf courses,	D	80		1.09 ac	87.2
		Total:	2.17 ac	3.69 ac	308.0

Non-DCIA CN = % DCIA =

83.47
37.0%

Composite Nutrient Loading:

Existing Condition:

Land Use Description	TN	TP	Area	TN* Area	TP*Area
Low Intensity Commercial	1.130	0.188	1.74 ac	1.97	0.33
Highway	1.520	0.200	0.20 ac	0.30	0.04
Rangeland/Parkland	1.150	0.055	3.92 ac	4.51	0.22
	Total:		5.86 ac	6.78	0.58

TN = Total TN / Area =

1.157	

TP = Total TP / Area =

0.099	

Complete Report

Project: Truck Parking – Seminole Site 1B Date: 6/27/2022 6:01:09 PM

Site and Catchment Information

Analysis: Net Improvement

Catchment Name	Basin 1	Basin 2
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2	Florida Zone 2
Annual Mean Rainfall	51.00	51.00

Pre-Condition Landuse Information

User Defined Values	User Defined Values
13.40	5.86
0.24	0.30
78.80	77.19
18.80	28.70
1.224	1.157
0.078	0.099
13.457	7.431
0.000	0.000
0.000	0.000
20.310	10.601
1.294	0.907
	User Defined Values 13.40 0.24 78.80 18.80 1.224 0.078 13.457 0.000 0.000 20.310 1.294

Post-Condition Landuse Information

Landuse	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200	Highway: TN=1.520 TP=0.200
Area (acres)	13.40	5.86
Rational Coefficient (0-1)	0.60	0.39
Non DCIA Curve Number	84.06	83.47
DCIA Percent (0-100)	68.70	37.00
Wet Pond Area (ac)	0.85	0.64
Nitrogen EMC (mg/l)	1.520	1.520
Phosphorus EMC (mg/l)	0.200	0.200
Runoff Volume (ac-ft/yr)	32.157	8.667
Groundwater N (kg/yr)	0.000	0.000
Groundwater P (kg/yr)	0.000	0.000
Nitrogen Loading (kg/yr)	60.268	16.243
Phosphorus Loading (kg/yr)	7.930	2.137

Catchment Number: 1 Name: Basin 1

Project: Truck Parking – Seminole Site 1B **Date:** 6/27/2022

Multiple BMP in Series Design Parameters

BMP in Series Number: 1BMP Type: RetentionRetention Depth (in)0.488Retention Volume (ac-ft) 0.510

BMP in Series Number: 2BMP Type: Wet DetentionPermanent Pool Volume (ac-ft)4.540Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft) for 31 days residence 2.731Annual Residence Time (days)52Littoral Zone Efficiency CreditWetland Efficiency Credit

BMP in Series Number: 3 BMP Type: None

BMP in Series Number: 4 BMP Type: None

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)	13.40
Contributing Area (acres)	12.550
Non-DCIA Curve Number	84.06
DCIA Percent	68.70
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Rainfall (in)	51.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 66 Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 69 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 84 Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 84

Load for Multiple BMP in Series



Load Diagram for Multiple BMP (As Used In Routing)



Catchment Number: 2 Name: Basin 2

Project: Truck Parking – Seminole Site 1B **Date:** 6/27/2022

Wet Detention Design

Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft)0.810Permanent Pool Volume (ac-ft) for 31 days residence 0.736Annual Residence Time (days)34Littoral Zone Efficiency CreditWetland Efficiency Credit

Watershed Characteristics

Catchment Area (acres)	5.86
Contributing Area (acres)	5.220
Non-DCIA Curve Number	83.47
DCIA Percent	37.00
Rainfall Zone	Florida Zone 2
Rainfall (in)	51.00

Surface Water Discharge

Required TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 35 Provided TN Treatment Efficiency (%) 39 Required TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 58 Provided TP Treatment Efficiency (%) 65

Media Mix Information

Type of Media MixNot SpecifiedMedia N Reduction (%)Media P Reduction (%)

Groundwater Discharge (Stand-Alone)

Treatment Rate (MG/yr)0.000TN Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TN Concentration (mg/L)0.000TP Mass Load (kg/yr)0.000TP Concentration (mg/L)0.000

Load Diagram for Wet Detention (stand-alone)



Load Diagram for Wet Detention (As Used In Routing)



Summary Treatment Report Version: 4.3.5

Project: Truck Parking – Seminole Site 1B

Date:6/27/2022

Analysis Type: Net Improvement BMP Types:

Routing Summary

Catchment 1 Routed to Outlet Catchment 2 Routed to Outlet

Catchment 1 - (Basin 1) Multiple BMP Catchment 2 - (Basin 2) Wet Detention Based on % removal values to the nearest percent

Total nitrogen target removal met? Yes Total phosphorus target removal met? Yes

Summary Report

Nitrogen

Surface Water Discharge

Total N pre load	30.91 kg/yr	
Total N post load	76.51 kg/yr	
Target N load reduction	60 %	
Target N discharge load	30.91 kg/yr	
Percent N load reduction	62 %	
Provided N discharge load	28.8 kg/yr	63.5 lb/yr
Provided N load removed	47.71 kg/yr	105.21 lb/yr

Phosphorus

Surface Water Discharge

Total P pre load	2.201 kg/yr	
Total P post load	10.067 kg/yr	
Target P load reduction	78 %	
Target P discharge load	2.201 kg/yr	
Percent P load reduction	80 %	
Provided P discharge load	2.013 kg/yr	4.44 lb/yr
Provided P load removed	8.054 kg/yr	17.759 lb/yr

APPENDIX F

Floodplain Impact & Compensation Calculations

Orange County Site 1



Made by:	CND
Checked by:	REC

DATE: November 14, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

> PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 1

FLOODPLAIN IMPACT ANALYSIS

Floodplain Impact Area	Area (ac)	Avg. Ground / SHW Elevation (ft)	Floodplain Elevation (ft)	Impact Volume (ac-ft)	Total Impact Volume for FIA (ac-ft)
	5.92	84.5		14.80	
Orange - 2.14 Site 1 0.14	85	87	4.28	19.22	
	0.14	86			0.14
John Young Pond 4 Existing Floodplain Compensation Provided					5.40
TOTAL IMPACT:					24.62

*SHW estimated at 84.50' (taken from FL Turnpike Floodplain Compensation Site SHW, ERP 48-01443-P)

Ponds 1 & 2 Compensation Volume (ac-ft)	8.96
Excess Compensation in Turnpike FPC (ac-ft)	0.88
Excess Compensation in John Young Ponds (ac-ft)	3.76
TOTAL COMPENSATION:	13.60
Remaining Impacts:	11.02

Remaining floodplain impacts can be mitigated for by hydraulic modeling to show no significant rise in the 100year flood elevation over the large area of the floodplain shape or by expansion of the pond size to increase the amount of provided compensation volume. Orange County Site 4



3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax) Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: June 30, 2022 Job Number: DT5-030-10

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Orange County Site 4

FLOODPLAIN IMPACT ANALYSIS

Floodplain Impact Area	Area (ac)	Floodplain Elevation (ft)	Avg. Ground Elevation (ft)	Impact Volume (ac-ft)	Total Impact Volume for FIA (ac-ft)	
	0.03		94	0.06		
Orange - Site 1	0.02	96	94.5	0.03	0.42	
	0.66			95.5	0.33	
				TOTAL IMPACT:	0.42	

Ponds 1, 2 & 3 Compensation Volume (ac-ft)	0.57
TOTAL COMPENSATION:	0.57
Excess Compensation:	0.15

Volusia County Site 1A



Made by: FJM
Checked by: REC

DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

> PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A

FLOODPLAIN IMPACT ANALYSIS

Floodplain Impact Area	Area (ac)	Floodplain Elevation (ft)	Avg. Ground / SHW Elevation (ft)	Impact Volume (ac-ft)	Total Impact Volume for FIA (ac-ft)
	12.22		36	12.22	
Volusia - South (FB)	10.52	37	36.5	5.26	17.48
550dtil (22)	0.00		37	0.00	
				TOTAL IMPACT:	17.48

Pond 1 Compensation Volume (ac-ft)	4.26
FPCA 1 Compesation Volume (ac-ft)	0.91
FPCA 2 Compesation Volume (ac-ft)	1.00
TOTAL COMPENSATION:	6.17
Remaining Impacts:	11.31

*Remaining floodplain impacts will be modeled to show no adverse rise in 100-year flood stage due to the large area of the floodplain.



Made by: DLD Checked by: REC

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1A POND NAME : FPCA

Note: These calculations serve to estimate the available volume within two Floodplain Compensation Areas that are available on the site.

EXISTING CONDITION

(407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)



Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - FPCA 1

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
Varies	Tie Down Elevation	1.47 ac	
38.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.27 ac	
37.00	Front of Main Berm	0.95 ac	0.91 ac-ft
37.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	0.95 ac	0.91 ac-ft
36.00	Normal Water Level	0.87 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - FPCA 2

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
Varies	Tie Down Elevation	1.63 ac	
38.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.39 ac	2.22 ac-ft
37.00	Front of Main Berm	1.04 ac	1.00 ac-ft
37.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	1.04 ac	1.00 ac-ft
36.00	Normal Water Level	0.96 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Volume in Stormwater Pond 1 =4.26 ac-ftVolume in FPCA 1 =0.91 ac-ftVolume in FPCA 2 =1.00 ac-ftTotal Provided Compensation =6.17 ac-ft

Volusia County Site 1B



Made by:	FJM
Checked by:	REC

DATE: November 14, 2023 **Job Number:** DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

> PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B

FLOODPLAIN IMPACT ANALYSIS

Floodplain Impact Area	Area (ac)	Floodplain Elevation (ft)	Avg. Ground Elevation (ft)	Impact Volume (ac-ft)	Total Impact Volume for FIA (ac-ft)
	24.45		38	48.90	
Volusia - North (WB)	7.26	40	38.5	10.89	62.75
(112)	5.92		39.5	2.96	
				TOTAL IMPACT:	62.75

Expanded Pond I Compensation Volume (ac-ft)	8.39
Pond 1 Compesation Volume (ac-ft)	2.39
FPCA 1 Compesation Volume (ac-ft)	2.75
TOTAL COMPENSATION:	13.53
Remaining Impacts:	49.22

*Remaining floodplain impacts will be modeled to show no adverse rise in 100-year flood stage due to the large area of the floodplain.



Made by: CND Checked by: REC DATE: November 14, 2023 Job Number: DT5-030-10

3000 Dovera Drive, Suite 200, Oviedo, FL 32765 (407) 971-8850 (phone) (407) 971-8955 (fax)

PROJECT : I-4 Truck Parking Study BASIN NAME : Volusia Site 1B POND NAME : FPCA

Note: These calculations serve to estimate the available volume within a Floodplain Compensation Area that is available on site.



Pond Stage / Storage Calculations - FPCA 1

ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION	AREA	STORAGE
Varies	Tie Down Elevation	2.20 ac	
41.00	Back of Main. Berm	1.83 ac	
40.00	Front of Main Berm	1.42 ac	2.75 ac-ft
40.00	Floodplain Comp. Storage	1.42 ac	2.75 ac-ft
37.90	Pond Bottom	1.20 ac	0.00 ac-ft

Volume in Stormwater Ponds =	10.78 ac-ft
Volume in FPCA 1 =	2.75 ac-ft
Total Provided Compensation =	13.53 ac-ft

APPENDIX G

Cultural Resources Analysis



To: Kevin Freeman 225 E. Robinson Street, Suite 300 Landmark Center Two Orlando, FL 32801-4326 Date: 3/9/2022

Project #: 63640.01

From: Ben Donnan MA, RPA - 43930265 1355 Peachtree St NE Suite 100 Atlanta, GA 30309 Re: FDOT D5 PD&E: I-4 Truck Stop Analysis Desktop Survey

Introduction

In March 2022, a Desktop Survey as part of the Freight Parking PD&E Study for Interstate 4(I-4) in Florida. The Freight Parking PD&E Study will evaluate potential solutions and alternatives for the I-4 corridor to recommend viable concept sites for truck and freight parking that includes social, economic, and environmental assessment and effects of the proposed improvements.

Potential Site Location Overview

Potential site areas were evaluated in four separate Florida Counties: Osceola, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia (Table 1; Figures 1 through 4). These areas represent potential site locations that could be developed for freight parking. The sites were evaluated for archaeological and historical site potential based on Florida Master Site Files, USGS topographic maps, historic maps and aerial imagery.

County	Township-Range-Section		Longitude
Osceola			
OS_1	T25S, R28E Sec 31	28.258045	-81.5557
OS_2	T25S, R28E, Sec 31; T26S, R28E, Sec 6	28.262724	-81.5517
Orange			
OR_1	T23S R29E, Sec 34	28.436676	-81.4022
OR_2	T24S R29E, Sec 2	28.43519	-81.3826
OR_3	T23S R29E, Sec 35	28.436985	-81.3814
OR_4	T24S, R29E, Sec 12	28.418661	-81.3679
OR_5	T24S R30E Sec 07	28.41546	-81.3554
OR_6	T24S R30E Sec 07	28.417457	-81.3526
OR_7	T24S R30E Sec 07 28.4		-81.353
OR_8	T23S R29E Sec 28 and 29 28.451091 - {		-81.4236
Seminole			
SE_1	T19S R30E Sec 21		-81.3276
SE_2	T19S R30E Sec 21		-81.3272
SE_3	T19S R30E Sec 21 28		-81.3261
SE_4	T19S R30E Sec 21 28.826155 -81.3		-81.3267
Volusia			
1	T16S R31E Sec 12; T16S R31E Sec 13; T16S R32E Sec 18 29.115209 -81.1		
VO_2	T16S R32E Sec 18 29.110761 -81.13		-81.1378
VO_3	T16S R32E Sec 18 29.106054		-81.1271

Table 1: Potential Site Locations for Development



Potential Site Location Maps



Figure 1. USGS Overview Map of Potential Site Locations in Osceola County, Florida.







STIP-Proposed Project Location Roadside Ramp 21 Bookertow SE_3 BM S SE_2 SE_4 Lake Monroe SE_1 20 ROAD 8 Legend Proposed Survey Areas Service Layer Credits: Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed USGS Topographic Map, 7.5' 0 Series, 0.5 1 ⊐ Kilometers

Figure 3. USGS Overview Map of Potential Site Locations in Seminole County, Florida.





Figure 4. USGS Overview Map of Potential Site Locations in Volusia County, Florida.



Background Review

Osceola County

In Osceola County, two locations were evaluated for archaeological and historical potential (Figure 5). The surrounding area contained eight archaeological sites (80S1721, 80S1722, 80S1861, 80S2765, 80S2941, 8PO3968, 8PO7756 and 8PO3972) within 1 kilometer (km) of the site footprints. Site 80S2765 was recommended as having insufficient information (INSF) and therefore has unknown eligibility for listing on the NRHP under Criterion D. The other sites have all been determined ineligible for listing on the NRHP under Criterion D.

Site Number	Site Type	Components	NRHP Eligibility
80S1721	Lithic Scatter	Aceramic	Ineligible
8OS1722	Lithic Scatter/Campsite	Aceramic	Ineligible
8OS1861	Campsite	Aceramic	Ineligible
8OS2765	Artifact Scatter	Aceramic and Refuge	Ineligible
8OS2941	Lithic Scatter	Aceramic	Insufficient Information
8PO3968	Lithic Scatter/Isolated Find	Aceramic	Ineligible
8PO3972	Artifact Scatter	Aceramic and St. Johns	Ineligible
8PO7756	Ceramic Scatter	St. Johns	Ineligible

Table 1. Previously Recorded Sites within 1-km of the Potential Site Locations.

Orange County

In Orange County, eight locations were evaluated for archaeological and historical potential (Figures 6 and 7). The surrounding area did not contain any recorded archaeological sites within 1-km of the site footprints. OR 1 and OR 4 were found to have historic structures with potential to be of greater than 50 years in the vicinity. The remaining areas have low potential for archaeological or historical sites.

Seminole County

In Seminole County, four locations were evaluated for archaeological and historical potential (Figures 8 and 9). The surrounding area contained a single historic site (8SE1720) within 1-km of the site footprints. In addition, three potential historic resources (all residential) that are greater than 50 years in age are within the potential site locations.

Volusia County

In Volusia County, two locations were evaluated for archaeological and historical potential. The surrounding area contained a single precontact site (8VO7094) within 1-km of the site footprints. The site is located at the edge of an upland area on the edge of wetland and is ineligible for listing on the NRHP under Criterion D. Borrow pits are located within the footprint indicating some level of industrial impact.



Recommendation

Osceola County Potential Site Location

In Osceola County, there is a high potential for archaeological resources within the proposed site footprints due to the high concentration of known sites surrounding the potential site locations. It is likely these sites will be lithic or ceramic scatters (see Figure 5). There is a low potential for historic resources, as none have been identified in the vicinity.

Orange County Potential Site Location

In Orange County, there is a low potential for archaeological resources within the proposed site footprints. OR_1 and OR_4 have potential for historic resources that would need to be recorded in the vicinity (see Figures 6 and 7).

Seminole County Potential Site Location

In Seminole County, there is moderate to high potential for both archaeological and historical resources within the proposed site footprints. For archaeological resources, multiple historic structures have been removed from the location and there is a historic site adjacent to the potential site locations. Multiple historic structures are present within two of the Seminole County potential site locations (see Figure 8).

Volusia County Potential Site Location

In Volusia County, there is a low potential for archaeological resources. Upland areas along wetlands may have moderate potential for archaeological sites, which potentially would account for roughly 40-50% of the current footprint (see Figure 9). There is a low potential for historic resources, as none have been identified in the vicinity.













Memorandum


FDOT D5 PD&E: I-4 Truck Stop Analysis Desktop Survey Ref: 63640.01 3/9/2022 Page 12





FDOT D5 PD&E: I-4 Truck Stop Analysis Desktop Survey Ref: 63640.01 3/9/2022 Page 13





APPENDIX H

Desktop Contamination Analysis

Cameron DeWitt

Subject:

Truck Parking Contamination update

From: Kevin Freeman <<u>KFreeman@VHB.com</u>> Sent: Monday, August 8, 2022 6:00 PM To: Renato Chuw <<u>rchuw@inwoodinc.com</u>> Subject: Truck Parking Contamination update

Renato,

Updated based on comments on the Contamination. Please use below for Conceptual Drainage Report

	Low-Risk Properties	Medium- Risk Property Records	High-Risk Property Records	Overall Site Risk
Osceola Co. Site 1	2	1	0	Low
Orange Co. Site 1	16	1	0	Low
Orange Co. Site 2	20	6	1	Medium
Orange Co. Site 4	33	2	0	Medium
Seminole Co. Site 1	27	1	0	High
Volusia Co. Site 1	4	1	0	Low

Thanks,



225 E. Robinson Street, Suite 300 Landmark Center Two Orlando FL 32801-4326

This communication and any attachments to this are confidential and intended only for the recipient(s). Any other use, dissemination, copying, or disclosure of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us and destroy it immediately. Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. is not responsible for any undetectable alteration, virus, transmission error, conversion, media degradation, software error, or interference with this transmission or attachments to this transmission.

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. | info@vhb.com

APPENDIX I

Existing Permits & Backup Information (Excerpts)

Osceola County

SFWMD

Application No. 220627-34970 (Under Review)

SR 538 Extension (Poinciana Parkway)





WET POND CALCULATIONS

	Project No. Description	SR 538 (Poincia	538-235 na Parkway) Exte	nsion. Segment 2	Prepared by: Checked by:	DV BS	Date: Date:	01/22/2022
	BASIN 100 INFU			07.04.4.0				Page 1 of 2
	SR 538 Road Tota	al Area		27.34 AC				
	SR 538 Road Nev	w Impervious		9.40 AC				
	Total Drainage Ar	ea		27.34 AC				
	THE PROPOSED W STAGE-STORAGE F	ET RETENTION RELATIONSHIP:	POND SYSTEM H	HAS THE FOLLOWIN	IG			
		ACRE	S	TAGE	STORAGE		AT W	/EIR
	POND 100		(FT)	(ELEVATION)	(AC-FT)			
	BOTTOM	2.32	0.00	76.00	0.00			AREA(AC)
	1:2 BREAK	2.74	6.00	82.00	15.18		(70-11)	
	NWL	3.05	8.77	84.77	23.20		26.614	3.196
	TOB	3.58	12.50	88.50	35.56			
1	CALCULATE TREAT	MENT VOLUME	REQUIRED (TV)	<u>.</u>				
	Treatment Volume p	rovided in existing	pond					0.00 AC-FT
	ADDITIONAL TREAT	TMENT VOLUME	REQUIRED FOR	SR 538 ROAD				
a.	1" OVER THE DRAI	NAGE AREA		= DRAINAGE AREA	* 1-INCH/(12	IN/FT)		2.28 AC-FT
b.	2.5 INCHES OVER I	MPERVIOUS ARI	EA	= IMPERVIOUS ARE	EA*2.5 INCHE	S/(12 IN/FT)		1.96 AC-FT
	DOES PROJECT DI	SCHARGE INTO	OFW?	YES OR NO?	NO		ADD	0
	TREATMENT VOLU	ME (REQUIRED	FOR SR 538 RO	AD) =				2.28 AC-FT
	TOTAL TREATMEN	T VOLUME REQU	JIRED FOR PON	D =				2.28 AC-FT
2	SET WEIR ELEVATI	<u>ON:</u>						
a.	MIN.WEIR ELEVATI	ON =	= [(TOB(EL)-N [STORAGE(T	WL(EL))]*(TV(AC-F OB)-STORAGE(NWI	<u>Γ)) + NWL</u> _)]	(EL)		85.46 FT
b.	SET POND WEIR EI	LEVATION =	85.80 FT					
C.	TREATMENT VOLU	ME PROVIDED :	3.21 AC-FT					
3	CALCULATE PERM	ANENT POOL VC	DLUME (PPV)					
a.	PPV(REQUIRED) = _ = DRAINAGE AREA * C * R * RTC = COEFFICIENT OF RUNOFFWS * CFR = WET SEASON RAINFALL (FIG. 26-1)WS * CFRT = RESIDENCE TIME IN DAYS (NON LWS = NO. OF WET SEASON DAYS (153)							31.00 21.00 DAYS)
	IMP	ERVIOUS AREA	C 0.95	AREA (AC) 9.40	CF = 12 IIN/F	I		

15.51

0.48

c. PPV (REQUIRED) = 4.68 AC-FT

PERVIOUS AREA

0.2

C =

d. PPV (PROVIDED) = 23.20 AC-FT

b.

Osceola County

CR 532 Widening (Osceola Polk Line Road)

Not Yet Permitted

CR 532 Widening from Lake Wilson Road to US 17/92 CFX Project No. 538-235A January 2022

Basin	Area (ac)	Off-Site Impervious Area (ac)	10-yr/72-hr Equivalent CN	Time of Concentration (min)	Type of Basin		
B21A	2.40	0.03	84.1	54.20	Off-site		
B21B	11.21	0.00	87	10.00	Off-site		
B21C	1.25	0.02	83	19.70	Off-site		
B22	1.27	0.08	84.9	10.00	Off-site		
B23	0.59	0.02	84.6	10.00	Off-site		
B23A	2.11	0.00	83	29.80	Off-site		
B23B	21.70	0.05	82.5	64.90	Off-site		
B24	2.75	0.00	83.4	54.00	Off-site		
B24A	7.34	0.38	84.7	41.60	Off-site		
B25A	4.05	0.28	92.5	10.00	Off-site		
B25B	4.15	0.00	85.6	37.00	Off-site		
B26	4.45	0.03	83.1	51.70	Off-site		
B27	38.36	2.08	84.3	137.50	Off-site		
B28	3.50	0.54**	85.3	57.00	Off-site		
B29	0.96	0.24**	86.8	30.60	Off-site		

* Old Lake Wilson Roadway Impervious, ** US 17-92 Roadway Impervious

A summary of the ponds is included in **Table 6** along with a water quality summary provided in **Table 7** for each proposed pond. The proposed ponds provide more than the required treatment volume since the entire roadway impervious is routed to the pond for treatment. The recovery of the treatment volume also meets criteria or utilizes the minimum dimensional criteria for orifices.

Pond	ICPR Node	Pond Bottom Elev.	NWL	10yr/72hr (DHW) Stage	100yr/72hr Stage	Pond Berm Elev.	Low Edge of Pavement Elev.	Station of Low Edge of Pavement	DHW Freeboard
		(ft NAVD)	(ft NAVD)	(ft NAVD)	(ft NAVD)	(ft NAVD)	(ft NAVD)	(CL Const.)	(ft)
2	POND2	78.50	90.5	92.31	93.46	93.50	93.18	106+47	1.19
3	POND3	73.50	85.5	88.57	89.62	90.00	90.52	163+15	1.43
5	POND5	69.50	81.5	82.91	83.49	84.00	85.21	187+09	1.09
c	POND6_1	62.70	70.7	73.45	74.31	74 50	74.05	212 46	1.05
b I	POND6_2	62.70	70.7	73.42 74.22	74.22	74.50	74.95	213+46	1.08
7	POND7	56.50	68.5	70.43	70.92	71.50	70.98	222+47	1.07

CR 532 Widening from Lake Wilson Road to US 17/92 CFX Project No. 538-235A January 2022

Pond	ICPR Node	Туре	Required Treatment Volume (ac-ft)	Controlling Factor	Provided Treatment Volume (ac-ft)	Overflow Weir Elevation (ft-NAVD)
2	POND2	Wet Detention	2.07	1.0" runoff from drainage area	2.94	91.15
3	POND3	Wet Detention	1.38	1.0" runoff from drainage area	2.43	86.5
5	POND5	Wet Detention	0.85	1.0" runoff from drainage area	1.25	82.0
6	POND6_1	Wet Detention	0.75	2.5" runoff from new	1.10	72.1
0	POND6_2	Wet Detention	0170	impervious area	1120	, 2.12
7	POND7	Wet Detention	0.40	1.0" runoff from drainage area	0.58	69.1

Table 7 - Water Quality Summary Table

A summary of the pre- and post-development discharges and the difference in these discharges is provided in **Table 8** to demonstrate that attenuation criteria is met by the proposed pond sites for the 10-year/72-hour and 25-yr/72hour storm events. The ultimate discharge locations remain the same as the pre-development condition and utilize the same tailwater information as described in **Section 1.4**.

		Peak Discharg	ge Flow Rate (cfs	Difference (Best Bro)(cfs)			
Node	Pre-Dev	elopment	Post-Developn	nent	Difference (POSt-Pre)(CIS)		
	10-yr/72 hr	25-yr/72 hr	10-yr/72 hr	25-yr/72 hr	10-yr/72 hr	25-yr/72 hr	
TW1	88.75	123.52	69.11	80.49	-19.64	-43.03	
TW2	126.91	155.77	125.82	152.02	-1.09	-3.75	
TW3	50	66	48.18	63.66	-1.82	-2.34	
TW4	28.08	36.52	23.46	30.35	-4.62	-6.17	
TW5	48.33	60.5	39.81	49.19	-8.52	-11.31	
TW_RC	78.65	129.2	71.43	118.92	-7.22	-10.28	

Table 8 - Water Quantity Summary

Nutrient removal summary is provided in **Table 9**. For the nutrient removal analysis, the pre-development condition was assumed to be the original natural condition prior to the construction of the existing CR 532 roadway. Land use classifications utilized historic aerials and original 1959 construction plans. Note the project is only required to meet phosphorous removal; however, nitrogen removal calculations are provided for informational purposes.

VOLUME CALCULATIONS FOR PROPOSED CONDITION

Project:	CR532 Widening from Lake Wilson Ro	ad to US 17-92	2		
FPID:	538-235A	Designer:	MM	Date:	1/28/2022
County:	Osceola County	Reviewer:	JN	Checked:	1/28/2022
					-
Wet Deter Pond 5	ntion Online Pond Treatment Cal	culations:			
	Existing Impervious =	1.44 Ac			
	Post Dev. Total Impervious Area =	5.23 Ac			
	Net Additional Impervious =	3.79 Ac			
	Total Drainage area =	10.20 Ac			
	Drainage Area Excluding Pond =	7.09 Ac			
2.5"	runoff from new impervious area =	0.79 Ac-Ft	(Net Imperv	v. Area)	
	1.0" runoff from drainage area =	0.85 Ac-Ft	(Including F	Pond)	
R	equired Treatment Volume (T.V.) =	0.85	Ac-ft		
Provided Tr	eatment Volume Based on Contributi	ng Basin			1
	Total Impervious Area to Pond =	5.23 Ac			
	Total Drainage Area =	10.20 Ac			
2.5"	runoff from new impervious area =	1.09 Ac-Ft	(Total Impe	rv. Area)	
	1.0" runoff from drainage area =	0.85 Ac-Ft	(Including F	Pond)	
Р	Provided Treatment Volume (T.V.) =	1.09	Ac-ft		

Storage Calculations: Pond 5

Elev	h	Area	Area	Inc. Volume	Cumulative Vol.	
	ft	sf	ac	Ac-ft	Ac-ft	
84.00			3.11			Outside Top of Berm
84.00	1.0	121,066	2.78	2.72	6.55	Inside Top of Berm
83.00	1.0	115,482	2.65	2.59	3.84	
82.00	0.5	110,002	2.53	1.25	1.25	
81.50	0.0	107,297	2.46	0.00	0.00	NWL

Overflow Weir	Elevation	(Top of ⊺	Freatme	ent Volume):	
Elev. =	82.0	=	1.25	Ac-Ft	Provided Treatment Volume

Profile Grade Line

Low Pt. STA	Side	PGL Elev	X Slope	Pav't Width (PGL Location to LEOP)	LEOP Elev
187+08.74	RT/LT	85.69	2.0%	24.0	85.21

ORIFICE SIZING FOR PROPOSED CONDITION

Project:	Project: CR532 Widening from Lake Wilson Road to US 17-92						
FPID:	538-235A	Designer: MM	Date:	1/28/2022			
County:	Osceola County	Reviewer: JN	Checked:	1/28/2022			

Pond 5 Orifice Calculations

Size the orifice to discharge no more than 1/2-inch of detention volume in 24 hours (min. 6 sq-in).

Total I 1/2-Inch over Basin for Orifice Drawdown	Drainage Basin = Volume (TV40) =	7.09 Ac	(Excluding Pond))
		0.0071011]	
	Elev.	Pond Volume		
	(NAVD ft)	Ac-ft		
	82.00	1.25	Top of Provided	TV
	81.50	0.00	NWL	
Elev. =	81.75	ft. provides	0.62 Ac-Ft	
Average Discharge Rate (Q) to Draw	down Half the TV	in a Desired Am	nount of Time (t)	
Re	ecovery Time (t) =	24.0	hr	
Convers	sion Factor (CF) =	3,600	sec/hr	$=\frac{TV_{1/2}}{}$
	Q =	0.151	cfs 🤤	t * CF
Average Depth of Water Above the C	Drifice Flow Line			
0	rifice Flow Line =	81.67	ft	
Depth from Top of P	Provided TV $(h_1) =$	0.33	ft	
Depth from Drawdo	wn Volume $(h_2) =$	0.08	ft	$(h_1 + h_2)$
Average Dep	oth of Water (h) =	0.00	ft h	$=\frac{(n_1+n_2)}{2}$
5				-
Required Maximum Orifice Dimension	<u>ons</u>			
	Orifice Equation:	$Q = C A \sqrt{2g}$	\overline{h}	
Where:	C =	0.6		
	g =	32.2	ft/s ²	
C	Drifice Area (A) =	0.069	ft ²	$1 = \frac{Q}{C\sqrt{2gh}}$
Diameter of a Circ	cular Orfice (D) =	3.55 inch	Ľ	$Q = \sqrt{4A/\pi}$
Provided Recovery Time of Drawdow	vn Volume			
Provide	ed Orifice Size =	4.00 inch	Diameter	
Rate o	f discharge (Q) =	0.192	cfs	717
Provided Re	ecovery Time (t) =	18.9	hrs t	$r = \frac{I V_{1/2}}{Q * CF}$

LITTORAL ZONE CALCULATIONS FOR PROPOSED CONDITION

PROJECT:	CR532 Wi	dening from Lake Wilson Road to	PREPARED:	MM	DATE:	1/28/2022
LOCATION:	Osceola C	ounty, FL	CHECKED:	JN	DATE:	1/28/2022
Littoral Zone Calcula	tions (Po	ond 5):				
Elev. (NAVD)	h	Area	Area	Inc. Volume	Cum. Volume	Cum. Volume
(ft)	ft	sf	ac	Ac-ft	Ac-ft	Cu-ft
81.50	6.0	107,297	2.46	13.18	23.77	1,035,421
75.50	6.0	84,071	1.93	10.59	10.59	461,300

Mean Depth of Pond =

69,696

9.7 ft

0.00

0.00

0

1.60

Littoral Zone Criteria

Lesser of: 20% of Wet Det Area or 2.5% of Total Basin Area (Including Pond)

0.0

Required Littoral Zone Area

69.50

	Detention Area =	3.11 ac
	Total Basin Area w/o Pond =	7.09 ac
	Total Basin Area w/ Pond =	10.20 ac
	20% Pond Area =	0.62 ac
	2.5% of Total Area =	0.25 ac
	Required Littoral Zone Area =	0.25 ac
Provided Littoral Zone Area =	(Area at NWL - Area at Littoral Zone Bottom) =	0.53 ac

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STORM SEWER TABULATION FORM

Financial Project ID: 53 Description: CR 532	8-235A					County: Organizat	Osceola tion:	I				Network: State Roa	O-502 d:								Sheet: Prepared by: Checked by:	KAG VHG	of	 Date: 01/24/2022 Date: 01/24/2022	
LOCATION OF	KE NO.			AR	DRAINAG EA (ac. o	BE rha.)	NOL	TION								HYDR	AULIC GRA	ADIENT		PIPE SIZE (in)	SLOPE (%)	rual _ocity \$)	(cfs)	NOTES AND REMARKS	
UPPER END	10L			INCRE	MENTAL		RA	SEC					~	(¥	(¥		CROWN		ELS	RISE		(FEI AC	μ	ZONE: Zone 7	
	l N			CUMU	LATIVE		L.	Z	Ē		fs)	cfs)	s (ft	NO	сE	FLOW	LINE ELEV	ATION	ARR		HYD. GRAD.		PA	FREQUENCY (yrs): 10.00 Year	
ALIGNMENT NAME	ST	<u>ш</u>		ш		.	NO	8	l'ul	2	v (c	Ň	SE	TA1	NM		a _		Ë		PHYSICAL		Ö	MANNINGS n:	used for
		" ĥ	Ĕ	ы Ш		TAL	ŭ		È	(C*#	ΓΟ	FLO	ΓÕ	Ē	EAF	<u>j</u> en	N N N		RO	SDAN		₹≿	ð	TAILWATER EL. (ft): 83.00	stormsewer
STATION DEL AN DISLAN	LOWER	TYPE O STRUCI	LENGTI	COMPO C VALU	AREA	SUB-TC (C*A)	TIME OI (min)	TIME OI (min)	INTENS	TOTAL	BASE F	тотаг	MINOR	INLET E	HGL CL	UPPER ELEVA1 (ft)	LOWER ELEVA1 (ft)	FALL (ft)	NUMBE	GFAN	MIN. PHYSICAL	PHYSIC VELOCI (fps)	FULL FI		TW estimate
BL_CR-532	S-513			0.831	0.340	0.283										83.37	83.35	0.02			0.355%	1.18			
195+50.00 -51.00 Lt.	S-514	Type 3 Cl	56.50	0.831	0.340	0.283	10.00	0.79	7.41	0.283	0.000	2.09	0.00	83.93	0.56	81.20 79.70	81.00 79.50	0.20	1	18	0.35%	3.84	6.79		
BL_CR-532	S-514			0.300	0.850	0.255										83.35	83.28	0.07			0.350%	2.23			
195+50.00 0.00 Lt.	S-515	Type D DBI	56.50	0.452	1.190	0.538	10.28	0.42	7.34	0.538	0.000	3.95	0.00	82.80	-0.55	81.00 79.50	80.80 79.30	0.20	1	18	0.35%	3.84	6.79		
BL_CR-532	S-515			0.881	0.260	0.229										83.28	83.00	0.28			0.860%	3.16			
195+50.00 51.00 Rt.	O-502	Type 3 Cl	116.01	0.529	1.450	0.767	10.52	0.61	7.28	0.767	0.000	5.58	0.00	83.93	0.65	72.00 70.50	71.00 69.50	1.00	1	18	0.86%	5.99	10.59		

Remarks: Computations performed using GEOPAK Drainage.

Orange County

SFWMD

Permit No. 48-00123-S

John Young Parkway & Sand Lake Road Interchange Improvements



SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE PERMIT NO. 48-00123-S DATE ISSUED: 3/30/2016

PERMITTEE: ORANGE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS 4200 SOUTH JOHN YOUNG PARKWAY ORLANDO, FL 32839

F D O T - DISTRICT 5 719 SOUTH WOODLAND BOULEVARD DELAND, FL 32720

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Modification for construction and operation of a stormwater management system serving 38.24 acres of roadway development for a project known as John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road Interchange Improvements.

PROJECT LOCATION: ORANGE COUNTY,

SEC 29 TWP 23S RGE 29E SEC 28 TWP 23S RGE 29E SEC 33 TWP 23S RGE 29E SEC 32 TWP 23S RGE 29E

PERMIT DURATION:

See Special Condition No:1.

This is to notify you of the District's agency action for Permit Application No. 151112-13, dated November 12, 2015. This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 373, Part IV, Florida Statues (F.S).

Based on the information provided, District rules have been adhered to and an Environmental Resource Permit is in effect for this project subject to:

- 1. Not receiving a filed request for a Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, administrative hearing.
- 2. the attached 18 General Conditions (See Pages : 2 4 of 6),
- 3. the attached 10 Special Conditions (See Pages : 5 6 of 6) and
- 4. the attached 3 Exhibit(s)

Should you object to these conditions, please refer to the attached "Notice of Rights" which addresses the procedures to be followed if you desire a public hearing or other review of the proposed agency action. Please contact this office if you have any questions concerning this matter. If we do not hear from you in accordance with the "Notice of Rights," we will assume that you concur with the District's action.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT this written notice has been mailed or electronically transmitted to the Permittee (and the persons listed in the attached distribution list) this, in accordance with Section 120.60(3), F.S. Notice was also electronically posted on this date through a link on the home page of the District's website (my.sfwmd.grv/)Printing.

BY:

Charles R. Walter, P.G., CFM

Orlando Regulatory Service Center Administrator Orlando Service Center

Page 1 of 6



100% Submittal

Drainage Design Report

County Road 423 -John Young Parkway

From South Park Cir. to Florida's Turnpike Bridge Orange County, Florida District 6

Contract No. Y13-806

November 2015

SUBMITTED BY:

Dewberry 520 S. Magnolia Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801 407.843.5120

SUBMITTED TO:

Orange County Public Works Engineering Division 4200 South John Young Parkway Orlando, Florida 32829 407.836.7908 attenuation before discharging via an outfall structure to the existing ditch along the south side of Sand Lake Road. The existing ditch conveys flows to Shingle Creek.

3.2 Basin 2:

Basin 2 extends from an existing driveway to the centerline of Sand Lake Road. The proposed stormwater Pond 2 collects stormwater runoff from Ramp B and northbound John Young Parkway from Sta. 413+47 to Sta. 420+00. The stormwater runoff from the roadway improvements sheet flows into either an existing ditch at the south end of the basin or directly into the pond. The existing ditch discharges into the stormwater pond via a ditch bottom inlet. Pond 2 provides the required water quality treatment and peak attenuation before discharging via an outfall structure to the existing ditch along the south side of Sand Lake Road. The existing ditch conveys flows westward beneath John Young Parkway via CD-5 and to Shingle Creek.

3.3 Basin 4:

Basin 4 extends from the crest of the proposed John Young Parkway flyover at Station 433+00 to the bridge over the Florida Turnpike at Sta. 455+00 and includes both Ramp C and Ramp D. The proposed stormwater Pond 4 is an expansion of the existing Pond 4. The control elevation and top of bank has remained the same as previously designed but the weir has been replaced to account for the increase in impervious area per these improvements. The stormwater runoff from the roadway improvements is collected into a closed conveyance system and discharges via two outfalls into the pond. Pond 4 provides the required water quality treatment and peak attenuation before discharging via the existing bleeder and proposed concrete weir to the adjacent wetlands. The proposed concrete weir includes a concrete spreader swale to dissipate flows into the wetlands. The wetlands discharge westward towards Shingle Creek through existing cross drains under John Young Parkway.

IV. Cross Drain Summary:

There are five (5) proposed cross-drains with the John Young Parkway and Sand Lake Road Interchange improvements. Cross Drain CD-5 will replace an existing cross drain which lies beneath John Young Parkway just south of Sand Lake Road. Cross Drain CD-6 is an extension of the existing cross drain beneath John Young Parkway just north of Sand Lake

8

Road. Both CD-5 and CD-6 convey flows from existing ditches westward to Shingle Creek. Cross Drains CD-7, CD-8, and CD-9 are existing cross drains beneath John Young Parkway north of Sand Lake Road that provide connectivity between the existing wetlands east of John Young Parkway and Shingle Creek. These existing cross drains will be extended to account for the proposed widening of the John Young Parkway embankment. These cross drain analyses are provided in Appendix F.

V. Floodplain Compensation:

The proposed Basin 4 roadway improvements north of Sand Lake Road and the sidewalk improvements are within the Shingle Creek floodplain with elevation 87'. The SHWT on the east side of John Young Parkway was estimated at 82.9 and the SHWT on the west side of John Young Parkway, north and south of Sand Lake Road was estimated at 82.7. The 15.67 ac-ft of floodplain impacts from the proposed roadway widening are compensated for in stormwater Pond 1 (14.03 ac-ft) and Pond 4 (5.40 ac-ft), totaling 19.43 ac-ft of provided floodplain compensation. The concrete outfall weirs in both ponds have elevations below the floodplain elevation of 87' and therefore allow the floodwaters to stage into the wet detention ponds. See Appendix J.

Floodplain Calculations John Young Parkway Interchange

Station	Ľ	Т	R	Т	Total	
Station	Area (sf)	Volume (cf)	Area (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume (cf)	
		CR 423 (JOHN	YOUNG PARKWA	AY)		
434+00	57	0	0	0	0	
434+19	5	585	0	0	585	
435+00	77	3,300	50	2,009	5,310	
436+00	133	10,482	111	8,042	18,523	
437+00	175	15,382	166	13,852	29,234	
438+00	193	18,371	240	20,270	38,641	
439+00	191	19,182	50	14,484	33,666	
440+00	237	21,420	84	6,701	28,120	
441+00	266	25,173	102	9,298	34,471	
442+00	325	29,567	146	12,412	41,978	
443+00	321	32,321	157	15,171	47,491	
444+00	305	31,287	157	15,727	47,014	
445+00	285	29,457	143	15,036	44,493	
446+00	257	27,075	105	12,421	39,496	
447+00	232	24,452	113	10,920	35,372	
448+00	217	22,440	104	10,887	33,327	
449+00	176	19,647	109	10,654	30,301	
450+00	151	16,366	103	10,582	26,947	
451+00	119	13,495	91	9,672	23,166	
452+00	52	8,538	75	8,284	16,821	
453+00	0	2,595	96	8,580	11,175	
		SR 482 (SA	ND LAKE ROAD)			
98+00	-		0	0	0	
99+00	-		80	4,008	4,008	
100+00	-		82	8,100	8,100	
101+00	-		81	8,133	8,133	
102+00	-		113	9,668	9,668	
103+00	-		343	22,762	22,762	
104+00	-		149	24,569	24,569	
105+00	-		90	11,950	11,950	
106+00			53	7,190	7,190	
	Subtotal	371,130	Subtotal	311,375	682,505	

Total Floodplain Impacts (AC-FT) =	15.67
Total Floodplain Impacts RIGHT (AC-FT) =	7.15
Total Floodplain Impacts LEFT (AC-FT) =	8.52

Flooplain Compensating Storage - Pond 1 (AC-FT) = 14.03

Flooplain Compensating Storage - Pond 4 (AC-FT) = 5.40

Total Compensating Storage (AC-FT) = 19.43

Note: Floodplain Comp Storage in ponds is from the WQT weir elevation to the 100 yr floodplain elevation (87.0 NAVD88).



64696_JYP_Sand_Lake_Rd=Interchange\50064696\drainage\drmprd02_423_PP.dgn



94696_JYP_Sand_Lake_Rd=Interchange\50064696\drainage\drmprd03_482_PP.dgn

Basin 4

Pond 4

Pre & Post Development Analysis

Drainet	Jaka Vausa Daduusu						
Project Number:	50064696	-					
	50004030						
Basin	4	Calculated by:	KMK	1	Data:	12/15/2014	1
Basin Analysis (pre/post):	Runoff Calcs (Post)	Checked by:	CII		Date:	12/15/2014	
	Rulion Guids (Fosty	checked by.	OUL		Duto.	12/10/2014	
Ava R / W Impenvious Width (ft)	115	Proposed Road (NB & SB Bridg	۵				
Avg. R / W Penvious Width (ft)	0	Total R/W Width:	Begin	End	1		
Total R / W Width (ft)	115'	115'	433+00.00	434+19.00			
ength (ft)	119		400100.00	404110.00			
	110				1		
Ava R / W Impenvious Width (ft)	206	Proposed Road (NB SB & Ram	ne)				
Avg. P / W Ponvious Width (ft)	200	Total B/W Width:	Bogin	End	1		
Total R / W/ Width (ft)	300'	300'	/3/±10.00	440+50.00			
ength (ft)	631		434+13.00	440+30.00			
	031				1		
Ava B / W/ Imponsious Width (ft)	195	Bronocod Bood (NR SR & Bom	201				
Avg. R / W Impervious Width (It)	185	Total D/M Width	Domin	End	1		
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (It)	60		Begin	Ena			
Longth (ft)	250	2/5	440+50.00	445+00.00			
Lendru (m	400	223	L		J		
Aver D (M) Imperiate M(10)	105	Brannand Bas I (ND, OD, 6 7	- (()				
Avg. K / W Impervious Width (ft)	165	Proposed Road (NB, SB & Tran	sitions)	E	1		
Avg. K / W Pervious Width (ft)	50	I otal R/W Width:	Begin	End			
Total R / W Width (ft)	215	225	445+00.00	447+00.00			
Length (ft)	200	205			l		
	•						
Avg. R / W Impervious Width (ft)	150	Proposed Road (NB, SB & Tran	s <u>itions)</u>	r			
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)	30	Total R/W Width:	Begin	End			
Total R / W Width (ft)	180'	205	447+00.00	453+50.00			
Length (ft)	650	155'					
Avg. R / W Impervious Width (ft)	135'	Proposed Road (NB & SB Bridg	<u>e)</u>				
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)	20	Total R/W Width:	Begin	End			
Total R / W Width (ft)	155'	155'	453+50.00	455+00.00			
Length (ft)	150						
		-					
Total Length (ft)	2200						
Basin Area (Ac):	14.20						
Calculate Basin Runoff Curve Numbe	er - CN						
Pervious/Impervious	Land-Use Description	Soil Name	Soil Group		Area	CN	Prod
					(ac)		
MPERVIOUS:							
	Roadway R / W		N/A	1	8.67	98	85
			1				
				Totals Impervious	8.67		85
PERVIOUS:			1				
Open Space R / W	Good (grass cover > 75%)	Smyrna	B/D		2.78	80	22
-11							
Pond Area	Good (grass cover > 75%)	Smyrna	B/D		0.86	80	69
		1	t	1		1 1	
		1	t	1		1 1	
		1	t	Total Pervious	3.64	1 1	29
OFF-SITE:			1		0.04	1 1	23
Pond @ SHWT	Water		<u> </u>	1	1 89	100	18
		1	t	1			10
	1			Total Pond	1 89	1 1	19
		-	+		1.03	-	10

Calculate Runoff Volume, V(R)

			Р	S	R	V(R)
Basin Type	Design Storm	Agency	(in)	(in)	(in)	(ac-ft)
Open	25 yr, 24 hr		8.6	0.68	7.84	9.27
	10 yr, 24 hr		5.0	0.68	4.27	5.05
	25 yr, 72 hr	SFWMD	9.5	0.68	8.73	10.33
	10 yr. 72 hr	SFWMD	7.5	0.68	6.74	7.98

Sample Calculations:

Determine Soil Storage, S				
S = (1000/CN) - 10	for CN =	94	S =	0.68
Determine Runoff, R	for P =	8.6		
R = (P - 0.2*S)2/(P+(0.8*S))	S =	0.68	R =	7.84
Determine Runoff Volume, V(R)				
V(R) = R/12 * Area	for R =	7.84	V(R) =	9.27

1330

Total Area 1 Weighted CN

14.20

94

Project:	John Young Parkway					
Project Number:	50064696					
					-	
Basin:	4	Calculated by:	KMK		Date:	7/24/2015
Basin Analysis (pre/post):	Runoff Calcs (Pre)	Checked by:	CJL		Date:	7/24/2015
Ava R/W Impervious Width (ft)	158'	_				
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)	127'	Impervious Width	Beain	Fnd		R/W Width
Total R / W Width (ft)	285'	158'	434+00.00	438+00.00		285'
Length (ft)	400		101100100	100100100		200
Avg. R / W Impervious Width (ft)	150'					
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)	135'	Impervious Width:	Begin	End		R/W Width
Total R / W Width (ft)	285'	158'	438+00.00	439+00.00		285'
Length (ft)	100	142'				
Ava P / W/ Imposious Width (ft)	130'					
Avg. R / W Penvious Width (ft)	104'	Impervious Width:	Bogin	End		P/W/Width
Total R / W Width (ft)	243'	130'	439±00.00	448+00.00		285'
Length (ft)	900'		433+00.00	440+00.00		200'
			<u></u>			
Avg. R / W Impervious Width (ft)	139'					
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)	39'	Impervious Width:	Begin	End		R/W Width
Total R / W Width (ft)	178'	139'	448+00.00	455+00.00		200'
Length (ft)	700					155'
Ava R / W Impervious Width (ft)						
Avg. R / W Pervious Width (ft)		Impervious Width	Regin	End		R/W Width
Total R / W Width (ft)			Degin	Liid		ivii maai
Length (ft)						
	•		·			
Total Length (ft)	2100					
	2100					

Basin Area (Ac):	14.20

Calculate Basin Runoff Curve Number - CN

				Weighted CN		89	
				Total Area	14.20		1266
	1				0.00		50
				Total Pond	0.56		56
Pona @ SHW1	vvater	-			0.56	100	dc
OFF-SITE:	Weter				0.50	100	50
				Total Pervious	6.74		534
Woods	Good	Smyrna	B/D		1.89	77	146
		2yina	0,0		2.02	50	50
Pond Area	Good (grass cover > 75%)	Smyrna	B/D		0.62	80	50
Open Space R / W	Good (grass cover > 75%)	Smyrna	В/О		4.23	80	339
PERVIOUS:		Cmurno.	P/D		4.00	80	220
				Total Impervious	6.90		676
	Roadway R / W		N/A		6.90	98	676
IMPERVIOUS:							
			Gloup		(AC)		CNXA
Pervious/Impervious	Land-Use Description	Soil Name	Group		Area (Ac)	CN	

Calculate Runoff Volume, V(R)

			Р	S	R	V(R)
Basin Type	Design Storm	Agency	(in)	(in)	(in)	(ac-ft)
	25 yr, 24 hr		8.6	1.22	7.29	8.63
Open	10 yr, 24 hr		5.0	1.22	3.79	4.48
Open	25 yr, 72 hr	SFWMD	9.5	1.22	8.18	9.68
	10 yr, 72 hr	SFWMD	7.5	1.22	6.21	7.35

Sample Calculations:

Determine Soil Storage, S				
S = (1000/CN) - 10	for CN =	89	S =	1.22
Determine Runoff, R	for P =	8.6		
R = (P - 0.2*S)2/(P+(0.8*S))	S =	1.22	R =	7.29

Project:	John Young Parkway
Project Number:	50064696

Pond 4

Pond Bottom Control EL. WEIR EL.

Slope Break (1:5)

OTB (flat)

Basin:	4	Calculated by:	KMK	Date:	7/30/2015	
Basin Analysis:	Stage - Storage Calcs	Checked by:	CJL	Date:	7/30/2015	
Elevation	Area	Average	Delta D	Delta	Storage	
		Area		Storage		
(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(ft)	(ac-ft)	(ac-ft)	
78.00	1.15					
84.00	1.89				0	
		1.95	1.00	1.95		
85.00	2.01				1.95	
		2.16	2.5	5.40		
87.50	2.31				7.35	
		2.53	0	0.00		
87.50	2.75				7.35	

Approximate SHWT	84.5
Existing Control EL.	84.0
Required Detention PAV Volume (ac-ft)	1.81
Provided Treatment (ac-ft)	1.95

Project:	John Young Parkway	1			
Project Number:	50064696				
		.			
Basin:	4	Calculated by:	KMK	Date:	12/15/2014
Basin Analysis:	PAV Calcs	Checked by:	CJL	Date:	12/15/2014
	A	1			
	Area	-			
Condition	(Ac)				
Total Post-Developed Area	14.20				
Total Treatment Area (Imperviousness Calc)	12.31	Excludes the Pond 4 area	@ NWL		
Impervious Treatment Area	8.67				
Imperviousness	70%]			
Wet Detention			(Ac ft)	7	
			(AC-II)	-	
1 inch over Total Post Area	1" / (12"/1ft) * (To	otal Post-Developed Area) =	1.18	_	
				_	
2.5 inches x Imperviousness x Total Treatment Area	2.5" / (12"/1ft) * (Imperviousness) * (Total Treatment Area) =	1.81	_	
Wet Detention Pollution Abatement Volume =			1.81		
Water Quali	ty Volume using Wet Detention Pond -	. 1 81	Ac-ft	-	

JOHN YOUNG PARKWAY PROJECT Orange County, FL

CROSS-DRAIN SUMMARY TABLE

ID	Station	Existing/Permitted Cross-Drain		s-Drain	Р	roposed Cross-Drai	in	Remarks
		Length	Size	DHW	Length	Size	DHW	
CD-5 (S-300 to S-303)	432+15	290'	(2) 42" pipe	84.68	395'	(2) 42" pipe	84.92	Sand Lake Road Ditch (South Side)
CD-6 (S-304 to S-307)	434+02	360'	24" x 38" pipe	86.18	378'	24" x 38" pipe	86.13	Sand Lake Road Ditch (North Side)
CD-7	441+64	216'	36" pipe	86.18	298'	36" pipe	86.13	Wetland Equalizer Pipe
CD-8	446+14	248'	24" pipe	86.18	312'	24" pipe	86.13	Wetland Equalizer Pipe
CD-9	448+15	240'	24" pipe	86.18	306'	24" pipe	86.13	Wetland Equalizer Pipe

NOTES:

DHW: Design High Water Elevation (50yr)

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
99.00	44.20	86.13	3.80	4.03	7-M2c	3.00	2.16	2.16	1.70	8.10	0.00
104.60	45.89	86.34	3.95	4.24	7-M2c	3.00	2.20	2.20	1.70	8.24	0.00
110.20	47.65	86.56	4.12	4.46	7-M2c	3.00	2.25	2.25	1.70	8.40	0.00
115.00	49.49	86.80	4.31	4.70	7-M2c	3.00	2.29	2.29	1.70	8.56	0.00
121.40	51.89	87.10	4.56	5.00	7-M2c	3.00	2.34	2.34	1.70	8.77	0.00
127.00	53.98	87.38	4.78	5.29	7-M2c	3.00	2.38	2.38	1.70	8.96	0.00
132.60	56.10	87.68	5.02	5.58	7-M2c	3.00	2.43	2.43	1.70	9.16	0.00
138.20	58.38	87.97	5.29	5.87	7-M2c	3.00	2.47	2.47	1.70	9.38	0.00
143.80	60.56	88.28	5.56	6.18	7-M2c	3.00	2.51	2.51	1.70	9.59	0.00
149.40	62.69	88.60	5.82	6.50	7-M2c	3.00	2.55	2.55	1.70	9.80	0.00
155.00	64.77	88.92	6.09	6.82	7-M2c	3.00	2.58	2.58	1.70	10.01	0.00

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: CD-7

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 82.10 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 81.50 ft

Culvert Length: 298.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0019

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: CD-7



Site Data - CD-7

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 82.10 ft Outlet Station: 298.00 ft Outlet Elevation: 81.50 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - CD-7

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 3.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Mitered to Conform to Slope Inlet Depression: NONE

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
99.00	19.15	86.13	3.10	3.63	7-M2c	2.00	1.57	1.57	1.70	7.23	0.00
104.60	19.74	86.34	3.22	3.84	7-M2c	2.00	1.59	1.59	1.70	7.35	0.00
110.20	20.39	86.56	3.36	4.06	7-M2c	2.00	1.62	1.62	1.70	7.49	0.00
115.00	21.07	86.80	3.50	4.29	7-M2c	2.00	1.64	1.64	1.70	7.63	0.00
121.40	21.92	87.10	3.69	4.60	7-M2c	2.00	1.67	1.67	1.70	7.82	0.00
127.00	22.67	87.38	3.86	4.89	7-M2c	2.00	1.70	1.70	1.70	7.98	0.00
132.60	23.44	87.68	4.05	5.18	7-M2c	2.00	1.72	1.72	1.70	8.16	0.00
138.20	24.19	87.97	4.23	5.47	7-M2c	2.00	1.74	1.74	1.70	8.34	0.00
143.80	24.96	88.28	4.42	5.78	7-M2c	2.00	1.76	1.76	1.70	8.52	0.00
149.40	25.74	88.60	4.62	6.10	7-M2c	2.00	1.78	1.78	1.70	8.72	0.00
155.00	26.50	88.92	4.82	6.42	7-M2c	2.00	1.80	1.80	1.70	8.91	0.00

Table 3 - Culvert Summary Table: CD-8

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 82.50 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 81.50 ft

Culvert Length: 312.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0033

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: CD-8



Site Data - CD-8

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 82.50 ft Outlet Station: 312.00 ft Outlet Elevation: 81.50 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - CD-8

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 2.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Mitered to Conform to Slope Inlet Depression: NONE

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
99.00	19.20	86.13	3.11	4.03	7-M2c	2.00	1.57	1.57	1.70	7.24	0.00
104.60	19.82	86.34	3.24	4.24	7-M2c	2.00	1.60	1.60	1.70	7.37	0.00
110.20	20.48	86.56	3.37	4.46	7-M2c	2.00	1.62	1.62	1.70	7.51	0.00
115.00	21.16	86.80	3.52	4.70	7-M2c	2.00	1.65	1.65	1.70	7.65	0.00
121.40	22.02	87.10	3.71	5.01	7-M2c	2.00	1.67	1.67	1.70	7.84	0.00
127.00	22.80	87.38	3.89	5.28	7-M2c	2.00	1.70	1.70	1.70	8.01	0.00
132.60	23.57	87.68	4.08	5.58	7-M2c	2.00	1.72	1.72	1.70	8.19	0.00
138.20	24.34	87.97	4.26	5.87	7-M2c	2.00	1.74	1.74	1.70	8.37	0.00
143.80	25.11	88.28	4.46	6.18	7-M2c	2.00	1.76	1.76	1.70	8.56	0.00
149.40	25.89	88.60	4.65	6.50	7-M2c	2.00	1.78	1.78	1.70	8.75	0.00
155.00	26.66	88.92	4.85	6.82	7-M2c	2.00	1.80	1.80	1.70	8.95	0.00

Table 4 - Culvert Summary Table: CD-9

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 82.10 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 81.50 ft

Culvert Length: 306.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0019

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: CD-9



Site Data - CD-9

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 82.10 ft Outlet Station: 306.00 ft Outlet Elevation: 81.50 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - CD-9

Barrel Shape: Circular Barrel Diameter: 2.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Mitered to Conform to Slope Inlet Depression: NONE


TERESA JACOBS, COUNTY MAYOR

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

NOTE:

S. SCOTT BOYD	DISTRICT I
BRYAN NELSON	DISTRICT 2
PETE CLARKE	DISTRICT 3
JENNIFER THOMPSON	DISTRICT 4
TED EDWARDS	DISTRICT 5
VICTORIA P. SIPLIN	DISTRICT 6
MARK V. MASSARO, P.E.	PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

PLANS WERE PREPARED ACCORDING TO AVAILABLE INFORMATION TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS CONDITIONS AS THEY EXISTED AT THE TIME OF PLAN PREPARATION. DEEDS, CONDITIONS, AND OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTIES MAY HAVE CHANGED SINCE THE PROJECT DESIGN, THE COUNTY'S REPRESENTATIVE WILL ADDRESS CHANGES AND NEEDS WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVE. CONTRACTOR SHALL WORK WITH THE COUNTY'S REPRESENTATIVE IN ADDRESSING AND MEETING NEEDS AND CONDITIONS THAT MAY HAVE CHANGED SINCE PLANS PREPARATION.

CERTIFICATION TO PLANS

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DESIGN FOR THIS PROJECT AND THE ATTACHED CONSTRUCTION PLANS COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 336.045 OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES AND ARE IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE EDITION OF THE "MANUAL OF UNIFORM MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE FOR STREETS AND HIGHWAYS" IN EFFECT ON THIS DATE AS ADOPTED BY THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION 336.045 (I) OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES.

DATE: ENGINEER:

DANIEL F. CHRISTIE, P.E.

FLORIDA REG. NO. 64849

	LIVE COMIT ILVE COMIT ILVE	AME COUNTY PROJECT LOCATION WITCH ONLOG WITCH ONLOG WI
s	SEC. <u>29,3</u>	<u>2 TWP. <u>23 S</u> RGE. <u>29 E.</u></u>
		LOCATION MAP
(INDEX OF SHEETS
	SHEET	DESCRIPTION
		KEY SHEET
	2 3_4	GENERAL NOTES
4	5-8	DRAINAGE MAPS
9	9	EXISTING DRAINAGE DATA
10	0-16	TYPICAL SECTIONS
	50-1-50-25 17-20	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES
	21	OPTIONAL MATERIALS
2	22	SUMMARY OF FLOOD DATA
2	23	SURVEY CONTROL SHEETS
	24	PROJECI LAYOUI SHEEIS
	42-76	PROFILE SHEFTS
	77-78	INTERSECTION DETAILS
7	79-82	RAMP TERMINAL DETAIL SHEETS
8	83-111	DRAINAGE STRUCTURE SHEETS
	112-114	POND DETAILS
ΙH	مוו-دוו 19-152	POND CROSS SECTIONS
ΙH	153-159B	ROADWAY SOIL SURVEY
	160-207	ROADWAY CROSS SECTIONS
	208-215	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
112	<10-325	
	326-348	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to RW-17	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS
	326-348 T-I to T-9 5-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 CORANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 407-254-9711 407-254-9711
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 CORANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT FLORIDA'S TU	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILIES
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 CORANGE COUNT PRANGE COUNT PRANGE COUNT PRANGE COUNT SANGE	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILIES
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 CRANGE COUNT FLORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILIES
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 CORANGE COUNT CLORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILI AN
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 CRANGE COUNT CLORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS 407-255-289 NP1KE ENTERPRISE 954-934-1624 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-273-5084 407-434-2557 407-434-2557
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT -I ORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T DOC	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-97/1 407-255-5828 720-868-4988 407-434-2557 407-905-3319 407-905-3319
T T S B B C C C C F F A E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT COUN	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-253-9504 WOT-273-5084 407-532-8504 407-532-8504 UNICATIONS ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION 407-905-3319 STANEC(PEA)
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TEORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DT DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DT DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE CRIZON BUSI	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS MNPIKE ENTERPRISE 954-934-1624 UTION 407-532-8504 107-273-5084 407-636-7890 UNICATIONS ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) 407-578-8000 407-578-8000 407-578-8000 905-552-2931 PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TEORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI TEL FIBERNET TRLANDO TELE CRIZON BUSI TECO PEOPLES	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS MNPIKE ENTERPRISE 952-315-8528 UNICATIONS ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MOT-420-2674
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TRANGE COUNT TAT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST LEVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DT DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DT DRLANDO TELE CRIZON BUSI TECO PEOPLES	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y PUBLIC WORKS MNPIKE ENTERPRISE 95-934-1624 UTION 407-532-8504 407-532-8504 407-532-8504 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) 407-578-8000 407-578-8000 407-578-8000 407-578-8000 407-96-1176 6AS
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TRANGE COUNT TRANGE COUNT TORIANDO UTIL DRIANDO UTIL DRIANDO UTIL DRIANDO UTIL DRIANDO UTIL DRIANDO UTIL DRIANDO TELE CERIZON BUSI TECO PEOPLES P	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-836-7890 RNPIKE ENTERPRISE 954-934-1624 UTION 407-532-8504 407-532-8504 407-434-2557 UNICATIONS TIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) MOS MOS GAS PLANS PLANS
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TRANGE COUNT TRANGE COUNT TAT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE TOMCAST LEVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI DRLANDO TELE CRIZON BUSI TECO PEOPLES P D	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS TILLITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) UNICATIONS TILES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MESS GAS PLANS PREPARED BY: EWBERRY POORD
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 5-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TEORIDA'S TU AT &T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE TOMCAST DEVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT &T LONG DI DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT &T LONG DI DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE DECO PEOPLES P D 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS TILLITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) UNICATIONS TILES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND NESS GAS PLANS PREPARED BY: EWBERRY 20 SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE ELANDO FLORIDA 32801
	326-348 T-I to T-9 T-I to S-22 -I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT TELORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE TOMCAST DEVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DI DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE DRLANDO TELE COMCAST DECO PEOPLES P D 50 44	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS TILLITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) UNICATIONS ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) ITIES COMMISION (WATER) OT-7432-257 HONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MESS GAS PLANS PREPARED BY: EWBERRY 20 SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE RLANDO, FLORIDA 32801 07-843-5120
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT&T LONG DUTIL DU	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS TILLITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) UNICATIONS TILES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) UNICATIONS TILES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) ITIES COMMISION (WATER) DISTRIBUTION STANCE(PEA) PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MOT -420-2674 407 -420-2674
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT DRANGE COUNT AT &T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY AT &T LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE ENERGY AT & LONG DUTIL DUKE & LONG DUTIL DUKE DUKE AT & L	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407-254-9711 407-836-7890 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y PUBLIC WORKS 407-23-5084 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-252-8504 407-434-2557 DISTRIBUTION 407-950-3319 907-952-3319 907-952-3319 907-952-2891 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 907-942-1/231 407-420-2674 907-843-5120 907-843-5120 907-843-5120 907-843-5120
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 I to BW I	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS TILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) UTION U
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT FLORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DRLANDO UTIL DRL	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y PUBLIC WORKS RNPIKE ENTERPRISE 954-934-1624 UTION 407-252-8504 352-315-8528 UNICATIONS TIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2558 171ES COMMISION (WATER) 407-578-8000 305-552-2931 407-434-2558 407-434-2558 1053RT IBUTION 407-578-8000 305-552-2931 407-434-2558 107-578-8000 305-552-2931 407-434-2558 107-96-1176 813-740-1231 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 407-420-2674
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT FLORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DRLANDO UTIL DRL	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNALIZATION PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS ITILITIES PLANS Y UTILITIES Y UTILITIES Y PUBLIC WORKS RNPIKE ENTERPRISE Y 954-934-1624 UTION 407-253-9504 407-532-8504 352-315-8528 UNICATIONS T20-888-4988 ITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 407-434-2558 407-434-2558 UNICATIONS T20-888-4988 ITIES COMMISION (WATER) 407-578-8000 305-522-2931 915TRIBUTION 407-95-319 PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND 407-420-2674 MCSS 813-740-1231 407-420-2674 407-420-2674 PLANS PREPARED BY : EWBERRY 20 SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE RLANDO, FLORIDA 32801 07-845-5120
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT CORINGE COUNT CORINGE COUNT CONTRANCE COUNT CONTRANCE CO	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER) 1000 MICATIONS Y OTILITIES COMMISION (ELECTRIC) 171ES COMMISION (WATER) JITIES COMMISION (WATER) AD7 -578 8000 OT-434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION 407 -578 8000 OSC SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE REVISIONS DATE BY: EWBERRY 20 SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE REVISIONS
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 -I to BW-I	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OUTILITIES (WATER) Y OUTION A07 - 251 - 6528 Y OUTION
	326-348 T-1 to T-9 S-1 to S-22 -1 to BW-17 -1 to BW-17 DRANGE COUNT CORANGE COUNT CONCAST EVEL 3 COMM BARIGHT HOUSE SOMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DALANDO	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407 -254 -97 // Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -254 -97 // Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -254 -97 // Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -254 -97 // Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -254 -97 // Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -253 -5084 UNICATIONS 107 -434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION WATE SOMINISION (WATER) A07 -434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION WORE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MORE SPARED BY: EWBERRY
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT -I to BW-I7 CRANGE COUNT -I CORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE SOMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY PL FIBERNET PL FIBERNET PL FIBERNET PL FIBERNET PL FIBERNET CHECKED BY: CHECKED BY: CHECKED BY:	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & DAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES ENCOUNTERED Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407 -254 -97 // 407 -254 -97 // Y PUBLIC WORKS A07 -254 -97 // 407 -254 -97 // Y PUBLIC WORKS MOTOLOGIC WORKS A07 -254 -97 // 407 -254 -97 // Y PUBLIC WORKS WITILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) A07 -254 -97 // 407 -254 -9600 UNICATIONS 105 STORE WISION (ELECTRIC) A07 -434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION MOTO -434 -2557 OSOM/ SION (ELECTRIC) A07 -434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION A07 -434 -2557 PHONE CO. /SUMMIT BROADBAND MOTO -522-8931 A07 -4420 -2674 PLANS PREPARED BY: EWBERRY 20 SOUTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE REVISIONS DATE BY DATE BY
	326-348 T-I to T-9 S-I to S-22 -I to BW-I7 DRANGE COUNT LORIDA'S TU AT&T DISTRIB BRIGHT HOUSE COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DRLANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY TAT&T LORG DI TAT&T COMCAST EVEL 3 COMM DELANDO UTIL DUKE ENERGY TATATO TELE P D D SOM 44 VI DESIGNED BY: CHECKED BY: DRAWN BY: PR	UTILITY ADJUSTMENT PLANS SIGNING & DAVEMENT PLANS SIGNING & PAVEMENT PLANS STRUCTURES PLANS JTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407 -254 -97 // 407 -636 -7890 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) 407 -254 -97 // 407 -636 -7890 Y UTILITIES (WATER AND SEWER) Y OTILITIES (WATER) OT -636 -7890 UNICATIONS TO -886 -7890 UNICATIONS TO -886 -7890 UNICATIONS TO -73 -5084 OT -434 -2557 DISTRIBUTION (WATER) OT -518-8000 OT -518-8000 OT -578-8000 OT -578-8000 OT -578-8000 OT -578-8000 OT -578-80





Pond No.	Structure No.	Weir Length (ft)	Weir Elevation	Top of Bank	Top of Skimmer	Bottom of Skimmer Spreader Swale Bottom Elevation		Existing Ground
		'WL'	'WE'	'TB'	'ST'	'SB'	'FF'	'E
1	S-198	10	86.0	87.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	85
4	S-499	15	85.0	87.5	87.0	84.5	82.5	83
DATE	DESCR	IPTION	REVISIONS DATE	DESCRIPTION	CLAYTON J. LEE, P.E P.E. LICENSE NUMBE DEWBERRY ENGINEE 520 SOUTH MAGNOL ORLANDO, FL 32801 CERTIFICATE OF AU	R 44032 RS INC. IA AVENUE THORIZATION 8794	ORANGE COUNTY PUBLIC ENGINEERING DIVISIO 4200 SOUTH JOHN YOUNG PARKWAY ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32839–9205 (407) 836–7908	works DN D

2.24.06 PM



50064696 JYP Sand Lake Rd-Interchange\50064696\drainage\drdtrd01.c

SHEET NO.

115

Orange County

SFWMD

Permit No. 48-01443-P

Widening of Florida's Turnpike (SR 91) from Beeline to I-4



UTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEM ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE PERMIT NO. 48-01443-P DATE ISSUED: MAY 12, 2004

FORI. #0141 Rev. 63/95

PERMITTEE: FLORIDA'S TURNPIKE ENTERPRISE

(WIDENING OF FLORIDA'S TURNPIKE (SR 91) FROM BEELIN) P O BOX 613069,

OCOEE, FL 34761

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO SERVE A 299.4 ACRE HIGHWAY PROJECT KNOWN AS TURNPIKE WIDENING BETWEEN THE BEELINE EXPRESSIVAY AND 1-4. SECTION 19,20,28,29,33 TWP 23S RGE 29E ORANGE COUNTY ,

PROJECT LOCATION:

SECTION 3,4 TWP 24S RGE 29E

PERMIT DURATION:

See Special Condition No:1. See attached Rule 40E-4.321, Florida Administrative Code.

031222-15, date December 22, 2003. Permittee agrees to hold and save the This Permit is issued pursuant to Application No. South Florida Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims or liabilities which may arise by reason of the construction, operation, maintenance or use of activities authorized by this Permit. This Permit is issued under the Florida Statutes (F.S.), and the Operating Agreement Concerning Regulation Under Part IV, provisions of Chapter 373 , Part IV Chapter 373 F.S., between South Florida Water Management District and the Department of Environmental Protection, Issuance of this Permit constitutes certification of compliance with state water quality standards where neccessary pursuant to Section 461, Public Law 92-500, 33 USC Section 1341, unless this Permit . suret pursuant to the net improvement provisions of Subsections 373.414(1)(b), F.S., or as otherwise stated herein.

This Permit may be transferred pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, F.S. and Sections 40E-1,6107(1) and (2), and 40E-4.351(1), (2), and (4), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). This Permit may be revoked, suspended, or modified at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, F.S. and Sections 40E-4,351(1), (2), and (4), F.A.C.

set forth in Rule 40E-4.381, F.A.C., unless waived or modified by the This Permit shall be subject to the General Conditions Environmental Resource Permit Staff Review Summary of the Application, including Governing Board. The Application, and the all conditions, and all plans and specifications incorporated by reference, are a part of this Permit. All activities authorized by this Permit shall be implemented as set forth in the plans, specifications, and performance criteria as set forth and incorporated in the Environmental Resource Permit Staff Review Summary. Within 30 days after completion of construction of the permitted activity, the Permittee shall submit a written statement of completion and certification by a registered professional engineer or other appropriate individual, pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, F.S. and Sections 40E-4,361 and 40E-4,381, F.A.C.

In the event the property is sold or otherwise conveyed, the Permittee will remain 1 ble for compliance with this Permit until transfer is approved by the District pursuant to Rule 40E-1,6107, F.A.C.

SPECIAL AND GENERAL CONDITIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

2 - 3 OF 6 (16 SPECIAL CONDITIONS), SEE PAGES (19 GENERAL CONDITIONS). -6 OF 6 SEE PAGES

FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, BY ITS GOVERNING BOARD

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY On ELIZABETH VEGUILLA By

DEPUTY CLERK

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY: LORI OJALA

SECRETARY

PAGE 1 OF

COMPONENTS OF CONTRACT PLANS SET ROADWAY PLANS SIGNING AND PAVEMENT WARKING PLANS STRUCTURE PLANS LIGHTING PLANS

A DETAILED INDEX APPEARS ON THE KEY SHEET OF EACH COMPONENT

SHEET NO.

INDEX OF ROADWAY PLANS

SHEET DESCRIPTION

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



CONTRACT PLANS

LOCATION O

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID 406091-1-52-01

ORANGE COUNTY (75470)

STATE ROAD NO. 91

WIDEN FLORIDA'S TURNPIKE-ORLANDO SOUTH TO INTERSTATE I-4



ORIGIN DEC ORLANDO SU	AL SUBMITT 2 2 2003 ERVICE CENT	AL RET UST		PIERCE LAUDERDALE WI	
	TO BE SUBMI JOHN SAUNDERS URS COMPORATI 7650 W. COURT 7600 FLORID (813) 206-1711	TTED TO: S, P.E GN NET CAMPBELL CSN A 33607-1462	η.		
	PLANS PREPA URS CONFORATI 7650 W. COURT TAMPA, FLORID PH. (03) 200-1 FAX. (03) 207-	RED BY: Ion Ney Campbell, CSN Ney Campbell, CSN 70 19229	π.		
	VENDOR NO CONTRACT. NO. C.A. NO	<u>F532087895004</u> <u>C-8274</u> 00000002	e		
	NOTE: THE SCA. Have changed	le of these plan Due to reproduct	s way Ion.		
		ΩD	A.	. le	
5 W	ROADWAY PLANS ENGINEER OF RU	і Ecord: <u>John K. s</u> Е.No.1, 45371	2 / 19/0 AUNDERS, PE	3	
			FISCAL YEAR	SHEET NO.	
			05	1	

02:00:38 PM E:\40609//320/\roadway\keysrd0l.dgh





Florida Department of Transportation Turnpike Enterprise

> Florida's Turnpike Widening from Orlando South to I-4

FPID No. 406091-1-52-01

Orange County

DRAINAGE REPORT

Permit Submittal

December 19, 2003

URS Corporation

John J. Westerle PEH 18483 12/19/03

Cross Drains

There are six cross drains under the Turnpike within the Project limits. See Exhibit 4 – Cross Drain Basin Map. These include twin bridges over Shingle Creek, four box culverts, and one pipe culvert. There are also four side drain culverts under crossing roads which are classified as cross drains. The cross drains under the mainline will be lengthened from 36 to 65 feet to place the end walls outside of the clear zone of the eight lane roadway. Calculations indicate that lengthening these cross drains will increase the 100-year high waters by less than 0.1 foot. Upstream development was evaluated to determine that this amount of increase would not cause flooding problems. The upstream development is generally FDOT right-of-way or off site stormwater ponds. The slight increase in 100-year high waters were determined to be acceptable. Table 1 provides a summary of the Pre and Post hydraulic analysis for Project cross drains. See Appendix C - Cross Drain Design for supporting calculations.

Floodplains and Floodways

Based on the current FEMA Flood Insurance Study and FIRM maps, the project will cross the Shingle Creek floodplain and floodway. Based on the currently adopted FEMA study, the 100-year floodplain elevation at the Florida Turnpike is Elevation 88.1 NAVD (Elevation 89.0 NGVD on the FEMA Map). The limits of impact extend from Station 2438+00 to Station 2524+00, for a length of approximately 8600 feet. See Exhibit 5 – FEMA Map.

The Turnpike crosses a FEMA floodway of Shingle Creek. The proposed widening of the Turnpike will require the double bridges over Shingle Creek to be replaced with elevated structures. The existing bridges do not currently provide the desired 2.0 feet of debris clearance above the 50-year water surface elevation. Bridge hydraulic modeling indicates that the same length bridges with greater pier spacing will maintain the existing 100-year profile through the bridges. See **Bridge Hydraulics Report** for supporting calculations.

The existing 100-year Floodway, as cited in the Flood Insurance Study for Orange County, Florida and Incorporated Areas (FEMA, 12-06-2000) is 1,490 feet wide just upstream of the northbound bridge. Since the replacement bridges will be designed to maintain existing conveyance for the 100-year flood flows, there will be no change in floodway width upstream or downstream of the bridge.

SFWMD rules require that there be no net floodplain encroachment up to the 100-year elevation that would adversely impact the existing rights of others. The widening of the Turnpike from four lanes to eight lanes will require the widening of the roadway embankment through approximately 7500 feet of Shingle Creek floodplain. Compensation for this encroachment will be provided on land owned by FDOT located immediately west of the Turnpike between Sand Lake Road and John Young Parkway. Floodplain compensation will provided on a "cup for cup" basis by excavating uplands down to seasonal high water in the adjacent wetlands of Shingle Creek. This area is connected to the Shingle Creek floodplain through a 48-inch cross drain under John Young Parkway. In addition to the encroachment volume, this floodplain compensation facility has been sized to provide volume for the additional runoff from the added pavement discharging directly to the Shingle Creek floodplain. **Table 2** provides a summary of the floodplain encroachment and compensation volumes. Supporting calculations are found in **Appendix D**.

Permitting

The project is located within the Shingle Creek Basin of the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). The project is an improvement to an existing public roadway. The project area is approximately 299 acres. The project area includes all right-of-way within limits of the project and the floodplain compensation area. The project will add approximately 38 acres of impervious area. The project will disturb approximately 214 acres of land.

There is no existing permit for the Turnpike mainline. The Turnpike District has obtained permits for improvements to Orlando South Toll and I-4 toll facilities that are located within or adjacent to the project. The Turnpike District has recently obtained a permit for the Sunpass Only Off Ramp from southbound Turnpike to Consulate Drive. It is anticipated that the project will require an Individual ERP from SFWMD. Permit application fee for a new Individual ERP for a project area more than 100 acres and less than 640 acres is \$7,500.

The project will raise Oak Ridge Road as it passes over the Turnpike. Oak Ridge Road over Florida's Turnpike has a SFWMD permit (#86-00021-S January 30, 1986) to City of Orlando. Oak Ridge Road currently drains to two storm water ponds located on the north side of Oak Ridge Road either side of the Turnpike and a third pond on the south side of Oak Ridge Road. The replacement of the Oak Ridge Road bridge and approach roadways will result in a minor increase of impervious area to these three ponds.

A 404 Dredge and Fill permit from the Corps of Engineers is anticipated to be required. The application fee associated with this permit is included in the SFWMD ERP application fee.

Because Shingle Creek is a FEMA Floodway; design of replacement bridges must conform to FEMA's "No-Rise" policy. An agreement exists between FEMA Region IV, the State's DOT's and the FHWA concerning encroachment issues. According to correspondence date September 2001 (see **Bridge Hydraulics Report**), a formal "No-Rise" Certification will not be required unless the proposed work requires revision to a flood map, changes the floodway width, or results in a hydraulic impact beyond the project limits. The bridge will be hydraulically equivalent to the existing structure at the 100-year level, and will require no special certification review by FEMA.

Shingle Creek is not considered navigable waters in the project area. A Coast Guard

FPID:	406091-1-32-01
PROJECT:	Turnpike Widening, Orlando South to I-4
SUBJECT:	Floodplain Compensation

SHT <u>4</u> OF <u>4</u> BY: ארדב DATE: <u>||-25-03</u> CK: ____ DATE: ____

Floodplain compensation volume is calculated using incremental plan areas of excavation Cut areas are measured by connecting existing contours with proposed contours at incremental elevations.

Cut area shapes are found in file E:\ 40609113201 \ drainage \ fpc.dgn

STAGE	ELEV. (Ft NAVD)	CUT AREA (Acre)	INC VOL. (Ac Ft)	
Contour	88.5	0.70		
100 Voor	QQ 1	1 15	0.37	100 Vear HW Shingle Creek
100-1641	00.1	1.15	0.13	Too-Teat Tive Shingle Cleek
Contour	88.0	1.44	0.04	
Contour	87.5	2.18	0.91	
•			1.29	
Contour	87.0	2.97	1.89	
Contour	86.5	4.59		
Contour	86.0	5 62	2.55	
Contour	00.0	0.02	3.10	
Contour	85.5	6.76	2.67	
Contour	85.0	7.93	5.07	
Quarteria	045	0.44	4.27	
Contour	84.5	9.14		SHVV III downstream wetland
TOTAL			18.17	Floodplain Compensation Volume



Orange County

Florida's Turnpike (SR 91) & Sand Lake Road Interchange Not Yet Permitted



T-23-S

T-24-S

TO LAKE BUENA VISTA

긞

<u>R-28-I</u> <u>R-29-I</u>

DATE

TANGEL

PARK

TO KISSIMMEE

KEY SHEET REVISIONS

DESCRIPTION

INTERSECTION LAYOUT/DETAILS 165-166 GRADING DETAILS BEGIN CONSTRUCTION 167-257 DRAINAGE STRUCTURES SHEETS B SURVEY SR 482 POND PLAN SHEET 258-259 STA. 263+53.00 260-261 POND DETAILS 262 DRAINAGE DETAILS 263-276 POND 1 CROSS SECTIONS 277-280 SPECIAL DETAILS 281-293 CROSS SECTION PATTERN 294-295 MUCK DELINEATION PLAN 296 DEBRIS DELINEATION PLAN 297-299 POND SOIL SURVEY 300-564 CROSS SECTIONS 565 WETLAND IMPACT & CONSERVATION EASEMENT LAYOUT 566-588 STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN BEGIN BRIDGE 589-757 TRAFFIC CONTROL PLANS #750962 (WB) UTILITY ADJUSTMENTS 758-828 #750963 (EB) CTL-1* - CTL-4* PROJECT SURVEY CONTROL B SURVEY SR 482

UTV-1* - UTV-5* SUMMARY OF VERIFIED UTILITIES STA. 281+90.28 SQ-1* - SQ-30* SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES GR-1* - GR-2* ROADWAY SOIL SURVEY * This sheet is included in the index of roadway plans only to indicate that it is part of the roadway plans. This sheet is contained

in a separate digitally signed and sealed document.

GOVERNING DESIGN STANDARDS:

Florida Department of Transportation, FY2023-24 Standard Plans for Road and Bridge Construction and applicable Interim Revisions (IRS).

Standard Plans for Road Construction and associated IRs are available at the following website: http://www.fdot.gov/design/standardplans

Standard Plans for Bridge Construction are included in the Structures Plans

GOVERNING STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS:

Florida Department of Transportation, July 2023 Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction at the following website: http://www.fdot.gov/programmanagement/Implemented/SpecBooks

- то сосоа

T-23-S

T-24-5

END BRIDGE

#750962 (WB)

#750963 (EB)

STA. 284+67.93

₿ SURVEY SR 482

M.P. 256.116

C.M.P. 6.630

M.P. 255.991

C.M.P. 6.505

BEGIN PROJECT

B SURVEY SR 91

STA. 5398+80.00

₿ SURVEY SR 91

STA. 5391+90.00

BEGIN CONSTRUCTION

100

TO ST. CLOUD

END CONSTRUCTION

B SURVEY SR 482

STA. 311+30.93





c:\pw\kh1\dms58226\DRMPRD12 Interchange.d



PON	D LAYOUT TIES	6 (BE CONST.	RAMP	A)
ID	STATION	OFFSET	RP	POT
1	301+63.97	395.62' RT	X	
2	301+48.05	421.05' RT		X
3	302+46.64	482.76' RT		X
4	302+62.56	457.33' RT	X	
5	302+64.05	492.43' RT		X
6	304+35.31	377.80' RT		X
7	305+14.37	328.84' RT		X
8	307+81.05	135.81' RT		X
9	307+70.19	108.50' RT	X	
10	307+70.19	78.50' RT		X
11	306+00.09	78.50' RT		X
12	306+01.58	850.00' LT	X	
13	301+51.35	78.50' RT		X
14	301+51.35	108.50' RT	X	
15	301+21.35	108.50' RT		X
16	301+21.35	313.73' RT		X
17	304+38.86	56.50' LT		X
18	304+38.86	86.50' LT	X	
19	304+52.15	161.55' LT		X
20	304+56.99	259.67' LT		X
21	304+27.03	261.15' LT	X	
22	304+50.66	279.63' LT		X
23	302+72.19	759.75' LT		X
24	302+48.56	741.27' LT	X	
25	302+48.56	771.27' LT		X
26	301+55.00	771.27' LT		X
27	301+55.00	741.27' LT	X	
28	301+25.00	741.27' LT		X
29	301+25.00	86.50' LT		X
30	301+55.00	86.50' LT	X	
31	301+55.00	56.50' LT		X

PON	D LAYOUT TIES	6 (BE CONST.	RAMP	A)
ID	STATION	OFFSET	RP	POT
32	303+97.10	348.13' LT		X
33	304+44.37	385.09' LT	X	
34	303+92.17	414.67' LT		Х
35	305+00.85	606.48' LT		Χ
36	304+74.75	621.27' LT	X	
37	304+74.75	651.27' LT		X
38	303+86.26	651.27' LT		X
39	303+86.26	711.27' LT	X	
40	303+38.99	674.31' LT		X
16A	301+34.30	400.07' RT		X

		POND 1A	POND 1B	<u>COMBINED</u>
OUTSIDE BERM	EL. 90.00	4.76 AC	5.62 AC	10.38 AC
INSIDE BERM	EL. 89.00	4.10 AC	4.88 AC	8.98 AC
WEIR	EL. 86.60	3.70 AC	4.44 AC	8.14 AC
SHW/CONTROL	EL. 85.80	3.56 AC	4.28 AC	7.84 AC
воттом	EL. 78.00	2.36 AC	2.92 AC	5.28 AC

	RE	VISIONS				STATE OF	FLORIDA		
DATE	DESCRIPTION	DATE	DESCRIPTION	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	DEPA	RTMENT OF TR	ANSPORTATION		
				P.E. License No. 53139	ROAD NO.	COUNTY	FINANCIAL PROJECT ID	「 「	\mathbb{P}
				189 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1000 Orlando, Florida 32801	SR 91	ORANGE	433663-1-52-01]	
•		- I - I				Chris	Davidson	6/19/2023	10:09:

POND PLAN SHEET 259

:11 PM c:\pw\kh1\dms58205\PDPLRD01.DGN



6/19/2023 10:09:15 PM

c:\pw\kh1\dms58205\PDPLRD01_ControlStructures.DGN



10:09:42 PM



Phase IV Submittal

Drainage Report

Sand Lake Road (SR 482) Interchange Orange County Florida's Turnpike Enterprise

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID. 433663-1-52-01

Contract No C-9K72 FPID 433663-1-52-01

Turnpike Project Manager: Pamela Nagot, P.E. KHA Project Manager: Ramon Breton, P.E.

May 2023

THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS REPORT IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C.



THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED BY:

 PREPARED BY:
 Victor Hugh Gallo, PE

 PE NUMBER:
 FL # 75592

 DATE:
 5/2023

PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE NOT CONSIDERED SIGNED AND SEALED. THE SIGNATURE MUST BE VERIFIED ON THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT.

Prepared By:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 189 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1000 Orlando, FL 32801 TEL 407 898-1511

SECTION 1.0 – GENERAL INFORMATION

This State Road 91 (Florida's Turnpike) and State Road 482 (Sand Lake Road) Interchange project includes the construction of new access ramps at the four quadrants of the interchange. The northbound on and southbound off-ramps (Ramps A and D) will include Sun Pass only toll facilities. The southbound on and northbound off-ramps (Ramps B and C) will be non-tolled. The project also includes the construction of associated drainage conveyance and Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF's). Some existing structures will be relocated, lengthened, adjusted, or replaced. Challenges to the design include:

- High water tables, and low Turnpike roadway profile that adversely affect the ability to connect, convey and provide adequate stormwater management (SWM) for all proposed new impervious areas.
- Impacts on existing (8-laning) Turnpike roadside linear dry detention ponds.
- Limited available areas for pond construction. Project traverses the Shingle Creek Floodplain.
- Floodplain impacts and compensation.
- Elevated tailwater conditions in the principal outfall canal are problematic for maintaining allowable headwaters below the mainline pavement.
- Florida Gas Transmission (FGT) line prohibits the construction of drainage structures and pipes crossings within their easement.

1.1 Project Location

The project is located within Orange County at the intersection of State Road 91 (Florida's Turnpike) and State Road 482 (Sand Lake Road) between Section 29-32, TWP 23S, Range 29E. The limits of the project are from just north of the Consulate Drive exit ramp to just south of the Shingle Creek Bridge. The begin milepost is 256.1 and the end milepost is 258.1 for an approximate total length of 2.0 miles. Project survey datum is referenced to NAVD88. Datum conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88 = - 0.90 ft.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the drainage report is to document and demonstrate adherence to various FDOT, Turnpike Enterprise drainage, Environmental Permitting (ERP) and regulatory agency criteria.

1.21 – Project Amendments

Four (4) amendments (No.2, No.3, No. 10, and No. 12) were added to the original scope and contract that affected proposed project drainage. Stormwater management and design for these amendments are addressed in this section and summarized in the Project Summary Tables in **Section 11.0**.

Amendment No.2

The purpose of this Amendment No. 2 was to design and permit an auxiliary lane from the proposed Sand Lake Road (SLR) Interchange southbound on-ramp to the existing Consulate Drive southbound off-ramp (approximately 0.64 miles).

The Drainage Report from the original project was expanded to include documentation for the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening to support Water Management District permitting. The following tasks included in the amendment were:

- 1. Updates to the pre-development and post-development drainage maps for the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane and box culvert extension.
- 2. The Drainage Report will be updated to include calculations for the base clearance at the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane location.
- 3. Design of a box culvert extension at CD-3 to accommodate the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening.
- 4. Re-grading of the existing ditches to accommodate the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening.
- 5. Revise the design of two impacted roadside stormwater facilities within the limits of the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening.
- 6. Design of approximately six (6) additional inlets and structures to accommodate the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening.

Proposed Drainage

The proposed auxiliary lane under Amendment 2 caused additional impacts to the roadside retention ditches permitted for the 8-laning project. Most of the SR 91 impacted roadside retention ponds were deemed ineffective after the proposed impacts. Treatment associated with the impacted ponds were compensated for in wet detention Pond 1. See **Table 3** in **Section 11.0** of the report.

Associated pollutant abatement volume based on the additional required wet detention was to be compensated in Pond 1. Note that compensated treatment area is provided by the capture and treatment of previously untreated mainline and Sand Lake Road impervious areas, all within the Shingle Creek basin. Runoff from the proposed auxiliary lane will be discharged into the R/W canal and into Shingle Creek ultimately. See

Amendment and Total Project Summary Tables in **Section 11.0**. Cross drain CD-3 was extended to provide necessary clear zone offset to the headwall.

Cross Drains

Cross drain CD-3 is located at station 2414+18 baseline Turnpike. The structure is an 8'x4' concrete box culvert, constructed in 1962. CD-3 has no reported flood or scour problems. The culvert was previously extended from 153' to 196 feet in 2003. For this amendment, the culvert was extended for an additional twelve (12) feet increase for clear-zone requirements. The design flows and tailwater conditions were obtained from the previous culvert extension analysis and SFWMD permits No. 48-01443-P (2004) for consistency. This is to illustrate the relative DHW change to the previous culvert extension. The original design flows were obtained using the rational method. A copy of the analysis is included in **Appendix E**.

Amendment No. 3

The purpose of this Amendment No. 3 was to design and permit a lane widening along Sand Lake Road (SLR) between Presidents Drive and Chancellor Drive in the eastbound direction, design and permit a lane widening along Presidents Drive approximately 600 feet north and 600 feet south of Sand Lake Road and the design of a sidewalk on the north side of SLR between John Young Parkway and Ramp A.

The Drainage Report from the original project was expanded to include documentation for the southbound on-ramp auxiliary lane widening to support Water Management District permitting. The following tasks were included in the amendment:

- 1. Develop a pre-development drainage map and a post-development drainage map for the SLR widening, Presidents Drive widening and sidewalk between JYP and Ramp A.
- 2. The Drainage Report will be updated to include calculations for the base clearance at SLR and Presidents Drive.
- 3. Re-grading of the existing ditches to accommodate SLR widening, Presidents Drive widening and Sidewalk between JYP and Ramp A.
- 4. Revise and update the design of the infield pond to accommodate the SLR widening and Presidents Drive widening.
- 5. Design additional drainage structures associated with the SLR widening and Presidents Drive widening.

Proposed Drainage

The proposed widening of SLR East from the initial limits to the new proposed limit under Amendment No. 3 included 0.275 acres. The total area anticipated for the additional SLR

east widening and included in the initial stormwater management design was 0.90 acres. Additional impervious areas for the widening on both South and North side of Presidents Drive was calculated as 0.0628 acres. The relatively small increase in impervious area for the Presidents Drive widening is due to the wider than typical existing lanes and reduced proposed lane widths within the project limits. There were a few inlet tops that needed minimal adjustments. Runoff within the proposed Amendment 3 areas (Presidents Drive and Sand Lake Road east) will be discharged without treatment and conveyed as historically. Compensatory treatment for the widening on SLR was provided in the Sand Lake Road Interchange Pond 1.

Amendment No. 10

The purpose of Amendment No. 10 was to modify the 60% plans to adapt to profile and geometry changes along Sand Lake Road. The modifications to the Sand Lake Road profile were to account for the following:

- 1. Future 10-lane expansion of Florida's Turnpike.
- 2. Cross slope requirements per latest Florida Design Manual (FDM).
- 3. Adjustments to Ramp D profile, to provide a continuous 2% longitudinal grade.
- 4. Consideration for the cross-slope corrections to be implemented with the I-4 Direct Connect Project (FPID 437987-1).
- 5. Adjustment of Florida's Turnpike Mainline Profile to provide 3 ft of base clearance.

Other modifications to the current 60% plans included lengthening the Sand Lake Road bridge, such that a minimum 36-ft clear zone is provided for the proposed future 10-lane expansion of Florida's Turnpike. With this bridge lengthening, the Sand Lake Road profile adjustment also included additional height to account for the increase in beam depth.

Proposed Drainage

Proposed drainage under Amendment 10 included accommodation and management of the future 10-lanes configuration in the projects stormwater management system. The analysis included, but was not limited to the following:

- 1. Stormwater management system redesign to accommodate impervious areas associated with the future 10-laning (WQ, Quantity, and TW). Adjust basin Pre- and Post-Hydrology.
- 2. Replace offsite conveyance ditches with partially closed systems along Ramp B, C, and D, due to shifting of the Ramps outwards. Update onsite conveyance ditches and storm drain systems to clear the future widening, where feasible. Update all ditch calculations in the affected areas.

- 3. Re-assess and adjust floodplain impact and compensation calculations, affected by Pond expansion and ditch impacts.
- 4. Realign box culvert CD-4 to adapt to the ramp shift into the SLR outfall ditch.

Amendment No. 12

The purpose of Amendment No. 12 was to update the plans and drainage design to reflect the latest FDOT and Turnpike standards. This included design standard checks as well as Index number updates for drainage infrastructure.

1.3 Existing Drainage Patterns

Drainage from the Turnpike flows southwards, through a canal with an ultimate discharge into Shingle creek. The previous TPE 8-laning project included permitted stormwater management provided in roadside dry retention ditches. The retention ditches were designed to outfall into the R/W ditches that ultimately outfall through the West-SLR ditch into Shingle creek. Existing cross culvert (CD-3) conveys discharges from the east of the Turnpike along the East SLR under the Turnpike into the West SLR outfall ditch. Maps detailing pre and post-development limits are included in the hydrology section in **Appendix A**.

Runoff from both the South and North Presidents drive drain away from SLR and into their connected storm drain systems for ultimate discharge into their master stormwater systems.

1.31 – Offsite Contributions

Much of offsite flows into the R/W are from unregulated commercial areas historically discharging into the SLR corridor. The KMZ file in **Figure 1A** shows the Orange County Public Utility at MP 255.8 as the sole contributor of permitted flows into the Turnpike R/W and permitted under TP-75-DC-031-93. The permitted flows are pond discharges and are included as base flows in the offsite bypass collection system.

Drainage Report

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023



Figure 1A – TPE KMZ DC-Permits

1.32 - Basin Classification

The project basin is historically classified as an "Open basin."

1.33 – Description of Receiving Waterbodies

The SLR /FTE Interchange project discharges into the Shingle Creek Basin. Shingle Creek ultimately outfalls into Lake Tohopekaliga. Shingle Creek is not classified as an Outstanding Florida Water (OFW) but identified as a Class III waterbody.

1.34 – Description of Potable Well fields/Wellfield Protection Zones

A desktop review of the Orange County wellfield map was conducted to identify potential wellfield intrusion and the subsequent impacts that are associated with the proposed stormwater management facility. Upon review, there are no foreseen impacts associated with wellfields within the project limits.

1.35 – Historical Flooding

There is no known historical flooding on the project. However high water conditions have been reported in the Sand Lake Road Outfall ditch. Improvements have been proposed to CD-4 to help reduce these conditions.

1.4 Tailwater

Drainage in the project area can be described as poor, due to sustained high water levels in the Sand Lake Road outfall ditch, serving as the principal outfall for the project area. Poor drainage conditions are also observed in poor recovery off the Turnpike treatment ditches and high normal water level (NWL) and tailwater (TW) at box culvert CD-4. TW elevations for the proposed cross-drain extensions/outfall ditch canal are based on the Shingle Creek Flood Insurance Study (FIS) hydraulic model (See **Table 1)**. TW elevations for the lower return frequencies are based on water stains, biological indicators, or crown of pipe, whichever is greater. TW elevations for the ditches are based on normal depth and local seasonal water elevations. TW conditions for the storm sewer design are calculated from either the time stage of peak inflow for a similar event or peak water stage in the ponds.

Table 1. Shingle Creek FEMA Flood Profiles

FEMA FIS Flood Profile	10% Annual Chance Flood	2% Annual chance Flood	1 % Annual chance Flood	
Location	10 11.	50 Yr.	100Yr	
SR 482/west Sand Lake Road	84.8 ft. NAVD	86.1 ft. NAVD	86.4 ft. NAVD	

1.5 Floodplain Impacts and Mitigation

The project encroaches into the adjacent FEMA zone AE (87.0 NAVD) along Ramp A, and into an existing floodplain compensation area, permitted under SFWMD Permit No. 48-01443-P. Floodplain impact and compensation calculations are included in **Appendix D**.

1.6 Rules & Regulations

The project is within the jurisdiction of the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). The State's presumptive stormwater management water quality rule requires treatment of a volume equivalent to 2.5 inches of runoff from an area equal to the additional impervious area while preserving any previously permitted treatment volume. This treatment is to be

accommodated in dry or wet detention ponds. Dry ponds require 75% of the above volume to be detained. Dry ponds are defined as facilities having a bottom elevation at least one foot higher than the average wet season water table and recover either through infiltration (Retention) or bleed down devices (Dry/Wet detention). Bleed down devices are required to discharge at a rate not exceeding one-half inch of the detention volume in 24 hours unless limited by minimum dimensions. This project will use minimum dimensions for bleeders and is thus presumed to meet criteria.

Dry detention treatment swales are currently used in the project area to provide treatment for the turnpike 8-laning project, constructed in 2004.

The SLR /FTE Interchange project is located in and discharges into the Shingle Creek Basin. Shingle Creek ultimately outfalls into Lake Tohopekaliga. Shingle Creek is not classified as an Outstanding Florida Water (OFW) but identified as a Class III waterbody. The basin is also classified as an open basin. The Shingle Creek basin has adopted a basin watershed management plan. See the FDEP Adopted Management Action (BMAP) plan map in **Figure 1B**.

The peak discharge (25-YR/24-HR) from the post-project condition must be less than or equal to the pre-project condition. Although other limiting discharge criteria within the drainage basin are required, FTE widening projects are subject to the pre-vs. post criteria. Storage and attenuation capacity of existing (impacted) linear ponds are considered (See **Appendix B** and **Appendix C**) in the project pre-development discharges.

This project will propose changes to the existing mainline and side streets as well as add a pair of interconnected proposed ponds to the NW intersection of proposed Ramp A, Sand Lake Road, and SR 91 (Turnpike). It has been negotiated that the proposed ponds in this section will be constructed and permitted to treat the proposed impervious as well as provide adequate treatment volume for additional impervious expected to be routed to the pond in the future condition. Calculations for the pre basin and post basin are provided in **Appendix B**.

Drainage Report

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023



Figure 1B – FDEP BMAP

SECTION 2.0 – PRE-DEVELOPMENT

2.1 Existing Basin Determination and Drainage Patterns

State Road 91 is an 8-lane divided limited-access toll road with a posted speed of 70 miles per hour. State Road 482 is a 6-lane rural minor arterial with a posted speed of 45 miles per hour. State Road 482 has two bridges over State Road 482 (#750568 and #750294) with no existing connection to State Road 91.

Stormwater runoff is managed in eleven (11) roadside dry detention ponds in pre-development conditions, as permitted under SFWMD Permit No. 48-01443-P, within the project limits. The ponds are located along the NB and SB lanes of the Turnpike. The Ponds collectively receive and treat a required 4.51 acres of pavement and discharge into the right-of-way (R/W) ditches (See **Appendix I**). The R/W ditches ultimately outfall into the Shingle Creek floodplain through the SLR outfall ditch. The dry detention ditches appear to be in poor conditions as can be seen in **Figure 6**.

Ten (10) of these permitted dry detention ditches along the Turnpike will be impacted by proposed Ramps "B" and "C." The eleventh pond (Exist. Pond 15) will be mainly regraded but considered totally impacted. Three (3) major cross drains will be impacted by the proposed improvements.

CD-4 (State number 75Q004) is located just south of the Sand Lake Road overpass at Station 2448+79. CD-4 is a 10 x 3 feet concrete box culvert. CD-4 was extended from 127 feet to 196 feet in 2004. Initial inspection reports indicated pavement settlement and joint (fill) leaks. The noted deficiencies were absent from the 2011 and 2015 inspection reports and are assumed to have been corrected.

High water conditions were observed in the ditch due to an inverted ditch slope and inconsistent culvert sizing. This is evident in the elevated high-water conditions in the ditch. See **Figure 2 thru Figure 4.**



Figure 2 – High Water Conditions, Existing SLR-West Outfall Ditch

Drainage Report

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023



Figure 3 – High Water Conditions, Along the Turnpike and SLR Outfall Ditch



Figure 4 – High Water Condition, CD-4

CD-5 is a double 7 x 4 feet concrete box culvert located at Station 2482+29. The structure is identified as structure 75Q005. The 2011 inspection report lists the structure as in good condition. The structure was extended in 2004 from 150 to 196 feet outside the roadway clear zone. Both design tailwaters were based on the previous Shingle Creek FEMA FIS basin model. See the culvert inspection picture from 1/15/2015 in **Figure 5** below.



Figure 5 – High Water Condition, CD-5

Design flows were calculated using the same methodology as the previous culvert extension analysis, using the "Rational" method for CD-4 and the "Velocity" method for CD-5.

2.2 Existing Land Uses

In existing condition, the mainline, Sand Lake Road, and President's drive serve as transportation highway land use as well as the existing swales on either side of the mainline and side streets. Area within the proposed pond areas is denoted as undeveloped in the existing condition.

2.3 Ultimate Outfall Location

The ultimate outfall location and tailwater in the proposed and future development continues to be Shingle Creek. The tailwater used in the 25-YR design storm 85.36 (NAVD88) was estimated from interpolation of the FEMA FIS stages for the 10-YR and 50-YR storms. See **Appendix C** for the ICPR tailwater determination.

2.4 Hazardous Materials

There is Hazardous Material within the project limits. For Hazardous Material information on the project see the Geotechnical Report (**Appendix I**) as well as the Muck Delineation Plan within the Roadway Plan set for this project.

2.5 Existing Utilities

Existing utilities within the project area are noted to remain or be relocated in the Utility Adjustment Plans within the Roadway Plan set for this project.

2.6 Archaeological, Historical, and Environmental Information

There are no archaeological or historical sites within the project area. For more environmental information, please see the Geotechnical Report located in **Appendix I**.

SECTION 3.0 – POST DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Proposed Basin Determination and Drainage Patterns

In the proposed roadway configuration, drainage is directed to either continue flowing to existing cross drains (extended in the proposed condition) or has been redirected to Proposed Ponds 1A and 1B in the NW quadrant of the Sand Lake Road and Turnpike intersection. Ramps A, B, C, and D have been added to connect the Turnpike mainline to Sand Lake Road. Sand Lake Road's profile and horizontal configuration has been revised for these new connections. There were also minor alterations to Presidents Drive that did not affect overall existing drainage patterns.

3.2 Proposed Land Uses

In the proposed condition, the mainline, Sand Lake Road, and Presidents Drive continue to serve as transportation highway land use as well as the existing and revised swales on either side of the mainline and side streets. Area within the proposed pond areas is denoted to now also serve as transportation highway in the proposed condition.

3.3 Ultimate Outfall Location and Tailwater

The ultimate outfall location and tailwater in the proposed and future development continues to be Shingle Creek with 25-YR design storm tailwater of 85.36 (NAVD88). See **Appendix C** for the ICPR design storm tailwater determination.

3.4 Water Quality and Water Quantity

The project stormwater management design consists of two (2) interconnected Ponds (1A and 1B). Both ponds are designed for wet detention and provide treatment and attenuation. Post Development discharges are attenuated to Pre-Development levels for the 25-YR/24-HR. (See **Appendix I** for Pre-Application Meeting Minutes.) The project is modeled utilizing Interconnected Pond Routing (ICPRv3) hydraulic modeling software. Shingle Creek, as the ultimate receiving water body in the project area, was selected as the boundary for pre-post-future discharge demonstration. The interconnected ponds are designed to provide treatment for all new impervious areas, allowing for compensation of areas managed and permitted in the displaced roadside facilities. In addition, the ponds are designed to provide treatment capacity to accommodate **10.78 AC of future impervious areas** associated with the 12-laning from the future OSUI project (9.74 AC) and the future 10-laning (1.04 AC) within the current project limits.

The project water quality and quantity analysis for the project is split into two conditions: **Pre-Development** and **Post Development (Proposed)**. From there, area is sub-divided into **Managed Area** and **Unmanaged Area**. Managed Area refers to area to be routed to a stormwater management facility. This includes area routed to the roadside dry linear ponds in the existing condition and the proposed interconnected ponds in the proposed condition. Managed area is thus defined as treated and attenuated. Unmanaged Area refers to area not routed to linear swales or ponds and is thus considered untreated and unattenuated. These unmanaged areas are included for CN comparison of the different conditions. All area on this project, managed and unmanaged, outfall to Shingle Creek Basin. Please note, the impacted existing treatment swales in the Pre-Development condition has been accounted for in the overall treatment volume shown in **Appendix B**. Please see **Appendix A** for Pre and Post Basin Exhibits.

The interconnected ponds are in the NW quadrant of the intersection on State-owned property on the east and west side of Ramp A. An existing floodplain compensation area with 1.60 AC-FT of surplus compensation volume from the 2004 TPE 8-laning project is located west of the ponds. The proposed interconnected ponds include compensation treatment for the impacts on the linear dry detention ditches, as permitted and constructed for the 2004 Turnpike 8-laning project. Compensation is provided through the treatment of previously untreated areas along the mainline and Sand Lake Road. The design is centered upon rerouting and reducing runoff into the FGT specified areas.

Stages associated with unmanaged direct discharges into the SLR outfall ditch are mitigated by the proposed improvements in the ditch, as demonstrated in the HEC-RAS models. Pond routing, supporting composite runoff curve number (CN), and ICPR model input and output data are included in **Appendix B** and **Appendix C**. The T_c times for the pre-development and post-development roadside swale areas are estimated at 128.60 min. This is sourced from the Time of

Concentration Map to Single Creek, **Appendix C**. The Pond T_c times are estimated from storm sewer design and are set at 49.00 min.

The stormwater management design includes the design and analysis of the project onsite and offsite ditches to assure containment of the normal water depth within the section and R/W. The project onsite ditches are designed to convey runoff to ditch bottom inlets connected to the pond. Minimum ditch grade and minimum clearance to the local SHWT are provided for maintenance considerations.

Compensatory Treatment

Roadway improvements are proposed in each of the interchange quadrants, at a significant distance from the only available pond site in the area. The inherent conveyance challenges are further exacerbated by the 24" FGT gas transmission line running on the East side of the Turnpike, prohibiting storm drain crossings through the FGT easement. No drainage crossings are allowed under the current FTE and FGT agreement. Compensatory treatment is, therefore, the most viable solution for stormwater management. For this concept to work, sufficient untreated surface areas will need to be captured and managed to compensate for untreated new impervious areas.

Total project net new proposed impervious area is 15.40 acres. Areas associated with the impacted treatment ditches along the Turnpike amounts to 4.51 acres of treated impervious area. Captured new and existing impervious condition routed to the ponds in the proposed condition is 21.01 acres.

2.25 AC-FT of excess treatment volume is reserved for future use.

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023



Figure 6 – High Water Conditions, Roadside Ponds

SECTION 4.0 – FLOODPLAIN ANALYSIS

Floodplain impacts associated with the construction of the interchange and ramps are compensated using the excess capacity of 1.60 AC-FT in the adjacent floodplain compensation area (See SFWMD Permit No. 48-01443-P). However, recent geotechnical testing has shown the estimated SHW at a value of 85.80' NAVD rather than 84.50' NAVD. Thus, the excess capacity of the existing floodplain compensation area has been equivalently reduced to **0.77 AC-FT** of surplus. The remainder is compensated within excavated portions of the proposed ponds that is hydraulically connected to, but outside, the floodplain limits. Floodplain impact and compensation summary and analysis are included in **Appendix D**.
SECTION 5.0 – BASE CLEARANCE ANALYSIS

Base Clearance Criteria

For ponds, BCWE shall be set at the 24-hour design high water elevation. In the absence of ponds and treatment swales, the BCWE is set at the SHW elevation.

Base Clearance Criteria per FDM (FDOT Design Manual) Section 210.10.3:

- Mainline Turnpike 3.0 feet of base clearance.
- Ramps 2.0 feet of base clearance.
- Crossing roads with rural sections 3.0 feet of base clearance.
- Crossing roads with urban sections 1.0 foot of base clearance.

Base clearance is measured from the bottom of the base to the Design High Water (DHW).

BCWE for Ramp "A" elevation 86.6 from the 24-HR DHW in Pond 1A (See ICPR output **Appendix C**). Others at local SHW.

SECTION 6.0 – CROSS DRAIN ANALYSIS

There are three (3) major cross culverts in the project area (CD-3, CD-4, and CD-5). CD-3 and CD-5 will be extended to meet clear zone requirements. CD-4 needs to be modified and extended to accommodate the proposed new FTE/SLR Ramps. The culvert is shortened on the upstream side to allow for both the construction of on and offsite storm drain systems. The onsite systems collect and convey the proposed ramp and untreated Turnpike pavement runoff to the pond. The offsite system is designed to convey pond discharges flow from the Orange County Utilities operation center, existing Turnpike and from east SLR.

Design flows through the structures were kept consistent with the flows from the TPE 8-laning project to highlight relative changes. Design flows through CD-4 that included project improvements were compared to the previous analysis were found in general agreement with the original flows when excluding Orange County Utility operations pond discharges (due to Pond discharge timing).

Additional hydraulic head losses associated with a conventional box culvert extension were a major concern. This due to high tailwater conditions in the Sand Lake Road outfall ditch and increased flood levels for discharges outside the R/W upstream.

High water conditions in the SLR outfall ditch are mitigated by altering the physical slope of the ditch grade towards Shingle Creek. This in combination with the upsizing of the undersized culvert under the Lowes Home Improvements store driveway. The improvements are designed to mitigate for potential additional head losses associated with culvert realignment. In conclusion, flood stages are negatively impacted. See **Table 8** for a results summary.

HEC-RAS Models

The US Army Corps of Engineers River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) version 4.1 was selected to generate backwater profiles in the outfall ditch in pre-and post-development conditions. The purpose was to provide tailwater data for the FHWA HY-8 culvert design and overtopping models. The Post-development HEC-RAS model showed significant improvements in design high water (DHW) performance over the pre-development model (Pre DHW_{50 yr} = 91.05 vs Post DHW_{50 yr} = 88.23). DHW data from multiple design events were used to generate tailwater rating curves for the HY-8 culvert model. The HY8 model output provided hydraulic performance data for the flood data boxes, shown on the Drainage map.

HEC-RAS pre-and post-outfall models, TW elevations and cross drain design are included in **Appendix B**.



Figure 7 – HEC-RAS Profile of Existing SLR Outfall Ditch

Drainage Report

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023



Figure 8 - HEC-RAS Profile of Improved SLR Outfall Ditch

Cross Drain Extensions

Cross drain flow was determined from either velocity or rational method. The Velocity Method is a segmental approach, which can be used to account for overland flow, shallow channel flow (rills or gutters), and main channel flow. Rational Method calculates flow quantity based on the "C" value, a value determined based on the ratio of impervious to pervious area in the area, rainfall intensity, and total area.

All cross drain culvert extensions are analyzed using FHWA HY-8 software using the most relevant hydrological data. There are 3 Cross drains in the project limits.

CD-3 is located at station 2414+18 baseline Turnpike. The structure is an 8'x4' concrete box culvert, constructed in 1962. **CD-3** has no apparent scour problems. The culvert was previously extended from 153' to 196 feet in 2003. The culvert will be extended 12 feet to maintain the headwalls outside of the clear zone. The design flows and tailwater conditions were obtained from the previous culvert extension analysis and SFWMD permits No. 48-01443-P (2004). This is to illustrate the relative DHW change to the previous culvert extension. These design flows were obtained using the rational method. A copy of the analysis is included in **Appendix E**.

CD-4 proposed flow data is considered conservative as majority of the runoff from the proposed ramps will be routed through the pond and therefore removed from its historical contributing basin. The Shingle Creek Flood Insurance Study (FIS) hydraulic model was used to determine ultimate tailwater conditions in the HEC-RAS model. The HEC-RAS Model extends from the Turnpike to Shingle Creek, west along the south side of Sand Lake Road. The HEC-RAS flows for the proposed improved outfall condition are taken from the previous permit. The flows used for final HY-8 Pre-Post analysis are the revised flows based on the rational method for the precondition, which is considered conservative in comparison to the reduced contributing basin of the proposed condition. Tailwater elevations used in the HY-8 post culvert analysis model are from the HEC-RAS model of the improved outfall ditch.

Pre-development TW elevations at the headwall of the 10x3 RCBC under the mainline are:

90.93, 91.05, and 91.31 NAVD for the 50, 100 and 500-year events, respectively.

Post-development TW elevations at the extended culvert in the improved outfall ditch are:

87.89, 88.12, and 88.74 NAVD for the 50, 100 and 500-year events, respectively.

Flow data for **CD-5** is based on data from the last Turnpike 8-laning project. The extension for CD-5 is approximately 12 feet to the west. A minor extension and the lack of maintenance or performance issues qualify the structure for using the flow data obtained through the velocity method, as used in the previous TPE widening project.

SECTION 7.0 – ON SITE CONVEYANCE CALCULATIONS

7.1 R/W Ditch Analysis

The stormwater management design includes the design and analysis of the project onsite and offsite ditches to assure containment of the normal water depth within the section and R/W. The project onsite ditches are designed to convey runoff to ditch bottom inlets connected to the pond. Minimum ditch grade and minimum clearance to the local SHWT are provided for maintenance considerations. Ditch design calculations are included in **Appendix F**.

7.2 Storm Sewer Design and Tabulations

Storm sewer design calculations and hydraulic grade line tabulations are included in Appendix F.

7.3 Gutter Spread Analysis

Inlet spacing justification/Gutter spread calculations for barrier wall, shoulder gutter and curb inlets are included in **Appendix F.**

SECTION 8.0 – MOT DRAINAGE

Please see the Roadway Plan Set for this project for Traffic Control Plans including the phases of drainage implementation.

SECTION 9.0 – OPTIONAL PIPE ANALYSIS

Optional Pipe Analysis was conducted using FDOT Culvert Service Life Estimator (CSLE). See the results of these calculations in **Appendix H**.

SECTION 10.0 – HYDROPLANING ANALYSIS

Hydroplaning risk assessments are performed using the FDOT Hydroplaning Analysis Tool. The Hydroplaning Evaluation procedure uses an estimated driver response speed during rainfall events from 0.1 and 4 in/hr. intensities. The hydroplaning potential speed is compared to the predicted driver speed and found acceptable if the potential hydroplaning speed is equal or higher than the predicted driver's speed. The critical event is 2 in/hour intensity. The hydroplaning speed was higher than the predicted speed in all critical sections analyzed. See **Table 2** and full hydroplaning analysis in **Appendix G**.

Cross slope	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	
Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)	Lane 1	Lane 2	Lane 3	Lane 4	Predicted Driver Speed (mph)
0.1				n/a	70
0.25				n/a	70
0.5				n/a	64
1				83	62
2				58	58
3				51	45
4				48	45

 Table 2. Hydroplaning Performance Sample Summary

SECTION 11.0 – SUMMARY AND RESULTS

Exist. Swales	5	7	9	11	13	10	12	14	16	18	15	Total
Treated Pavement (AC)	0.79	0.14	0.18	0.11	0.57	0.79	0.13	0.69	0.13	0.09	0.89	<mark>4.51</mark>

Table 3. Existing Turnpike Swale Treatment Summary





Figure 9 - Impacted TPE treatment ditches

FPID 433663-1-52-01

Phase IV – May 2023

	Managed Pre-	Unmanaged Pre-	Managed	Unmanaged Post
	Development to	Development to	Post Development	Development to
	Shingle Creek	Shingle Creek	to Shingle Creek	Shingle Creek
	(AC)	(AC)	(AC)	(AC)
Impervious	9.01	29.78	21.01	33.18
Pervious	6.83	47.33	13.41	19.95
Water	2.34	0.00	7.74	0.00
Grand Totals	95.29		95	.29

Table 5. Total Project Water Quality Summary

	Area	WQ required	WQ provided
Proposed Additional Impervious	15.40 AC	3.21 AC-FT	6.39 AC-FT
Existing Impacted Swales Required Treatment	4.51 AC	0.94 AC-FT	
Total Required Impervious Treatment	19.91 AC	4.15 AC-FT	
Future Additional Impervious	10.78 AC	2.25 AC-FT	WQ provided >>= Required
Proposed Impervious Treatment including Future Imp.	30.69 AC	6.39 AC-FT	

Table 6. Basin Discharge Summary

	Pre- Development Discharge (CFS)	Post Development Discharge (CFS)	Post Stages (FT)	Post Freeboard from Berm (EL. 89.00) (FT)
Pond 10/24 (Pond 1A)	N/A		87.05	1.95
Pond 25/24 (Pond 1A)	N/A	37.09	87.53	1.47
Pond 10/24 (Pond 1B)	N/A		87.03	1.97
Pond 24/24 (Pond 1B)	N/A		87.43	1.57
Total to Shingle Creek (25/24)	112.99	99.35		

Phase	IV –	May	2023
-------	------	-----	------

	*Revised Existing FPC Credit	Total Proposed Fill	Proposed Compensation	**Net Overall Compensation
Floodplain compensation	0.77 AC-FT	4.99 AC-FT	5.10 AC-FT	+0.88 AC-FT

Table 7. Floodplain Impact and Compensation Summary

*Revised Calculation of SFWMD Permit No. 48-01443-P (2004). **Includes ERP FPC credit

Table 8. Total Cross Drain Extension Summary

	Pre-Development Stages	Post-Development Stages	Flows (cfs)
CD-3 50 Year DHW	96.15 ft.	96.09 ft.	*204.0
CD-3 100 Year DHW	96.77 ft.	96.76 ft.	*240.0
CD-3 500 Year DHW	99.11 ft.	99.23 ft.	*340.0
CD-4 50 Year DHW	91.79 ft.	89.61 ft.	**82.03
CD-4 100 Year DHW	92.01 ft.	90.06 ft.	**94.01
CD-4 500 Year DHW	92.52 ft.	91.61 ft.	**128.85
CD-5 50 Year DHW	88.56 ft.	88.58 ft.	***335.0
CD-5 100 Year DHW	89.75 ft.	89.77 ft.	***399.0
CD-5 500 Year DHW	90.90 ft.	90.91 ft.	***559.0

Hydrology Sources: * [

* Data from Permit No. 48-01443-P (2004)

** Revised hydrology, See Appendix E

***Velocity Method

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 189 S Orange Avenue, Suite 1000 Orlando, FL 32801

Floodplain Impact Calculations

Existing Surplus Floodplain Compensation Available= 1.60 AC-FT (See SFWMD Permit #48-01443-P (2004))

The existing surplus is based on a value with assumed SHW of 84.50 and FPE of 87.00. Geotechnical survey shows current SHW at elevation 85.80. The existing surplus value is thus reduced equally,

*Revised Existing Surplus Compensation Available= 0.77 AC-FT

Floodplain Impact=	2.52 AC-FT	(Net Fill from Ramp A)
Floodplain Impact=	1.04 AC-FT	(Fill from Pond 1A)
Floodplain Impact=	1.43 AC-FT	(Fill from Pond 1B)
Floodplain Impact=	0.00 AC-FT	(Pond 1A and 1B in Floodplain)
Total Floodalain Impost		
iotal Floodplain Impact=	4.99 AC-FT	

Proposed Floodplain Compensation will provided in Ponds 1A and 1B for the cut sections between the SHW and Floodplain Elevation (87.00) outside of the floodplain limits.

Project Required Floodplain Compensation Volume:	4.99 AC-FT
Revised Existing Surplus Floodplain Compensation Volume:	0.77 AC-FT
Net Total Required Compensation Volume:	4.22 AC-FT
Project Provided Floodplain Compensation Volume:	5.10 AC-FT

Stage-Area Table

	Pond 1A Fill Impa	ct			
				Incr. Volume	Total Volume
	Stage (FT)	Area (AC)	Avg. Area (AC)	(AC-FT)	(AC-FT)
SHW	85.80	0.85	0.87	1.04	1.04
Floodplain El.	87.00	0.89			
	Pond 1B Fill Impa	ct			
				Incr. Volume	Total Volume
	Stage (FT)	Area (AC)	Avg. Area (AC)	(AC-FT)	(AC-FT)
SHW	85.80	0.76	1.19	1.43	1.43
Floodplain El.	87.00	1.62			
	Combined Pond 1	A and Pond 1E	3 Compensation		
				Incr. Volume	Total Volume
	Stage (FT)	Area (AC)	Avg. Area (AC)	(AC-FT)	(AC-FT)
Floodplain El.	87.00	4.79	4.25	5.10	5.10
SHW	85.80	3.71			

Ramp A Floodplain Impact Calculations

Proposed Floodplain Fill from Ramp A:							
	Fill Area	Avg. Fill Area	Incr. Fill	Total Fill			
Station	(SF)	(SF)	Volume (CF)	Volume (CF)			
305+00.00	0						
305+50.00	98	49	2450	2450			
306+00.00	88	93	4650	7100			
306+50.00	84	86	4300	11400			
307+00.00	88	86	4300	15700			
307+50.00	89	89	4425	20125			
308+00.00	89	89	4450	24575			
308+50.00	109	99	4950	29525			
309+00.00	107	108	5400	34925			
309+50.00	98	103	5125	40050			
310+00.00	80	89	4450	44500			
310+50.00	91	86	4275	48775			
311+00.00	91	91	4550	53325			
311+50.00	97	94	4700	58025			
312+00.00	110	104	5175	63200			
312+50.00	121	116	5775	68975			
313+00.00	114	118	5875	74850			
313+50.00	111	113	5625	80475			
314+00.00	104	108	5375	85850			
314+50.00	93	99	4925	90775			
315+00.00	81	87	4350	95125			
315+50.00	68	75	3725	98850			
316+00.00	32	50	2500	101350			
316+50.00	47	40	1975	103325			
317+00.00	41	44	2200	105525			
317+50.00	41	41	2050	107575			
318+00.00	39	40	2000	109575			
318+50.00	37	38	1900	111475			
319+00.00	35	36	1800	113275			
319+50.00	31	33	1650	114925			
320+00.00	30	31	1525	116450			
320+50.00	28	29	1450	117900			
321+00.00	24	26	1300	119200			
321+50.00	20	22	1100	120300			
322+00.00	16	18	900	121200			
322+50.00	17	17	825	122025			
323+00.00	0	9	425	122450			
Total Floodplain	Volume fro	om Ramp A:					
		=	122450	CF			
		=	2.81	AC-FT			

Ramp A Floodplain Cut Calculations

Pro	posed	Flood	plain	Cut	from	Ramp	A:

	•			
Station	Cut Area	Avg. Cut Area	Incr. Cut	Total Cut
Station	(SF)	(SF)	Volume (CF)	Volume (CF)
310+00.00	0			
310+50.00	14	7	350	350
311+00.00	25	20	975	1325
311+50.00	31	28	1400	2725
312+00.00	15	23	1150	3875
312+50.00	17	16	800	4675
313+00.00	36	27	1325	6000
313+50.00	27	32	1575	7575
314+00.00	33	30	1500	9075
314+50.00	23	28	1400	10475
315+00.00	18	21	1025	11500
315+50.00	8	13	650	12150
316+00.00	6	7	350	12500
316+50.00	0	3	150	12650
Total Floodplain	Cut from R	amp A:		
		=	12650	CF
		=	0.29	AC-FT

Ramp A Floodplain Net Fill Calculations

Proposed Ramp A Fill	=	2.81	AC-FT
Proposed Ramp A Cut		0.29	AC-FT
Net Proposed Ramp A Fill	=	2.52	AC-FT



		REVISIONS				
DATE	DESCRIPTION	DATE	DESCRIPTION	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	DEPA	$4R^{2}$
				Victor Gallo, P.E.		144.4
				P.E. License No. 75592	ROAD NO.	
				189 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1000 Orlando, Florida 32801	SR 91	



IH-DFF



95 90 85 0.00	A 452	V 418.5	<u>А</u>	V	A	V
95 90 85 0.00	452	418.5	0		802	
95	452	418.5	0		802	
90				0.0	620	1007.2
85	0	0.0	0	0.0	194	330.7
95 90 80 81 81 7. 87	0	0.0	0	0.0	163	302.4
	PLAI. S.S. SI	N IM	PACI			SHEET NO.





	Subso	il Exc.	Regula	r Exc.	Em	ıban	kment
	A	V	A	V	A		V
100							
05							
95							
90							
85							
	514		0		110	0	
80		955.5		0.0			2016.9
1.0.0							
100							
95							
90							
30							
85							
80							
	518		0		107	8	
7.5		614.3		0.0			1603.6
DRIZ.							
- 1 \ 1 .							
40							
							SHEET
OOD.	PLAI	N IM	PACI	r			NO.
CRO	ss si	ECTI	ONS				



				Regula	ar Exc.	Embar	ikment
				А	V	A	V
_							
1	00						
	0.5						
-	95						
0	90						
-							
-							
E	85						
E	80						
_		533		0		1007	
_			895.3		0.0		1863.8
+							
-							
+							
1	00						
2	95						
-							
	00						
	90						
+							
8	85						
-							
		171		0		1005	
E	80	434		U		1005	1010-
+			8/8.4		0.0		1949.6
		111 401					
S	ALE	1''=40' 1''=10'	HURIZ. VERT.				
RA	AMP	A					
+							
							SHFFT

FFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UND









		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		A	V	A	V
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	95	-			
	90				
	85	-			
312+50.00		8		499	
	80		18.2		943.9
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	95				
		-			
	90				
	30				
	85				
312+00.00		11		521	
512100.00	80		27.0		947.2
W CONC.		-			
θ8 (LT)		-			
MP A					
SCALE: 1"=40' H	IORIZ.	-			
RAMP A					
200					
					SHEET
OODPLAID	N IM	IPAC'	ľ		NO.
CROSS SE	ECTI	ONS			
				1	



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		A	V	A	V
	95				
	90				
	85				
	80	13		410	
313+50.00	80		23.4	410	773.1
	95				
	90				
	50				
	05				
	85				
				,	
313+00.00	80	12	18.6	425	855.3
SCALE: 1"=40' 1	HORIZ.				
RAMP A					
þ <i>o</i>					
	אר דדא	TID A C	77		SHEET
			Ľ		NU.
UKUSS SE		UINS			
				1	



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		A	V	A	V
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	95	-			
-	90	-			
		-			
	85	-			
		_			
	00	16		288	
314+50.00	80	10	29.1	200	580.1
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	95	-			
		-			
	90	-			
		-			
	05	-			
		-			
		15		220	
314+00.00	80	15	26.3	229	693.1
		-			
		-			
SCALE: 1"=40' 1"=10'	HORIZ. VERT.	-			
RAMP A		-			
00		-			
οοπρί Δτ		TD A C'	r		SHEET NO
CDACC C	18 11 191 G (~ 1977 19		L		
		UIVI			



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		А	V	А	v
	95				
	90				
	85				
	80	59		195	
315+50.00	80		126.6		394.6
	95				
	0.0				
	90				
	85				
	80	78		231	
315+00.00			87.2		480.6
SCALE: 1"=40' 1	HORIZ.				
1"=10' \ RAMP Д	/ERT.				
					 Sheet
DODPLAI	N IM	PAC'	Γ		NO.
ROSS SI	ת ב א	 NNG			
AUUUU UL		UI VU			
				1	





		Regula	ar Exc.	Emba	nkment
		A	V	А	V
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	90				
		-			
	85				
		-			
	80				
		17		378	
317+50.00	75	1/	30.6	520	638.5
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
	90	-			
	85				
	80				
		10		267	
317+00.00		16	36.6	361	477.0
	75	-			
		-			
		-			
SCALE: 1"=40' 1	HORIZ.				
1''=10'	/ERT.	-			
		-			
					SHEET
OODPLAI	N IM	IPAC'	Γ		NO.
TROSS SF	a CTU	ONS			
	- Сл Д				



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		A	v	А	v
	90				
	85				
		12		1 1 1	
318+50.00	80	13	29.8	111	203.2
	90				
	90				
	85				
	80				
318+00.00		19	22.1	108	
			53.1		404.4
SCALE: 1"=40' 1	IORIZ.				
RAMP A					
00					
					SHEET
OODPLAIL	N IM	IPAC	Γ		NO.
CROSS SH		ONS			



		Regula	ar Exc.	Em	banl	kment
		A	V	A		V
	90					
	85					
	80	14		109		
319+50.00			23.8			202.1
	00					
	90					
	85					
	80					
210,000		12		109		
519+00.00			22.8			204.1
SCALE: 1"=40' H	IORIZ.					
RAMP A						
φο						
		1				SHEET
OODPLAI	V IM	IPACI	Γ		-	NO.
CROSS SA		ONS				



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		А	V	A	V
321+00.00	90 85 80 80	14	24.2	76	181.2
320+50.00	90 85 80 80	12	23.2	120	224.4
320+00.00 SCALE: 1"=40' K 1"=10' K RAMP A	90 90 85 80 90 10RI Z.	13	24.8	122	214.4
	V IN	IPAC2	T		SHEET NO.



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	ikment
		A	V	А	V
	100				
	100				
	95				
	90				
	0.5				
	85				
222,00,00	80	13		44	
322+00.00			25.8		97.7
	0.0				
	90				
	85				
	80				
321+50.00		15	26.6	62	127.3
SCALE: 1"=40' 1	HORIZ.				
RAMP A	TERI.				
		1			SHEET
OODPLAI	N IM	IPAC	Γ		NO.
CROSS SH	ECTI	ONS			
		. 2			



		Regula	ar Exc.	Emban	kment
		A	V	A	V
	105				
	100				
	95				
	90				
	05				
	20				
323+00.00	80	12	10 5	1	7.02
			19.5		38.7
	100				
	95				
	00				
	30				
	85				
322+50.00	80	9		41	
522750.00			20.3		78.5
SCALE: 1"=40' 1 1"=10' V	HORIZ. /ERT.				
KAMP A					
			775		SHEET
IODPLAIL		PAC'	ľ		NO.
RUSS SE	CTI	UNS			



Unagen 4 1 mmmmmm

EXISTING FPC AREA

CARLES COMPANY OF COMP THE COMP

William III

EXIST. POND 15-

POND 1B AREA

CREEL

BASIN 1 - TO SHINGLE CREEK	
EXIST. MANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA	= 9.01 AC
EXIST. MANAGED PERVIOUS AREA	= 6.38 AC
EXIST. MANAGED WATER AREA	= 2.34 AC
EXIST. UNMANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA	= 29.78 AC
EXIST. UNMANAGED PERVIOUS AREA	= 47.33 AC
TOTAL BASIN AREA	= 95.29 AC
AND A DESCRIPTION OF A	

					TO E	1158 83		
DATE	REV DESCRIPTION	ISIONS DATE	DESCRIPTION	DEP.	STATE OF F ARTMENT OF TRA	LORIDA NSPORTATION		7
				ROAD NO.	COUNTY	FINANCIAL PROJECT ID	-	ŀ
				91	ORANGE	433663-1-52-01	3/31/2023	11:





PROPOSED MANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA PROPOSED MANAGED PERVIOUS AREA PROPOSED MANAGED WATER AREA (PONDS) PROPOSED UNMANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA PROPOSED UNMANAGED PERVIOUS AREA

Unagen 4 1 mmmmmm W LADIAN

KEY

EXISTING FPC AREA

entry sciences of cost for a dis-

Unites and the

OND 1B AREA

CREEL

BASIN 1 – TO SHINGLE CREEK	
PROP. MANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA	= 21.01 AG
PROP. MANAGED PERVIOUS AREA	= 13.41 AG
PROP. MANAGED WATER AREA	$= 7.74 \ AC$
PROP. UNMANAGED IMPERVIOUS AREA	= 33.18 AG
PROP. UNMANAGED PERVIOUS AREA	= 19.95 AG
TOTAL BASIN AREA	= 95.29 A
POT I SEA SHOW	

-		/ 5 ²	TO E						
		CORIDA NSPORTATION	STATE OF FL ARTMENT OF TRAN	DEP.	-	DESCRIPTION	VISIONS DATE	REVI DESCRIPTION	DATE
I	-	FINANCIAL PROJECT ID	COUNTY	ROAD NO.					
2 11.3	2/21/20	433663-1-52-01	ORANGE	91					
3	3/31/20	FINANCIAL PROJECT ID 433663-1-52-01 .Stephens	COUNTY ORANGE Morgan	ROAD NO. 91					





c:\pw\kh1\dms58224\drprrd04_post

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 189 S Orange Avenue, Suite 1000 Orlando, FL 32801

Pre Developed Composite CN and Quantity Calculation

Stationing:

STA. 5419+20 to STA. 5444+30

Existing Condi	tion - Managed Area - Roadv	vay (Routed	to Existing	Swales) and	d Existing Swal	es
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Impervious	Asphalt/Pavement/Concrete	-	98	9.01	882.98	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	6.83	532.74	
Water	Existing Pond Bottom	-	100	2.34	234.00	
			Subtotal:	18.18	1649.72	
			Composite CN:		90.74	

Pre Developed Managed Area (AC):	18.18
Pre Developed Managed Composite CN:	90.74

N/A

Stationing:

Existing Condition - Unmanaged Area - Proposed Pond Site 1A and 1B

•	•	•				
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Water	Normal Water Level	-	100	0.00	0.00	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	11.62	906.36	
			Subtotal:	11.62	906.36	
			Cor	nposite CN:	78.00	

Stationing:

STA. 5401+90 to STA. 5419+20; STA. 5444+30 to STA. 5498+60

Existing Condition - Unmanaged Area - Roadway (Not Routed to Existing Swales)

0	0	J ·		0		
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Impervious	Asphalt/Pavement/Concrete	-	98	29.78	2918.44	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	35.71	2785.38	
			Subtotal:	65.49	5703.82	
			Cor	nposite CN:	87.09	
Dra Davialaria	$d \mid l \mid \alpha \mid \alpha$	77 11				

Pre Developed Unmanaged Area (AC):	77.11
Pre Dev. Unmanaged Composite CN:	85.72

Pre Developed Basin Total Area (AC):

95.29

Notes:

Total Project Basin Area to Shingle Creek is equal in both conditions.

Proposed Developed Composite CN and Quantity Calculation

Stationing:

: STA. 5425+90 to STA. 5473+70

N/A

N/A

Proposed Condition - I	Managed Area -	Roadway (Routed to I	Proposed Pond 1A)	
•	•			•	

Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Impervious	Asphalt/Pavement/Concrete	-	98	19.73	1933.54	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	8.90	694.2	
			Subtotal:	28.63	2627.74	
			Cor	mposite CN:	91.78	

Stationing:

Proposed Condition - Managed Area - Proposed Pond 1A

Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Water	Normal Water Level	-	100	3.56	356.00	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	2.23	173.94	
			Subtotal:	5.79	529.94	
			Cor	mposite CN:	91.53	

Stationing:

STA. 270+50 to STA. 276+00 (Sand Lake Road)

Proposed Condition - Managed Area - Roadway (Routed to Proposed Pond 1B)

1	5	<i>.</i>			/	
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	(Area)
Impervious	Asphalt/Pavement/Concrete	-	98	1.28	125.44	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	0.62	48.36	
			Subtotal:	1.90	173.8	
			Cor	nposite CN:	91.47	

Stationing:

Proposed Condition - Managed Area - Proposed Pond 1B

	5					
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Water	Normal Water Level	-	100	4.28	428.00	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	1.56	121.68	
			Subtotal:	5.84	549.68	
			Cor	mposite CN:	94.12	

Prop. Developed Managed Area (AC):	42.16
Prop. Dev. Managed Composite CN:	92.06

Notes:

Total Project Basin Area to Shingle Creek is equal in both conditions.
Proposed Developed Composite CN and Quantity Calculation

Stationing:

STA. 5401+90 to STA. 5425+90; STA. 5473+70 to STA. 5498+60

Proposed Condition - Unnmanaged Area - Roadway (Not Routed to Proposed Ponds)						
Land Use	Soil Description	Soil Group	CN	Area (AC)	Product (CN X	Area)
Impervious	Asphalt/Pavement/Concrete	-	98	33.18	3251.64	
Pervious	Open Space	D	78	19.95	1556.10	
			Subtotal:	53.13	4807.74	
			Cor	mposite CN:	90.49	
Prop. Develo	ped Unmanaged Area (AC):	53.13				
Prop. Dev. U	nmanaged Composite CN:	90.49				

Prop. Developed Basin Total Area (AC): 95.29

Notes:

Total Project Basin Area to Shingle Creek is equal in both conditions.

Pre Developed Total Quantity Calculation

Pre Developed Unmanaged Area (AC):	77.11
Pre Dev. Unmanaged Composite CN:	85.72

*	Pre-Dev. Unmanaged Quantity to Shingle Creek:	84.28 CFS	
* *	Pre-Developed Discharge from Existing Swales:	28.71 CFS	
	Pre Developed Total Quantity to Shingle Creek:	112.99 CFS	(25-YR/24-HR)

** Exist. Linear Pond (Swale) Outfalls:

Existing Linear Pond	Side	<u>Q (CFS)</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Existing Pond 5	LT	2.33	
Existing Pond 7	LT	1.46	
Existing Pond 9	LT	1.47	
Existing Pond 11	LT	1.10	
Existing Pond 13	LT	2.93	
Existing Pond 10	RT	2.40	
Existing Pond 12	RT	1.38	
Existing Pond 14	RT	5.54	
Existing Pond 16	RT	4.51	Inflow Used, Outflow Unreadable
Existing Pond 18	RT	1.09	
Existing Pond 15	RT	4.50	Estimated from 18" @ 2.5 FPS

Proposed Developed Total Quantity Calculation

Proposed Unmanaged Basin Area (AC):	53.13
Proposed Unmanaged Composite CN:	90.49

Prop. Dev. Unmanaged Quantity to Shingle Creek:	62.26 CFS	
Prop. Development Discharge from Pond 1B:	37.09 CFS	
Prop. Development Total Quantity to Shingle Creek	99.35 CFS	(25-YR/24-HR)

Notes:

*See ICPR Analysis for Unmanaged and Proposed Discharge Calculations.

**Pre-Developed Rate from Previous Permit #48-01443-P (See References Appendix) Unmanaged Time of Concentration used: 128.60 min.

Pond 1A Time of Concentration used: 49 min. (From Storm Tabs for System N-406MES)

Water Quality Volume Required

Water Management Type:	Wet Detention
OFW?	No
SFWMD Water Quality Criteria:	Greater of
	1 Inch X Total Basin Area + Existing Treatment
USED ->	2.5 Inches X Additional Impervious Area + Existing Treatment

Existing Impervious Area (Total)=	38.79 AC
Proposed Impervious Area (Total)=	54.19 AC
Additional Impervious Area=	15.40 AC

There is also impact to the existing required treatment within the swales along the mainline of 4.51 AC.

Min. Required Treatment=	Additional Impervious Area + Existing T	reated Area
Min. Required Treatment=	19.91 AC	
Min. Required Treatment Volume=	4.15 AC-FT	

This project will provide additional treatment volume for future impervious area:

Future Additional Impervious=	10.78	AC	
Future Required Treatment=	2.25	AC-FT	
Combined (Min. + Future) Required Treatment=	6.39	AC-FT	
			In 24 hrs,
Proposed Pond Basin Impervious:	21.01	AC	(Drawdown = Pond Imp X 0.5")
Proposed Water Quality Volume:	4.38	AC-FT	(Drawdown Max = 0.88 AC-FT)
Provided Treatment Volume:	6.39	AC-FT	*Minimum Dimensions Used

Stage-Area Table

	Combined Pond 1	A and Pond 1E	}		
				Incr. Volume	Total Volume
	Stage (FT)	Area (AC)	Avg. Area (AC)	(AC-FT)	(AC-FT)
Outside Berm	90.00	10.38	9.68	9.68	87.78
Inside Berm	89.00	8.98	8.56	20.54	78.10
Weir	86.60	8.14	7.99	6.39	57.56
SHW/Control	85.80	7.84	6.56	51.17	51.17
Bottom	78.00	5.28			
Desi	gn High Water:	87.5	FT	(25-YR/24-HR,	Pond 1A)
Freel	board Provided:	1.5	FT		
Notes:					
Low	EOP elevation:	89.54	FT	(STA. 302+88.0	0, Ramp A)
	Tailwater:	85.36	FT	(25-YR Shingle (Creek, See TW Justification)
	SHW:	85.80	FT	(See Geotechni	cal Report)

Orange County

SFWMD

Permit No. 48-00633-S

SR 528 (Beachline Exp.) from Florida's Turnpike to McCoy Road



SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT **ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE PERMIT NO. 48-00633-S** DATE ISSUED: 12/11/15

PERMITTEE: FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FLORIDAS TURNPIKE ENTERPRISE P O BOX 613069 OCOEE, FL 34761

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This Environmental Resource Permit Modification authorizes works consistent with previously permitted stormwater management system serving 47.80 acres of a highway facility for a project known as S R 528 (Beachline Exp) from Florida's Turnpike to McCoy Rd.

PROJECT LOCATION: ORANGE COUNTY,

SEC 34-36 TWP 23S RGE 29E SEC 31 TWP 23S RGE 30E SEC 3,4 TWP 24S RGE 29E

PERMIT **DURATION:** See Special Condition No:1.

This is to notify you of the District's agency action for Permit Application No. 151022-9, dated October 22, 2015. This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 373, Part IV, Florida Statues (F.S).

Based on the information provided, District rules have been adhered to and an Environmental Resource Permit is in effect for this project subject to:

- 1. Not receiving a filed request for a Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, administrative hearing.
- 2. the attached 18 General Conditions (See Pages : 2 - 4 of 5),
- 3. the attached 8 Special Conditions (See Pages : 5 - 5 of 5) and
- the attached 3 Exhibit(s) 4

Should you object to these conditions, please refer to the attached "Notice of Rights" which addresses the procedures to be followed if you desire a public hearing or other review of the proposed agency action. Please contact this office if you have any questions concerning this matter. If we do not hear from you in accordance with the "Notice of Rights," we will assume that you concur with the District's action.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT this written notice has been mailed or electronically transmitted to the Permittee (and the persons listed in the attached distribution list) this , in accordance with Section 120.60(3), F.S. Notice was also electronically period on this date through a link on the home page of the District's website (my.sfwmd.g_v

BY:

Charles R. Walter, P.G., CFM

Orlando Regulatory Service Center Administrator Orlando Service Center

Page 1 of 5

Drainage Design Documentation Beachline Expressway SR 528 Widening

From West of Florida's Turnpike to East of McCoy Road

Permit Submittal

Financial Project ID 437156-1-52-01

Submitted To:

SFWMD

Prepared By:

DRMP Inc.

Submitted October 2015

BASIN 9

Basin Area:15.59 acresDescription:Sta. 444+80 to Sta. 466+80, Mainline and RampOutfall Waterbody:Boggy Creek

Weighted CN Calculations

	Soil	Area		
Land Use	Class	(Ac)	CN	Product
*Impervious (Rdwy)		8.82	98	864.36
Grassed R/W	С	6.77	74	500.98
TOTAL		15.59		1365.34

Weighted CN = 87.6

* Originally permitted impervious area did not include miscelaneous asphalt (1.4 acre increase).

Note: This basin is untreated and discharges to the existing cross-drain at 466+80 (Lake Cristie Outfall Canal). New pavement in this basin is compensated for by treating existing pavement in Pond 10B. See ERP No. 48-00633-S/App. No. 040702-13 calculations.

Basin 9:

Additional Impervious (8-lanes)= 2.36 (permitted) + 1.4 (new) = 3.76 Ac (See Pg. A-36)

Basin 10:

Existing Impervious (To Pond 10B)= 4.76 Ac (Compensation) (See Pg. A-42)



Orange County

SFWMD

Permit Application No. 220504-34304 (Under Review)

Orange Blossom Storage Center

DRAINAGE REPORT

for

ORANGE BLOSSOM STORAGE CENTER ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA



Mitch Collins, P.E.# 54608 State of Florida, C.A.# 9523

Date

April 23, 2022

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The proposed Orange Blossom Storage Center project is located at 1851 W. Landstreet Road, near the northeast corner of U.S. Highway 441 and Landstreet Road in Orange County, Florida. The existing site is mostly developed with the remnants of a motel complex that was constructed in 1973. No SFWMD permits have been issued for the project site.

2.0 PRE-DEVELOPMENT

2.1 FLOODZONE

The Orange Blossom Storage Center property is not located within the 100-year floodzone per FEMA maps 120095C0420 F (see Exhibit 5).

2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMARY

There are no wetlands located within the project area.

2.3 EXISTING DRAINAGE

The existing site is mostly developed. The site was originally developed as a 7.586 acre motel complex in 1973 simultaneously with the construction of State Road 528 (see Exhibit EX-7). The project included six separate motel buildings and a separate reception building (see Exhibit EX-8). Construction included a series of storm pipes and inlets with a direct connection the State Road 528 stormwater system near the northeast corner of the project site. No on site water quality treatment or flood attenuation was provided.

As part of an Orange County pilot program, the motel buildings were recently demolished.

3.0 POST-DEVELOPMENT

3.1 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed Orange Blossom Storage Center project will include the remaining demolition of the easternmost 6.952 acres of the original project and the construction of a three-story storage building along with the associated parking, utilities and stormwater management facilities. There will be one wet detention pond located at the northeast corner of the project area. Stormwater will be directed to the proposed detention pond via a system of storm inlets and pipes. Overflow discharge from the proposed detention pond will be connected to the existing stormwater outfall pipe into the State Road 528 drainage system.

Stormwater management for off site runoff from the west (the remainder of the original 7.586 acre project) will be handled separately with a small dry retention area located just west of the proposed project driveway. Outfall from the dry retention area will be directed to the main project stormwater management system after water quality treatment.

3.2 WATER QUALITY

Exhibit 12 shows water quality and pond volume calculations for Basin 1 of the project. As shown, the required water quality volume is 68,957 CF. With the pond control set at elevation 94.0 and the top of pond set at elevation 98.0, the provided pond volume is 71,479 CF (below the weir elevation of 96.8). This is in excess of the required 68,957 CF. Exhibit 14 shows the results for the water quality recovery analysis. As shown, half of the required water quality volume (34,479 CF) recovers within the required 30 hours (it recovers half in about 28 hours).

Exhibit 13 shows water quality and pond volume calculations for Basin 2 of the project. As shown, the required water quality volume is 3,887 CF. With the pond bottom set at elevation 96.0 and the top of pond set at elevation 97.0, the provided pond volume is 7,329 CF (below the weir elevation of 96.8). This is in excess of the required 3,887 CF. Exhibit 15 shows the results for the water quality recovery analysis. As shown, the full water quality volume (3,887 CF) recovers within the required 72 hours (it recovers in about 56 hours).

3.3 FLOOD CONTROL

As shown on Exhibit EX-12, the pre-development impervious coverage over the entire basin area (7.586 acres) is 81.12%. As shown on Exhibit EX-13, the post-development impervious coverage is 80% for Basin 1 and 54.5% for Basin 2. Even without the proposed on site water quality treatment ponds, discharge from the project site will be reduced in the post-development condition for all storm events.

3.4 ANALYSIS:

Water Quality: Water quality calculations and recovery analyses are included on Exhibits 12, 13, 14 and 15. As shown on these exhibits, the required water quality volume is provided below the proposed weir for each basin and recovery occurs within the required time frame. Appendix 1 includes input data and raw results for the water quality recovery analyses.

Peak Stages:	Routing simulations for the entire post-development basin area (basins 1 and 2 combined) were conducted to establish minimum parking area and finish floor elevations. Results are included in Appendix 1. The 10yr-24hr peak stage is 97.03 and the 100yr-24hr peak stage is 97.14.
Nutrient Loading:	As shown on Exhibits EX-12 and EX-13, the impervious coverage for the pre-development condition is higher than that of the post-development condition. Even without the proposed on site water quality treatment ponds, nutrient loads from the project site will be reduced in the post-development condition.

4.0 CONCLUSION

As shown in the attached calculations, the proposed stormwater management system has been designed such that the water quality volume and peak attenuation criteria for each review agency has been met.



SHEET NUMBER

EX-10







SHEET NUMBER

EX-13

intertek.

Report Geotechnical Engineering Services Proposed Self-Storage Facility 1851 West Landstreet Road Orlando, Orange County, Florida PSI Project No. 07572818



Project Number: 07572818 April 25, 2022

Professional Service Industries, Inc. 1748 33rd Street, Orlando, FL 32839 Phone: (407) 304-5560 Fax: (407) 304-5561

Mr. Gary Klein Senior Vice President, Project Management **Criterion Construction, LLC** 221 NE Ivanhoe Boulevard, Suite 330 Orlando, Florida, 32840

RE: Report Geotechnical Engineering Services Proposed Self-Storage Facility 1851 West Landstreet Road Orlando, Orange County, Florida PSI Project No.: 07572818

Dear Mr. Klein:

In general accordance with PSI Proposal No. 0757-369139, **Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI), an Intertek company**, has completed a geotechnical exploration program at the site of the referenced project. The evaluation was conducted to provide geotechnical engineering recommendations related to site preparation and foundation design for the proposed self-storage facility.

PROJECT INFORMATION

The project is a new self-storage facility to be built at 1851 West Landstreet Road in Orlando, Florida. The site is located in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of U.S. 441 and West Landstreet Road and is just west of S.R. 528. The property totals some 6.96 +/- acres and formerly contained a condominium complex that was recently demolished and removed. Currently, the site is vacant with a groundcover of mostly exposed sands in the former condo areas.

Based on the information and site development plan provided to PSI, new construction for the project will include a 3-story self-storage building that provides 135,000 +/- total square feet of floor space (approximately 45,000 square feet per floor), along with an associated paved loading/unloading loop, plus parking areas and driveways. From our recent communications with Mr. Mitch Collins, P.E., the project civil engineer, we understand that stormwater for the overall site will be managed by two new ponds to be constructed in the northeast and southwest corners of the site. The northeasterly pond will be a wet bottom design with the southwesterly pond being a dry bottom detention system.

Foundation loads are unknown to PSI at this time. Based on experience with similar self-storage projects, we anticipate maximum column and wall loads for the building will be on the order of 300 kips and 5 to 8 kips per lineal foot, respectively. On this basis, we anticipate support of the building will be provided by shallow spread foundations with a slab on grade ground floor (i.e., no basements).



Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 2 of 11

The noted information/assumptions have been used for the purpose of preparing this report. Adjustments to the scope of services may be necessary if the planned development differs from the noted information/assumptions.

REVIEW OF PUBLISHED DATA

USGS Topographic Map

The topographic survey map published by the USGS entitled "Lake Jessamine, Florida" was reviewed for ground surface features in the area of the proposed development. Based on this review, ground surface elevations at the site are on the order of +90 to +95 feet NGVD29.

A review of the site-specific topographic survey data provided to PSI indicates current elevations across the site are in the general range +96 to +99 feet NAVD88. In the localized area of the demolished swimming pool of the former condominium complex that previously occupied the site, elevations are on the order of +94 feet.

An excerpt of the USGS map is included on **Figure 1**.

SCS Soil Survey

The "Soil Survey of Orange County, Florida," published by the USDA SCS, was reviewed for general near-surface soil information within the project vicinity. This information indicates that there is one soil group mapped within the project site. The general information provided by the SCS for the mapped soil unit is summarized in the following table.

Soil Series	Depth (inches)	Unified Classification	USDA Seasonal High Groundwater Table Depth (feet)
45 – Smyrna fine sand-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0 to 80	SP, SP-SM, SM	0 to 1.0

It should be noted that the soil and groundwater information summarized above is based on the Smyrna fine sand component of the soil group. Urban land and Urban land complexes refer to developed or partially developed areas where the natural soil and groundwater conditions have been altered.

An excerpt of the SCS Soil Survey map for the project site is provided on Figure 2.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

<u>General</u>

To evaluate subsurface conditions at the site, PSI drilled/sampled 5 Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings in the planned self-storage building area, and 7 auger borings in the planned pavement and pond areas. The self-storage building borings were extended to depths in the range 20 to 40 feet below existing grades, with the pavement and pond auger borings being advanced to depths of 8 feet and 20 feet below grade, respectively. In





addition, we obtained one relatively undisturbed Shelby tube soil sample for laboratory permeability testing from each of the two proposed stormwater pond areas. The various borings were completed at the approximate locations shown on **Sheet 1**. Pond borings PB-3 and PB-4 were shifted slightly to the east due to inaccessibility where an existing fence was present at the proposed boring sites.

The SPT borings were completed using rotary wash procedures and sampled in general accordance with ASTM D-1586. An automatic hammer was used to obtain the SPT samples. In the SPT borings, the upper 4 feet was augered by hand to confirm clearance from shallow buried utilities. Below this depth, SPT samples and resistances were collected virtually continuously to 10 feet with the sampling frequency being at 5-foot centers thereafter to boring termination. In the auger borings, samples were collected at regular intervals and or each change in soil stratum.

The borings were field located using a handheld GPS device and the plan provided to PSI. Existing groundcover at the boring locations included asphalt pavement (that remains from the former condominium complex) or exposed sands. Upon completion of drilling, the borings were backfilled with soil cuttings and bentonite chips.

Select soil samples were subjected to laboratory testing to determine pertinent engineering properties/parameters. Testing included a few moisture content determinations and wash 200 sieve evaluations. The laboratory testing program also included falling-head permeability testing of two tube samples collected from the planned pond areas. The various tests were carried out following appropriate ASTM standards. The results of the natural moisture content and 200 wash sieve testing are included with the soil profiles on **Sheets 2** and **3** adjacent to the depth increment of the test specimen. The results of the permeability tests are presented in the Stormwater Management section of this report.

The stratification presented is based on visual observation of the recovered soil samples, laboratory testing results and interpretation of field logs by a geotechnical engineer. It should be noted that variations in the subsurface conditions are expected and may be encountered between and away from PSI's borings. Also, whereas the individual boring logs indicate distinct strata breaks, the actual transition between the soil layers may be more gradual than shown on the soil profiles.

<u>Stratigraphy</u>

Based on the borings completed for the project, subsurface conditions are reasonably consistent across the site. In general, the borings revealed a varying sequence of fine sands grading relatively clean to slightly silty/clayey and silty/clayey in composition (i.e., SP, SP-SM, SP-SC, SM and SC materials). The upper sands tend to comprise cleaner sands that are underlain by the more silty/clayey soils. In the deeper SPT boring (B-3), a clay layer was encountered from a depth of 33.5 feet to boring termination at 40 feet.

Recorded SPT blow counts indicate the sands are generally in a loose to medium dense condition with occasional isolated zones of very loose or dense soils. The clay encountered at depth in boring B-3 grades firm to stiff.

Detailed descriptions of the individual borings are shown as soil profiles on Sheets 2 and 3.





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 4 of 11

Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was disclosed in the borings at depths in the range 3.5 to 4.5 feet below existing grade at the time of drilling. Groundwater levels will fluctuate seasonally in response to rainfall or lack thereof. Additionally, water levels will to some degree be influenced by drainage improvements and irrigation practices in any given area.

The estimated normal seasonal high groundwater level presented herein is based on the observed soil stratigraphy, conditions observed in the borings, USDA Soil Survey information, and our experience in the project vicinity. In this regard, we estimate the normal wet seasonal high groundwater table (SHWT) will occur at depths some 1 to 1.5 feet higher than observed in the borings. Based on our understanding of the site-specific topographic data/current ground surface elevations at the property as discussed herein, we estimate the normal seasonal high water table at the site will occur at an elevation on the order of +94.5 feet NAVD88. We anticipate the average wet season water level will occur approximately one foot lower than the noted estimated seasonal high water table (i.e. +93.5 feet NAVD88).

SITE SUITABILITY

Based on the results of the borings, it is our opinion that subsurface conditions are generally suitable for the proposed self-storage facility from a geotechnical engineering perspective. Following the completion of appropriate site preparation activities as recommended herein, shallow spread foundations can be used for building support. Such foundations can be designed using a net allowable bearing pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf).

Provided adequate separation is maintained between the estimated normal seasonal high groundwater table and the bottom of pavement base, pavements can be constructed using either asphalt or concrete sections. With respect to stormwater management, the prevailing soil and groundwater conditions generally appear suitable for a wet bottom pond(s) and or a shallow dry bottom system(s). For a dry bottom pond system to function properly, adequate separation should be provided between the bottom of the pond and the normal seasonal high groundwater table. The excavations for ponds are anticipated to generate some granular/sand materials suitable for use as fill on the project.

More detailed recommendations regarding site preparation, foundation design, pavements and stormwater management for the project are provided in the following sections of this report.

SITE PREPARATION CONSIDERATIONS

<u>General</u>

The following recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics and subsurface conditions encountered. If there is any change in the project criteria, including the location or orientation of the structure, a review must be made by PSI to determine if additional fieldwork and/or any modifications to our recommendations will be required.





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 5 of 11

Once final design plans and specifications are available, a general review by PSI is strongly recommended as a means to check that the evaluations made in preparation of this report are correct and that earthwork and foundation recommendations are properly interpreted and implemented.

Site Clearing/Stripping

Prior to subgrade preparation and fill placement, clearing and grubbing including root raking and removal of any organic-laden topsoil, plus any remaining conflicting unwanted construction that may remain on the site should be completed. This normally includes removing the surface vegetation, stripping topsoil, grubbing major root systems, and removing any miscellaneous debris and/or other deleterious materials. At a minimum, it is recommended that the clearing/stripping operations extend at least ten feet beyond the proposed pavement and building perimeters, where possible. Material generated during stripping operations should be disposed of off-site in a proper manner as directed by the Owner.

Initial site clearing and preparation work should be carried out under the observation of a representative of the geotechnical engineer.

Demolition

Demolition work should be carried out in accordance with Federal, State and municipal requirements, especially as they relate to handling and disposal of hazardous materials (i.e., asbestos, lead based paint, chemicals, etc.). Elements to be demolished should be removed in their entirety, including subsurface utilities, building foundations and associated slabs, sidewalks, curbs and pavements. Any buried utilities/conduits that are to be abandoned in place should be grout filled. If pipes/conduits are not filled, they may serve as catalysts to subsurface erosion that could result in excess settlement.

Demolition work should be carried out with care so as not to adversely affect facilities that are to remain. Excavations resulting from the removal of buried elements should be backfilled with clean, compacted, structural fill.

Fill Placement and Subgrade Preparation

Following the clearing/stripping operations, the exposed subgrade should be evaluated as directed by representatives of PSI to confirm that all unsuitable materials have been removed. Building and pavement areas should then be proof-rolled to provide a stable/unyielding subgrade prior to placing fill. Proof rolling should consist of compaction with a large diameter, vibratory drum roller. The roller should have a drum weight of at least ten tons. Proof rolling should consist of a minimum of ten overlapping coverages of the roller in a criss-cross pattern with a maximum travel speed of 2 feet per second.

Compaction can be completed in the vibratory or static mode in order to meet the minimum density requirements stated below. Based on past experience, we recommend compaction equipment be operated in the static mode within 75 feet of existing structures to reduce vibrations that could cause structural distress or disturb building occupants. Careful observations should be made during proof rolling to help identify any areas of soft/yielding soils that may require over-excavation and replacement filling.





For the building and pavement areas, we recommend that the subgrade, to a minimum depth of one foot below stripped grade, be compacted to at least 95 percent of the material's modified Proctor (ASTM D-1557) maximum dry density. Following satisfactory completion of initial compaction of the stripped subgrade, the proposed development areas may be brought up to finished grades as required.

Engineered Fill

Any off-site fill imported for the project should consist of clean fine sand with less than 12 percent by dry weight passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 sieve and be free of rubble, organics, clay, debris and other deleterious material. Fill should be tested and approved prior to import and placement. Each lift should have a loose thickness not exceeding 12 inches. Density tests should be performed to confirm the required compaction is being achieved prior to placing the next lift.

Prior to beginning compaction, soil moisture conditioning may be required. Soil moisture contents should be controlled in order to facilitate proper compaction. A moisture content within two percentage points of the material's optimum indicated by the modified Proctor test (ASTM D-1557) is recommended prior to compaction of the natural ground and fill. All engineered fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the material's modified Proctor (ASTM D-1557) maximum dry density.

On-Site Soil Suitability

Materials to be used for backfill or compacted fill for construction should be evaluated and, if necessary, tested by PSI prior to placement to determine if they are suitable for the intended use. In general, based on the results of the borings, the on-site relatively clean to slightly silty sands (Strata 1, 5 and 7) appear to be suitable for use as engineered fill, provided the material is free of debris, rubble, clay, roots, and organics.

Soils in Strata 2, 3 and 4 generally comprise silty to clayey fine sands and will be difficult to moisture condition and compact, especially during the wet season. Due to the poor drainage characteristics of silty/clayey sands, these soils should not be used within 36 inches of pavement base materials and 24 inches of floor slabs. If it is desired to use these soils, they should be thoroughly blended/mixed with other, more suitable/cleaner granular materials in such a way that the content of fines of the hybrid material is less than 12 percent passing the No. 200 sieve.

Stratum 6 consists of clay and should not be utilized as engineered fill.

DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Foundations

Based on the anticipated construction and recommended site preparation, shallow foundations can be used for support of the proposed self-storage building. Such foundations may be designed for a net allowable bearing pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf). The foundations and ground floor slab should bear on properly placed and compacted cohesionless (sand) fills or on compacted native soils. All footings should be embedded so that the bottom of the foundation is a minimum of 18 inches below adjacent finished grades on all sides. Strip and wall foundations should be a minimum of 18 inches wide, while column footings should be at least 30 inches





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 7 of 11

square. For load combinations that include wind, the allowable bearing capacity can be increased by 30 percent as permissible by Code.

The subgrade soils should be compacted to a minimum density requirement of 95 percent of the material's modified Proctor (ASTM D-1557) maximum dry density for a minimum depth of two feet below the bottom of footings, as determined by field density compaction tests. Backfill soils placed adjacent to footings or walls should be carefully compacted with a light walk-behind roller or vibratory plate compactor to avoid damaging in-place footings or walls.

All foundation excavations should be observed by the Geotechnical Engineer or his representative to explore the extent of any fill, excessively loose, soft, or otherwise undesirable materials. If soft or undesirable materials are encountered in the footing excavations, then such materials should be removed, and the subgrade re-established by backfilling. This backfilling may be done with a well-compacted, suitable fill such as clean sand (engineered fill), gravel, or crushed FDOT No. 57 or FDOT No. 67 stone. Sand backfill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the material's modified Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-1557), as previously described. Gravel/stone should be compacted/tamped to a firm/unyielding condition for its full depth.

Immediately prior to placement of reinforcing steel, it is suggested that the bearing surfaces of all footing and floor slab areas be re-compacted using hand operated mechanical tampers. In this manner, any localized areas that have been loosened by excavation operations should be adequately recompacted.

Provided the recommended subgrade preparation operations presented herein are properly performed, total foundation settlement should be on the order of one inch or less. Differential settlements should be approximately 50 percent of the total movements. These estimates are based on foundation loads discussed herein. The settlement of shallow foundations supported on sandy soils should occur relatively quickly after initial loading. Thus, the majority of expected settlement should occur during construction as dead loads are imposed.

Lateral loads that are applied to the foundations may be resisted by earth pressure mobilized on the buried vertical faces of the footings and by shearing forces acting along the footing-subgrade interface. Earth pressure resistance may be determined using an equivalent fluid density of 360 pounds per cubic foot for moist soil and 180 pounds per cubic foot for submerged soil below the water table. A friction factor of 0.4 should be used to determine base shearing resistance. The noted values are based on the assumption that the footings are surrounded by compacted sand fill.

To develop passive resistances, the foundations must be able to tolerate some lateral movement. We estimate lateral movements in the range one-quarter to three-eighths of an inch to fully develop the passive resistance. In order to minimize the movement required to develop resistance, the pressure values presented above can be halved. A factor of safety of at least 1.5 is recommended for design.





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 8 of 11

Floor Slab

The floor slab of the building can be safely supported as a slab-on-grade system provided the final subgrade elevation is densified and prepared as recommended herein. We further recommend that the upper one foot of the subgrade soils within the building pad be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density of the soil's modified Proctor (ASTM D-1557).

We recommend the floor slab bearing soils be covered by lapped polyethylene sheeting in order to minimize the potential for floor dampness which can affect the performance of floor coverings. This membrane should consist of a minimum six mil thick, single layer of non-corroding, non-deteriorating sheeting material placed to minimize seams and to cover all of the soil below the building floor slabs. Seams should be overlapped a minimum of 12 inches.

For slab design, we recommend a subgrade modulus of 150 pounds per cubic inch (pci) for subgrade prepared as noted herein.

Pavement Support

Provided a minimum separation of 18 inches is maintained between the bottom of the pavement base course and the estimated normal seasonal high groundwater table, pavement base materials can consist of limerock or crushed concrete. Based on experience, we recommend the following minimum pavement sections for lightduty uses such as in the parking lots and medium duty uses such as in car driveway areas.

Light-Duty (Car Parking Areas)

1.5 inches	Type SP Asphaltic Concrete
6.0 inches	Limerock (LBR = 100) or crushed concrete basecourse (LBR = 150)
12.0 inches	Stabilized subgrade (LBR = 40) compacted to 98 percent of the material's ASTM D- 1557 maximum dry density.

Medium-Duty (Driveway and Service Areas)

2.0 inches	Type SP Asphaltic Concrete
8.0 inches	Limerock (LBR = 100) or crushed concrete basecourse (LBR = 150)
12.0 inches	Stabilized subgrade (LBR = 40) compacted to 98 percent of the material's ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density.

For heavy-duty uses, such as in truck loading areas, dumpster pads/approach areas, as well as in areas to receive heavy truck traffic, we recommend the following minimum pavement section.

Heavy-Duty (Rigid Pavement)

7.0 inches	Portland cement concrete, minimum 28-day compressive strength of 4000 psi.
12.0 inches	Well-draining granular subgrade (AASHTO A-3 material), compacted to 98
	percent of the material's ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density.





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 9 of 11

Pavement joints and reinforcing for concrete pavement should be in accordance with American Concrete Institute (ACI) standards. The recommended pavement sections are based on past experience with similar projects and the encountered subsurface conditions at the site. All pavement materials and construction should meet the more stringent of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and local city/county requirements. The noted pavement sections should be considered recommended minimums based on anticipated traffic loadings and our past experience. The project civil engineer should provide the pavement design using actual traffic loads, design criteria provided by the Owner, and the soil and groundwater conditions noted herein.

Stormwater Management

Stormwater management for the site will be handled by two proposed ponds to be built in the southwest and northeast portions of the site. The southwesterly pond will be a dry bottom detention system, with the northeasterly pond being a wet bottom retention system/pond. To evaluate subsoil conditions in the planned pond areas, PSI drilled four auger borings to depths of 20 feet below existing grade (2 borings per pond). Additionally, one relatively undisturbed Shelby tube soil sample was collected from each pond area to perform laboratory permeability testing. Results of the permeability testing are summarized in the following.

Boring / Sample Depth	Percent Fines Passing the U.S. No.	Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity,
	200 Sieve	Kv (feet per day)
PB-2 / 2 feet	9.0	14
PB-3 / 2 feet	3.1	30

The values presented above are based on raw laboratory results and do not include a factor of safety. Based on experience, the horizontal hydraulic conductivity rate can typically be taken as 1.5 times the vertical rate.

Based on the results of our borings and laboratory testing, we recommend the following parameters be used to model the shallow effective aquifer of the proposed stormwater ponds.

Southwest Dry Pond (PB-1 and PB-2)

٠	Estimated Seasonal High Water Table	+94.5 feet, NAVD 88
٠	Base of Effective Aquifer	+93 feet, NAVD 88
٠	Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity, Kh	20 feet/day
٠	Fillable Porosity	25 percent
Northe	east Wet Pond (PB-3 and PB-4)	

Estimated Seasonal High Water Table +94.5 feet, NAVD88
Estimated Average Wet Season Water Table +93.5 feet, NAVD88
Base of Effective Aquifer +91
Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity, Kh 25 feet/day
Fillable Porosity 25 percent





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 10 of 11

The performance of a given stormwater system is dependent on the soil permeability as well as the groundwater table, system bottom elevation, system geometry, confining layer and water level in the system. We recommend a commercially available computer program such as PONDS or MODRET be used by an engineer experienced in groundwater modeling to evaluate the proposed stormwater system. The system should be designed and constructed in accordance with Water Management District requirements.

As design proceeds on the project, we will continue to work with your Civil Engineer to assist with stormwater management design matters. In order for dry bottom ponds to recover within Water Management District criteria, underdrains or a bleeder may be required.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Site Dewatering

Based on prevailing soil and groundwater conditions, dewatering may be required. Excavations that are only a few feet below the water table can likely be dewatered with a sump pump. Deeper excavations will most likely require well-pointing or sock drains to achieve adequate drawdown. In either case, the dewatering system should be designed and operated to lower the groundwater table to a depth at least 2 feet below the bottom of surfaces to be compacted in any given area. The design and discharge of the dewatering system should be in accordance with current regulatory criteria.

Excavations

In Federal Register, Volume 54, No. 209 (October 1989) the United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) amended its "Construction Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR, part 1926, Subpart P". This document was issued to better ensure the safety of workmen entering trenches or excavations. It is mandated by this federal regulation that excavations, whether they be utility trenches, general construction excavations or footing excavations, be constructed in accordance with the new OSHA guidelines. It is our understanding that these regulations are being strictly enforced and if they are not closely followed the Owner and the contractor could be liable for substantial penalties.

The contractor is solely responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope, or bench the sides of the excavations as required to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. The contractor's "responsible person", as defined in 29 CFR Part 1926, should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depth, including utility trench excavation depth, exceed those specified in local, state, and federal safety regulations. PSI is providing this information solely as a service to our client. PSI does not assume responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's or other parties' compliance with local, state, and federal safety or other regulations.





Project Number: 07572818 Criterion Construction, LLC April 25, 2022 Page 11 of 11

LIMITATIONS

Our professional services have been performed, our findings obtained, and our recommendations prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. This company is not responsible for the conclusions, opinions or recommendations made by others based on these data.

The scope of our exploration was intended to evaluate soil conditions within the influence of the proposed structure foundations and does not include an evaluation of potential deep soil problems such as sinkholes. The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the soil borings performed at the locations indicated. If any subsoil variations become evident during the course of this project, a re-evaluation of the recommendations contained in this report will be necessary after we have had an opportunity to observe the characteristics of the conditions encountered. The applicability of the report should also be reviewed in the event significant changes occur in the design, nature or location of the proposed development.

The scope of our services provided herein does not include any environmental assessment or investigation for the presence or absence of hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, groundwater, or surface water within or beyond the site studied. Any statements in this report regarding odors, staining of soils, or other unusual conditions observed are strictly for the information of our client.

CLOSURE

PSI appreciates the opportunity to provide our services to Criterion Construction, LLC on this project and we trust that the foregoing and accompanying attachments are of assistance to you at this time. If you have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. Certificate of Authorization No. 3684

Max Metahan

Max S. McGahan, P.E. Project Engineer Florida License No. 86580

07572818 Report (Landstreet Self Storage Facility).doc

Appendix:

- Figure 1 USGS Quadrangle Map
- Figure 2 SCS Soil Survey Map
- Sheet 1 Boring Location Plan
- Sheets 2 and 3 Boring Profiles

Ian Kinnear, P.E. Chief Geotechnical Engineer Florida License No. 32614





(407)304-5561 fax 4-1-22

ORLANDO, ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA



LEGEND

	GRAY TO BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
2	DARK BROWN TO BROWN SILTY FINE SAND, (SM)
3	GRAY TO BROWN SILTY-CLAYEY FINE SAND TO CLAYEY FINE SAND, (SM-SC), (SC)
4	GRAY TO BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND, (SC)
5	LIGHT BROWN TO BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
6	GREEN-GRAY TO BROWN-GRAY CLAY, (CH)
7	GRAY-BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
(SP)	UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION GROUP SYMBOL
N	STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE IN BLOWS PER FOOT USING AN AUTOMATIC HAMMER
4.4 ▼ 30-22	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER LEVEL IN FEET WITH DATE OF READING
W	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT IN PERCENT
-200	FINES PASSING #200 SIEVE IN PERCENT

SHEET: 2 DRAWN: DJW CHECKED: PC



Ē

_<u>4</u> 3–3

LEGEND

	GRAY TO BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
2	DARK BROWN TO BROWN SILTY FINE SAND, (SM)
3	GRAY TO BROWN SILTY-CLAYEY FINE SAND TO CLAYEY FINE SAND, (SM-SC), (SC)
4	GRAY TO BROWN CLAYEY FINE SAND, (SC)
5	LIGHT BROWN TO BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
6	GREEN-GRAY TO BROWN-GRAY CLAY, (CH)
7	GRAY-BROWN FINE SAND TO SLIGHTLY SILTY FINE SAND, (SP), (SP-SM)
(SP)	UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION GROUP SYMBOL
4.4 ▼ -30-22	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER LEVEL IN FEET WITH DATE OF READING
GND	GROUNDWATER NOT DETERMINED
W	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT IN PERCENT
-200	FINES PASSING #200 SIEVE IN PERCENT

SHEET: 3
DRAWN: DJW
CHECKED: PC

Orange County

SFWMD

Permit No. 48-100034-P Soil Tech Facility - Orlando SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



September 14, 2017

Delivered via email

Bernardo Mijares Mijares Landstreet Enterprises LLC 3355 NW 41st Street Miami, FL 33142

Subject: Exemption for Soil Tech Facility - Orlando Application No. 170619-9 Exemption No. 48-100034-P Orange County

Dear Mr. Mijares:

The South Florida Water Management District (District) reviewed the information submitted for the proposed replacement of an existing office building structure and has determined that the proposed project is exempt from the requirement to obtain an Environmental Resource Permit, pursuant to rule 373.406, Florida Administrative Code.

Activities that qualify for this exemption must be conducted and operated using appropriate best management practices and in a manner which does not cause or contribute to a water quality violation. Pursuant to Chapters 62-302 or 62-4, Florida Administrative Code.

This letter does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining other permits (federal, state or local) which may be required for the project.

The determination that this project qualifies as an exempt activity may be revoked if the installation is substantially modified, if the basis of the exemption is determined to be materially incorrect, of if the installation results in violation to state water quality standards. Any changes made in the construction plans or location of the project may necessitate a permit from the District. Therefore you are advised to contact the District before beginning any work in wetlands which is not specifically described in the submittal.

The notice of determination that the project qualifies as an exempt activity constitutes final agency by the District unless a petition for administrative hearing is filed. Upon timely filing of petition, this Notice will not be effective until further Order of the District.

Bernardo Mijares Mijares Landstreet Enterprises LLC Soil Tech Facility - Orlando, Application No.170619-9 September 14, 2017 Page 2

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Joseph Santangelo at (561) 682-2656 or jsantang@sfwmd.gov.

Sincerely,

Nerne P. Highter

Wayne Blythe Natural Resources Administrator

C:

Bernardo Mijares, Mijares Landstreet Enterprises LLC Michael Coats PE, Austin Construction Group Inc



RECEIVED

AUG 1 5 2017

August 10, 2017

SFWMD REGULATION

Mr. Carlos de Rojas, P.E. Section Leader South Florida Water Management District 3301 Gun Club Road West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

Project:	Soil Tech Facility - Orlando
Application ID:	ERP Application No. 170619-9
Site Address:	345 W Landstreet Road, Orlando, Orange County, FL

Dear Mr. de Rojas,

This letter provides responses to your June 27, 2017, comments to the Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) exemption application. Hopefully we have addressed your concerns and we look forward to working with you on this important project. Presented below are your comments, and our response to comments in italics.

GENERAL COMMENTS

Comment 1 The submitted application lists Austin Construction Group, Inc. as the applicant; however, the subject parcel is owned by Mijares Landstreet Enterprises LLC. Please verify the entity to receive the Exemption Verification. Per section 62-330.4.2.3(d) of the Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) "A permit shall only be issued to the record title holder, holder of a recorded easement conveying the right to utilize the property for a purpose consistent with the authorization requested in the permit application, those having the right to exercise the power of eminent domain or having a contract to purchase real property". Please provide legal documentation, such as a recorded warranty deed, verifying the applicant's legal ability to obtain a permit for the subject property. [Section 4.2.3(d) of AH Vol. I].

Response 1 Austin Construction Group, Inc. is the owner's representative and was inadvertently listed as the owner. The owner is:

Mijares Landstreet Enterprises, LLC 3355 N.W. 41st Street Miami, FL 33142

A copy of the warranty deed for the property is attached.

Comment 2 Please submit the required permit processing fee of \$100.00 for an Exemption Verification. [Rule 62-330.071(1), FAC]

Florida PE 48917 ° Cert of Authorization 30178 Austin Construction Group, Inc., 1302 N 23rd Street, Tampa, Florida 33605 • (813) 917-9267
Response to Comments Application No. 170619-9 August 10, 2017 Page 2 of 2

Response 2 A check in the amount of \$100.00 has been included with this response to comments.

Comment 3 Please submit a construction plan set for the proposed activities. [Section 4.2.3(b) of AH Vol. I]

Response 3 Construction plans have been included with these response to comments.

Comment 4 Please provide a project narrative which addresses the basis for the exemption and please cite the exemption from 62-330.51 [Exempt Activities] you believe best suits the proposed activity.

Response 4 Site reconnaissance shows the site is covered with crushed asphalt and concrete and is currently used for truck storage. Review of aerial photographs confirm that the site has historically been used for vehicle parking for over 20 years and that the site was covered in some sort of asphalt millings and/or crushed concrete for at least 20 years. Minimal site grading will be conducted, and in general all stormwater will continue to flow toward the southeast to an offsite stormwater swale and grate inlet.

Based on historical and current land cover, the activity is expected to have only minimal or insignificant individual of cumulative adverse impacts on water resources and is exempt under Section 373.406(6) of the Florida Statutes from the need to obtain regulatory permit under Part IV of Chapter 373 of the Florida Statutes.

It is understood that this exemption is based on information provided and the current construction drawings. The exemption may not be valid if the project design is significantly modified.

Stormwater pollution prevention and best management practices will be implemented during construction.

If there are any questions regarding these response to comments or the revised plans please do not hesitate to contact me at 813-917-9267 or at kcoats@acgtampa.com.



Florida PE 48917 ° Cert of Authorization 30178 Austin Construction Group, Inc., 1302 N 23rd Street, Tampa, Florida 33605 • (813) 917-9267



385 W LANDSTREET ROAD ORLANDO, FLORIDA



		PROJECT TEAM:		CODE INFORMATION:	LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	DRAW
ЕØ	08715720	PRIME CONSTRUCTION: ALL STEEL BUILDINGS 10159 U.S. 41 SOUTH GIBSONTON, FL 33534 PHONE: 813-267-9894 CONTACE MARK SCIME CONTACE MARK SCIME EMAIL: MSCIME@ALLSTEEL-BUILDINGS.COM	ENGINEERS: AUSTIN CONSTRUCTION GROUP 1302 N 23RD STREET TAMPA, FL 33605. PHONE: 813-917-9267 CONTACT: KEITH COATS EMAIL: KCOATS@ACGTAMPA.COM AUSTIN@ACGTAMPA.COM	BUILDING CODE INFORMATION: 2014 FLORIDA BUILDING CODE (5TH EDITION) TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION: IIB BUILDING AREA: 7.350 S.F. DESIGN LOADS 1. SOIL PRESSURE: 2000 PSF 2. WIND VELOCITY: 140 MPH	LOT 118, BLOCK D, PLAN OF BLOCK 'D' PROSPER COLONY, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLOT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK D, PAGE 100, PUBLIC RECORDS ORANGE COUNTY FLORIDA.	C0.0 C0.1 C1.0 C1.1 C1.2 C1.3 C1.4 C1.5 C1.6 C1.7 C1.8
						61.7











DRAWINGS SCALES SHOWN BASED ON 24"KW

Orange County

SFWMD

Permit No. 48-101958-P

Landstreet Asphalt Plant – Hubbard Construction



South Florida Water Management District Individual Environmental Resource Permit No. 48-101958-P Date Issued: September 16, 2019

Permittee:	Hubbard Construction Company 1936 Lee Road Suite 300 Winter Park, 32789
Project:	Hubbard Construction - Landstreet Asphalt Plant
Application No.	190816-16
Location:	Orange County, See Exhibit 1

Your application for an Individual Environmental Resource Permit is approved. This action is taken based on Chapter 373, Part IV, of Florida Statutes (F.S.) and the rules in Chapter 62-330, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Unless otherwise stated, this permit constitutes certification of compliance with state water quality standards under section 401 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1341, and a finding of consistency with the Florida Coastal Management Program. Please read this entire agency action thoroughly and understand its contents.

This permit is subject to:

- Not receiving a filed request for a Chapter 120, F.S., administrative hearing.
- The attached General Conditions for Environmental Resource Permits.
- The attached Special Conditions.
- All referenced Exhibits.

All documents are available online through the District's ePermitting site at www.sfwmd.gov/ePermitting.

If you object to these conditions, please refer to the attached "Notice of Rights" which addresses the procedures to be followed if you desire a public hearing or other review of the proposed agency action. Please contact this office if you have any questions concerning this matter. If we do not hear from you in accordance with the "Notice of Rights", we will assume that you concur with the District's action.

The District does not publish notices of action. If you wish to limit the time within which a person may request an administrative hearing regarding this action, you are encouraged to publish, at your own expense, a notice of agency action in the legal advertisement section of a newspaper of general circulation in the county or counties where the activity will occur. Legal requirements and instructions for publishing a notice of agency action, as well as a noticing format that can be used, are available upon request. If you publish a notice of agency action, please send of a copy of the affidavit of publication provided by the newspaper to the District's West Palm Beach office for retention in this file.

If you have any questions regarding your permit or need any other information, please call us at 1-800-432-2045 or email <u>ERP@sfwmd.gov</u>.

Ricardo A. Valera, P.E. Bureau Chief, Eduironmental Resource Bureau



CLASSIFICATION	DESCRIPTION
34	POMELLO FINE SAND, 0 TO 5 PERCENT SLOPES
	(MODERATELY WELL DRAINED)
37	ST. JOHNS FINE SAND,
	(POORLY DRAINED)
44	SMYRNA-SMYRNA, WET, FINE SAND, 0 TO 2 PERCENT
	SLOPES (POORLY DRAINED)

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP



INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM FEMA WEBSITE:

MAP NUMBER 12095C0410F & 12095C0420F

FLOOD ELEVATIONS ACROSS SITE RANGE FROM 95 AT THE SOUTH EAST CORNER TO 97 ALONG THE NORTH BOUNDARY

HUBBARD CONSTRUCTION - LANDSTREET ASPHALT PLANT SITE IMPROVEMENTS PLANS FOR **ORLANDO PAVING CORPORATION**

ORANGE COUNTY TAX PARCEL ID NUMBER: 35-23-29-7268-01-070 35-23-29-7268-01-500

AUGUST 2019



LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOTS 105, 106, 107, 108 AND 117, BLOCK D, PROSPER COLONY, IN SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK D, PAGE 100, PUBLIC RECORDS OF ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA



SHEET INDEX

C-1	COVER SHEET
C-2	GENERAL NOTES
C-4	DEMOLITION PLAN
C-5 - C-8	SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN
C-9	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
C-10	LIFT STATION (PRIVATELY OWNED & MAINTAINED)
C-11	OUC UTILITY DETAILS
C-12 - C-13	ORANGE COUNTY UTILITY DETAILS
C-14	PAVING & DRAINAGE DETAILS
C-15	MISCELLANEOUS CROSS SECTIONS
EL-1	SITE LIGHTING PLAN (ALERS ENGINEERING, INC.)
	ORTUS OASIS, INC.)
1112	INNOSCAPE & DETAILS PLAN (HORTUS DASIS, INC.)
[-] - [-]	
	IDDICATION & DETAILS PLAN (H
11-4-11-6	IKKIGATION & DETRIESTER AT
	CONTRACTION SECON
1 010	TADAGRAPHIC JUNVLI JULCON
CIR-1 - SUK-4	

OWNER

HUBBARD CONSTRUCTION CO. 1936 LEE ROAD SUITE 300 WINTER PARK, FL 32789 T: (407) 645-5500

SURVEYOR

SECOM LLC 1219 ROXBORO ROAD LONGWOOD, FL 32750 T: (407)694-2461

OUC ENGINEERING 100 W. ANDERSON ST. ORLANDO, FL 32801 (407) 423-9018

ORLANDO, FL 32839 (407) 836-7900

CIVIL DESIGN CRITERIA:

ORANGE COUNTY UTILITIES STANDARDS AND CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS MANUAL FDOT STANDARD PLANS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION FY 2019-202 3. FLORIDA ACCESSIBILITY CODE FOR BUILDING CONSTRUCTION 2014 EDITION

SITE DATA

EXISTING USE: VEHICULAR, EQUIPMENT & MATERIAL STORAGE PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & STORAGE, ASPHALT PLANT & MATERIAL STORAGE

CURRENT ZONING: I-2/I-3

FUTURE LAND USE: INDUSTRIAL

ADJACENT LAND USE DESIGNATIONS:

NORTH:	RAILROAD
SOUTH:	LANDSTREET RIGHT OF WAY
EAST:	TRUSSWAY BLVD RIGHT OF WAY
WEST:	WINEGARD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY

BUILDIN	IG SETBACK:	
	REQUIRED	EXISTING
FRONT:	25 FEET	65.61' (TRUSSWAY); 851.46' (L
REAR:	10 FEET	10.00'
SIDE:	15 FEET	

BUILDING HEIGHT: MAXIMUM: 50 FEET

FLOOR AREA RATIO (FAR): MAXIMUM: 0.75

EXISTING: 0.03 PROPOSED: 0.04

SITE AREAS:

TOTAL SITE AREA: 1060226± SF OR 24.34± AC. IMPERVIOUS ALLOWED: 70 PERCENT (742158± SF OR 17.04 AC.)

	EXISTING	PROPOSED
MPERVIOUS (ASPHALT / CONCRETE)	5.42 AC.	5.20 AC. / 21.36%
MPERVIOUS (CRUSHED AGGREGATE)	10.85 AC.	8.81 AC. / 36.20%
BUILDINGS	0.98 AC.	0.95 AC. / 3.90%
VET POND SURFACE	0.00 AC.	2.31 AC. / 9.49 %
PERVIOUS	7.09 AC.	7.07 AC. / 29.05%
	24.34 AC.	24.34 AC. / 100.0%

PARKING REQUIRED:

REQUIRED: INDUSTRIAL: 1 SPACE / BAY PLUS 1 SPACE /1000SF OFFICE: 1 SPACE / 200 SF

EXISTING INDUSTRIAL BAY: 5 BAYS = 5 SPACES EXISTING OFFICE: 16168 SF (16168/200) = 80.84 USE 81 SPACES

EXISTING SPACES PROVIDED: **INDUSTRIAL: 11** OFFICE: 86



DEVELOPER

ATTN.: Mr. MIKE STACEY HUBBARD CONSTUCTION CO. 1936 LEE ROAD, STE. 300 WINTER PARK, FL 32789 T: (321) 303-2852

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

ATTN.: MALCOLM THOMPSON, E.I. **INTERTEK PSI** 1748 33RD ST. ORLANDO, FL 32839 T: (407)304-5560

UTILITY & COMMUNICATION CONTACT INFORMATION

OCU PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. 4200 S. JOHN YOUNG PKWY

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MGMT DISTRICT 1707 ORLANDO CENTRAL PKWY. ORLANDO, FL 32809 T: (407)858-6100

DUKE ENERGY - ENGINEERING Ms. LATOYA JAMES (407)850-2782

CABLE PROVIDER SPECTRUM (855)222-0102

ATTN.: MR. TAN QU, P.E.

MAITLAND, FL 32751

ATTN.: STEPHEN PATEGAS

1425 BERKSHIRE AVENUE

WINTER PARK, FL 32789

HORTUS OASIS

T: (407)622-4886

T: (407)644-6570 F: (407)644-8945

CIVIL/SITE ENGINEERING, INC.

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

1645 N. MAITLAND AVENUE

PROPOSED

82.86' (LOT 119)

ANDSTREET) 194.64' (WINEGARD RD.) 10.15'

PARKING REQUIRED (CONT.): PROPOSED OFFICE:

PARKING SPACES PROVIDED: 15

STORMWATER:

ORANGE COUNTY REGULATIONS

ROOFS AND UPPER LEVELS

UNDER VISUAL SURVEILLANCE.

FENCE AROUND PARCEL PERIMETER

WILL BE PROVIDED ON MAIN ENTRANCE GATE.

LAB BUILDING: 1800 SF CONTROL BLDG .: 390 SF ELECTRICAL BLDG .: 520 SF

2710 SF (2710/200) = 13.55 USE 14 SPACES

SIGNAGE: SIGNS SHALL BE APPROVED SEPARATELY

POTABLE WATER: SHALL BE PROVIDED BY ORLANDO UTILITY COMMISSION

WASTE WATER: EXISTING ON-SITE SEPTIC/DRAINFIELD SHALL BE MAINTIANED PROPOSED WASTEWATER SERVICE SHALL BE PROVIDED BY ORANGE COUNTY UTILITIES

EASTERLY PORTION OF SITE WAS PREVIOUSLY PERMITTED THROUGH THE

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN:

NATURAL SURVEILLANCE WILL BE PROVIDED VIA RESTRICTED ACCESS TO

SFWMD, PERMIT NO. 48-00324-S. PROPOSED SITE IMPROVMENT MODIFICATIONS

FOR REMAINDER OF SITE SHALL BE DESIGNED TO MEET CURRENT SFWMD AND

NATURAL ACCESS CONTROL (INCREASE VISIBILITY) ALL ENTRANCES AND EXITS

TERRITORIAL REINFORCEMENT WILL BE PROVIDED BY A SIX FOOT CHAIN LINK

MAINTENANCE AND MANAGEMENT WILL BE PROVIDED AT ALL TIMES. POSTING OF CURRENT INFORMATION WHO TO CALL WHEN MAINTENANCE IS REQUIRED

SOLID WASTE: SHALL BE PROVIDED BY PRIVATE CONTRACTOR

SILOS: 92 FEET PER VA-19-05-040 APPROVED MAY 02, 2019













N CUT INSTALLATION ORANGE COUNTY UIREMENTS

11-35

TOP 12" VM

TOP 12" WM EL 02 M2 -OUTFALL STRUCTURE GRATE EL, 95.47 TOP CE SOMMER EL 1810 D37 DIA ORPICE EL 1817 557 DIA ORPICE EL 1817 557 DIA ORPICE EL 52.75

EXIST. STRUCTURE SHAL BE REMOVED



OF: 15



LEGEND:

EXISTING MILLINGS

EXISTING CONCRETE

EXISTING GRAVE DRIVE/STORAGE AREA

STORMWATER REPORT

FOR

Hubbard Construction Company Landstreet Asphalt Plant Orlando, Florida

SUBMITTED TO

SFWMD

August 6, 2019

RECEIVED

AUG 16 2019

ORLANDO SERVICE CENTER

PREPARED FOR: Hubbard Construction Company 303 W. Landstreet Road Orlando, FL 32824

PREPARED BY: CIVIL/SITE ENGINEERING, INC. 1645 N. Maitland Avenue Maitland, FL 32751 CA Lic. No: 27346





Hubbard Construction Company Orlando, FL

.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE BASIN MAP

Civil/Site Engineering, Inc. 1645 N. Maitland Avenue, Maitland, FL 32751 T: 407.644.6570; F: 407.644.8945







ww.calls

Hubbard Construction Company Orlando, FL

PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

١

Post-development Runoff Volume Calculations

Rainfall excess and runoff volume calculations are based on the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) runoff equations, for which the equations and parameters are listed below:

EQUATIONS	
1. SOIL STORAGE (S) = 1000	'CN - 10
2. RAINFALL EXCESS (R) =	<u>[P - 0.2(S)]^2</u> P + 0.8(S)]
3. RUNOFF VOLUME (V) =	(DA)(R)

ABBREVIATIONS

S = SOIL STORAGE IN INCHES
CN = RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER

3 R = RAINFALL EXCESS IN INCHES

4 P = RAINFALL DEPTH IN INCHES

5. DA = DRAINAGE AREA IN ACRES

ac-ft

6. V = RUNOFF VOLUME IN ACRE-FEET

NORTH BASIN

.

.

BASIN I.D.	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP	Impervious Surfaces CN = 98	LAND COVER Storage Areas CN = 95	Open Area CN = 80	Water Area CN = 100	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS	TOTAL AREA	RUNOFF CN
North-1	B/D	1 22	2 41	0.55	0.00	86.8%	4.18	94
North-2	B/D	0.99	0.00	1.21	0.00	45.0%	2.20	89
North-3	B/D	1.65	4.18	1.34	0.00	81.3%	7.17	93
North-4	B/D	0.00	0.00	0.62	1.47	0.0%	2.09	95
Nortth		3.86	6.59	3.72	1.47	66.8%	15.64	93

Pre-treatment Volume Required = 0.5 inches on basin area = 0.65

Water Quality Volume Required = 2.5 inches on impervious area = 2.18 ac-ft

DESIGN	DRAINAGE	RAINFALL	COMPOSITE	RAINFALL	RUNOFF	STORAGE
STORM	AREA (ac)	DEPTH (in)	CN	EXCESS (in)	VOL (ac-ft)	VOL (ac-ft)
100-yr/24-hr	15.64	10.6	93	9.75	12.70	6.21

			NORTH	PRE-TREATMENT	PONDS		
	Stage (feet)	Incremental Depth (feet)	Surface Area (square feet)	Surface Area (acres)	Average Area (acres)	Incremental Volume (ac-Ft)	Total Volume (ac-Ft)
-	95.00	and the second se	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	95.50	0.50	5,826	0.13	0.07	0.03	0.03
1	95.75	0.25	29,314	0.67	0.40	0.10	0.13
Ì	96.00	0.25	35,736	0.82	0.75	0.19	0.32
-	96.50	0.50	48,666	1.12	0.97	0.48	0.81
1	97.00	0.50	61,696	1.42	1.27	0.63	1.44
	97.50	0.50	75,243	1.73	1.57	0.79	2.22
	Pre-	treatment Stage =	96.50	feet , Volume =		0.81	ac-ft
	FEM	A 100-year BFE =	96.00	feet , Volume =		0.32	ac-ft

		NORTH	WET DETENTION	POND		
Stage (feet)	Incremental Depth (feet)	Surface Area (square feet)	Surface Area (acres)	Average Area (acres)	incremental Volume (ac-Ft)	Total Volume (ac-Ft)
92.50		64,132	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00
93.00	0.5	66,672	1.53	1.50	0.75	0.75
93.50	0.5	69,247	1.59	1.56	0.78	1.53
94.00	0.5	71,857	1.65	1.62	0.81	2.34
95.00	1.0	77,184	1.77	1.71	1.71	4.05
96.00	1.0	82,622	1.90	1.83	1.83	5.89
97.00	1.0	88,310	2.03	1.96	1.96	7.85
97.50	0.5	91,200	2.09	2.06	1.03	8.88
PAV	Treatment Stage =	94.00	feet, Volume =		2.34	ac-ft
FEM	100-vear BEE =	96.00	feet . Volume =		5 89	ac-ft

Post-development Runoff Volume Calculations

Rainfall excess and runoff volume calculations are based on the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) runoff equations, for which the equations and parameters are listed below:

EQUATIONS	
1. SOIL STORAGE (S) = 1000/0	CN - 10
2. RAINFALL EXCESS (R) =	<u>[P - 0.2(S)]^2</u> P + 0.8(S)]
3. RUNOFF VOLUME (V) =	(DA)(R)

12

ABBREVIATIONS

S = SOIL STORAGE IN INCHES
CN = RUNOFF CURVE NUMBER
R = RAINFALL EXCESS IN INCHES
P = RAINFALL DEPTH IN INCHES
DA = DRAINAGE AREA IN ACRES
V = RUNOFF VOLUME IN ACRE-FEET

SOUTH BASIN

.

.

BASIN I.D.	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP	Impervious Surfaces CN = 98	LAND COVER Storage Areas CN = 95	Open Area CN = 80	Water Area CN = 100	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS	TOTAL AREA	RUNOFF CN
South-1 South-2	B/D B/D	3.18 0.00	0.03 1.22	1.60 1.83	0.83 0.00	56.9% 40.0%	5.64 3.05	94 86
South		3.18	1.25	3.43	0.83	51.0%	8.69	91

Pre-treatment Volume Required = 0.5 inches on South-2 basin area = 0.13 ac-ft

ac-ft Water Quality Volume Required = 2.5 inches on impervious area = 0.92

DESIGN	DRAINAGE	RAINFALL	COMPOSITE	RAINFALL	RUNOFF	STORAGE
STORM	AREA (ac)	DEPTH (in)	CN	EXCESS (in)	VOL (ac-ft)	VOL (ac-ft)
100-yr/24-hr	8.69	10.6	91	9.50	6.88	3.99

1	SOUTH PRE-TREATMENT POND										
No.	Stage (feet)	Incremental Depth (feet)	Surface Area (square feet)	Surface Area (acres)	Average Area (acres)	Incremental Volume (ac-Ft)	Total Volume (ac-Ft)				
-	95.25	and the second	3,499	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	1			
	95.50	0.25	4,159	0.10	0.09	0.02	0.02				
	96.00	0.50	5,488	0.13	0.11	0.06	0.08				
-	96.50	0.50	6,832	0.16	0.14	0.07	0.15	1			
	97.00	0.50	8,190	0.19	0.17	0.09	0.23	-			
	97.50	0.50	10,405	0.24	0.21	0.11	0.34				
	Pre-treatment Stage =		96.50	feet , Volume =		0.15	ac-ft				
	FEMA 100-year BFE =		96.00	feet , Volume =		0.08	ac-ft				

-	SOUTH WET DETENTION POND											
	Stage (feet)	Incremental Depth (feet)	Surface Area (square feet)	Surface Area (acres)	Average Area (acres)	Incremental Volume (ac-Ft)	Total Volume (ac-Ft)					
-	92.00		36,310	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	1				
-	93.00	1.0	39,344	0.90	0.87	0.87	0.87					
	94.00	1.0	42,482	0.98	0.94	0.94	1.81					
-	95.00	1.0	45,720	1.05	1.01	1.01	2.82					
	96.00	1.0	49,059	1.13	1.09	1.09	3.91					
-	97.00	1.0	52,498	1.21	1.17	1.17	5.07					
-	97.50	0.5	58,083	1.33	1.27	0.63	5.71					
_	97.60	0.1	58,500	1.34	1.34	0.13	5.84	_				
PAV Treatment Stage =		93.10	feet , Volume =		0.96	ac-ft						
FEMA 100-year BFE =		96.00	feet , Volume =		3.91	ac-ft						

Seminole County

SJRWMD

Permit No. 48756-5

Donnie Myers RV Phase 1



4049 Reid Street • P.O. Box 1429 • Palatka, FL 32178-1429 • (386) 329-4500 On the Internet at floridaswater.com.

July 18, 2011

Southern Pride LLC 4240 Church St # 1156 Sanford, FL 32771

SUBJECT: Permit Number 4-117-48756-5 Donnie Myers RV, Phase 1

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is your permit as authorized by the St. Johns River Water Management District on July 18, 2011.

This permit is a legal document and should be kept with your other important documents. The attached MSSW/Stormwater As-Built Certification Form should be filled in and returned to the Palatka office within thirty days after the work is completed. By so doing, you will enable us to schedule a prompt inspection of the permitted activity.

In addition to the MSSW/Stormwater As-Built Certification Form, your permit also contains conditions which require submittal of additional information. All information submitted as compliance to permit conditions must be submitted to the Palatka office address.

Permit issuance does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining permits from any federal, state and/or local agencies asserting concurrent jurisdiction for this work.

In the event you sell your property, the permit can be transferred to the new owner, if we are notified by you within thirty days of the sale. Please assist us in this matter so as to maintain a valid permit for the new property owner.

Thank you for your cooperation and if this office can be of any further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Victor Castro, Division Director Division of Regulatory Support

Enclosures: Permit with EN Form(s), if applicable

cc: District Permit File Consultant: Bryan Potts Tannath Design Inc 2494 Rose Spring Dr Orlando, FL 32825

GOVERNING BOARD

W. Leonard Wood, CHAIRMAN FERNANOINA BEACH Douglas C. Bournique Lad Daniels VERO BEACH JACKSONVILLE Maryam H. Ghyabi, TREASURER ORMONO BEACH Chuck Drake ORLANDO

John A. Miklos, secretary orlando Richard G. Hamann Arlen

GAINESVILLE

Arlen N. Jumper FORT McCOY

ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Post Office Box 1429 Palatka, Florida 32178-1429

PERMIT NO. <u>4-117-48756-5</u> PROJECT NAME: Donnie Myers RV, Phase 1

DATE ISSUED: July 18, 2011

A PERMIT AUTHORIZING:

Construction of a surface water management system with stormwater treatment by dry detention with underdrains and by wet detention for Donnie Myers RV, Phase 1, a 6.11-acre commercial project to be constructed and operated as per plans received by the District on May 20, 2011, and by plan sheet Exhibit 1 received by the District on June 3, 2011, and as amended by plan sheet C-5, received by the District on June 10, 2011. This permit authorizes work in 5.95 acres of wetlands and other surface waters.

LOCATION:

Section(s): 39

Township(s):

19S

Range(s): 30E

Seminole County

ISSUED TO:

Southern Pride LLC 4240 Church St # 1156 Sanford, FL 32771

Permittee agrees to hold and save the St. Johns River Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise from permit issuance. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

This permit does not convey to permittee any property rights nor any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies. All structures and works installed by permittee hereunder shall remain the property of the permittee.

This permit may be revoked, modified or transferred at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes:

PERMIT IS CONDITIONED UPON:

See conditions on attached "Exhibit A", dated July 18, 2011

AUTHORIZED BY: St. Johns River Water Management District

By:

Michael A. Register, Director Department of Environmental Resource Permitting

"EXHIBIT A" CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF PERMIT NUMBER 4-117-48756-5 Southern Pride LLC DATED JULY 18, 2011

- 1. All activities shall be implemented as set forth in the plans, specifications and performance criteria as approved by this permit. Any deviation from the permitted activity and the conditions for undertaking that activity shall constitute a violation of this permit.
- 2. This permit or a copy thereof, complete with all conditions, attachments, exhibits, and modifications, shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity. The complete permit shall be available for review at the work site upon request by District staff. The permittee shall require the contractor to review the complete permit prior to commencement of the activity authorized by this permit.
- 3. Activities approved by this permit shall be conducted in a manner which do not cause violations of state water quality standards.
- 4. Prior to and during construction, the permittee shall implement and maintain all erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices) required to retain sediment on-site and to prevent violations of state water quality standards. All practices must be in accordance with the guidelines and specifications in chapter 6 of the Florida Land Development Manual: A Guide to Sound Land and Water Management (Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 1988), which are incorporated by reference, unless a project specific erosion and sediment control plan is approved as part of the permit, in which case the practices must be in accordance with the plan. If site specific conditions require additional measures during any phase of construction or operation to prevent erosion or control sediment, beyond those specified in the erosion and sediment control plan, the permittee shall implement additional best management practices as necessary, in accordance with the specifications in chapter 6 of the Florida Land Development Manual: A Guide to Sound Land and Water Management (Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 1988). The permittee shall correct any erosion or shoaling that causes adverse impacts to the water resources.
- 5. Stabilization measures shall be initiated for erosion and sediment control on disturbed areas as soon as practicable in portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased, but in no case more than 7 days after the construction activity in that portion of the site has temporarily or permanently ceased.
- 6. At least 48 hours prior to commencement of activity authorized by this permit, the permittee shall submit to the District a Construction Commencement Notice Form No. 40C-4.900(3) indicating the actual start date and the expected completion date.
- 7. When the duration of construction will exceed one year, the permittee shall submit construction status reports to the District on an annual basis utilizing an Annual Status Report Form No. 40C-4.900(4). These forms shall be submitted during June of each year.
- 8. For those systems which will be operated or maintained by an entity which will require an easement or deed restriction in order to provide that entity with the authority necessary to operate or maintain the system, such easement or deed restriction, together with any other final operation or maintenance documents as are required by subsections 7.1.1 through 7.1.4 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, must be submitted to the District for approval. Documents meeting the requirements set forth in these subsections of the Applicant's Handbook will be approved. Deed restrictions, easements and other operation and maintenance

documents which require recordation either with the Secretary of State or the Clerk of the Circuit Court must be so recorded prior to lot or unit sales within the project served by the system, or upon completion of construction of the system, whichever occurs first. For those systems which are proposed to be maintained by county or municipal entities, final operation and maintenance documents must be received by the District when maintenance and operation of the system is accepted by the local governmental entity. Failure to submit the appropriate final documents referenced in this paragraph will result in the permittee remaining liable for carrying out maintenance and operation of the permitted system.

- 9. Each phase or independent portion of the permitted system must be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and permit conditions prior to the initiation of the permitted use of site infrastructure located within the area served by the portion or phase of the system. Each phase or independent portion of the system must be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and permit conditions prior to transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of that phase or portion of the system to local government or other responsible entity.
- 10. Within 30 days after completion of construction of the permitted system, or independent portion of the system, the permittee shall submit a written statement of completion and certification by a registered professional engineer or other appropriate individual as authorized by law, utilizing As Built Certification Form 40C-1.181(13) or 40C-1.181(14) supplied with this permit. When the completed system differs substantially from the permitted plans, any substantial deviations shall be noted and explained and two copies of as-built drawings submitted to the District. Submittal of the completed form shall serve to notify the District that the system is ready for inspection. The statement of completion and certification shall be based on on-site observation of construction (conducted by the registered professional engineer, or other appropriate individual as authorized by law, or under his or her direct supervision) or review of as-built drawings for the purpose of determining if the work was completed in compliance with approved plans and specifications. As-built drawings shall be the permitted drawings revised to reflect any changes made during construction. Both the original and any revised specifications must be clearly shown. The plans must be clearly labeled as "as-built" or "record" drawing. All surveyed dimensions and elevations shall be certified by a registered surveyor. The following information, at a minimum, shall be verified on the as-built drawings: 1. Dimensions and elevations of all discharge structures including all weirs, slots, gates, pumps, pipes, and oil and grease skimmers; 2. Locations, dimensions, and elevations of all filter, exfiltration, or underdrain systems including cleanouts, pipes, connections to control structures, and points of discharge to the receiving waters; 3. Dimensions, elevations, contours, or cross-sections of all treatment storage areas sufficient to determine state-storage relationships of the storage area and the permanent pool depth and volume below the control elevation for normally wet systems, when appropriate; 4. Dimensions, elevations, contours, final grades, or cross-sections of the system to determine flow directions and conveyance of runoff to the treatment system; 5. Dimensions, elevations, contours, final grades, or cross-sections of all conveyance systems utilized to convey off-site runoff around the system; 6. Existing water elevation(s) and the date determined; and Elevation and location of benchmark(s) for the survey.
- 11. The operation phase of this permit shall not become effective until the permittee has submitted the appropriate As-Built Certification Form, the District determines the system to be in compliance with the permitted plans, and the entity approved by the District in accordance with subsections 7.1.1 through 7.1.4 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, accepts responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system. The permit may not be transferred to such an approved operation and maintenance entity until the operation phase of the permit becomes

effective. Following inspection and approval of the permitted system by the District, the permittee shall request transfer of the permit to the responsible approved operation and maintenance entity, if different from the permittee. Until the permit is transferred pursuant to section 7.1 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, the permittee shall be liable for compliance with the terms of the permit.

- 12. Should any other regulatory agency require changes to the permitted system, the permittee shall provide written notification to the District of the changes prior implementation so that a determination can be made whether a permit modification is required.
- 13. This permit does not eliminate the necessity to obtain any required federal, state, local and special district authorizations prior to the start of any activity approved by this permit. This permit does not convey to the permittee or create in the permittee any property right, or any interest in real property, nor does it authorize any entrance upon or activities on property which is not owned or controlled by the permittee, or convey any rights or privileges other than those specified in the permit and chapter 40C-4 or chapter 40C-40, F.A.C.
- 14. The permittee shall hold and save the District harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise by reason of the activities authorized by the permit or any use of the permitted system.
- 15. Any delineation of the extent of a wetland or other surface water submitted as part of the permit application, including plans or other supporting documentation, shall not be considered specifically approved unless a specific condition of this permit or a formal determination under rule 40C-1.1006, F.A.C., provides otherwise.
- 16. The permittee shall notify the District in writing within 30 days of any sale, conveyance, or other transfer of ownership or control of the permitted system or the real property at which the permitted system is located. All transfers of ownership or transfers of a permit are subject to the requirements of rule 40C-1.612, F.A.C. The permittee transferring the permit shall remain liable for any corrective actions that may be required as a result of any permit violations prior to such sale, conveyance or other transfer.
- 17. Upon reasonable notice to the permittee, District authorized staff with proper identification shall have permission to enter, inspect, sample and test the system to insure conformity with the plans and specifications approved by the permit.
- 18. If historical or archaeological artifacts are discovered at any time on the project site, the permittee shall immediately notify the District.
- 19. The permittee shall immediately notify the District in writing of any previously submitted information that is later discovered to be inaccurate.
- 20. This permit for construction will expire five years from the date of issuance.
- 21. At a minimum, all retention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by those facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed from the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.
- 22. All wetland areas or water bodies that are outside the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.

- 23. Prior to construction, the permittee must clearly designate the limits of construction onsite. The permittee must advise the contractor that any work outside the limits of construction, including clearing, may be a violation of this permit.
- 24. The operation and maintenance entity shall inspect the stormwater or surface water management system once within two years after the completion of construction and every two years thereafter to determine if the system is functioning as designed and permitted. The operation and maintenance entity must maintain a record of each required inspection, including the date of the inspection, the name, address, and telephone number of the inspector, and whether the system was functioning as designed and permitted, and make such record available for inspection upon request by the District during normal business hours. If at any time the system is not functioning as designed and permitted, then within 14 days the entity shall submit an Exceptions Report to the District, on form number 40C-42.900(6), Exceptions Report for Stormwater Management Systems Out of Compliance.
- 25. The proposed surface water management system shall be constructed and operated in accordance with the plans received by the District on May 20, 2011, by plan sheet Exhibit 1 received by the District on June 3, 2011, and as amended by plan sheet C-5, received by the District on June 10, 2011.
- 26. This permit authorizes 5.95 acres of impacts to wetlands and other surface waters.
- 27. Prior to initiating any construction, the permittee must provide the District with documentation from the Colbert-Cameron Mitigation Bank demonstrating that the purchase of 10.5 General Wetlands mitigation credits has been completed.

In the event that the permittee does not successfully complete the transaction to obtain 10.5 General Wetlands mitigation credits from Colbert-Cameron Mitigation Bank, the permittee must obtain a permit modification to provide alternative mitigation.

28. If prehistoric or historic artifacts, such as pottery or ceramics, stone tools or metal implements, or any other physical remains that could be associated with Native American cultures, or early colonial or American settlement are encountered at any time within the project site area, the permitted project should cease all activities involving subsurface disturbance in the immediate vicinity of such discoveries. The permittee, or other designee, should contact the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Review and Compliance Section at (850)245-6333 or (800)847-7278, as well as the appropriate permitting agency office. Project activities should not resume without verbal and/or written authorization from the Division of Historical Resources. In the event that unmarked human remains are encountered during permitted activities, all work shall stop immediately and the proper authorities notified in accordance with Section 872.05, *Florida Statutes*.



RV STALLS (50'-60') DRIVING AISLE (VARIES) RV STALLS (60')	ALUM. SKIMM <u>ER_TO</u> P_EL. 10.5	OPEN
<u>0.5% SLOPE</u> <u>SLOPES VARY</u> <u>SLOPES VARY</u> <u>0.5% SLOPE</u> 6" FIBERMESH CONC. (4000 PSI) PARKING LOT 10" COMPACTED SUBGRADE (95%), FBV OF 75 (MIN.) PER ASTM T–180 <u>CONCRETE PAVEMENT SECTION</u>	BOTTOM EL. 9.5 (3) IRON GRATES TOP 10.1 (24" RCP (24" RCP (24" RCP (24" RCP (24" RCP (1NV. 7.0) (1NV. 7.0) (1NV. 7.0) (1NV. 7.0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Seminole County Approved for construction This approval is subject to specific conformance requirements of the Board of County Commission defects in the plans or the facility as construct Administrative acceptance of the developer's pla it relieve the developer of responsibility to mer of one year from the date below. <u>Approved.</u> Seminole County Development Review Departmen

CURVE NUMBER CALCULATIONS used to calculate

Donnie Myers RV

used to calculate pre-development curve number for freight parking site

		Pre-Development					
County:	Orange						
Soil		Cover		Area	Area	Area	Product
Symbol	Soil Type	Description	CN	(sf)	(acres)	(%)	of CN & Area
25	Pineda	Wooded	80	266,125	6.11	81.78	65.43
		Existing Handy Way	95.76	59,273	1.36	18.22	17.44
Basin CN = 82.87							
	County: Soil Symbol 25	County:OrangeSoilSoil Type25Pineda	Pre-Development County: Orange Soil Cover Symbol Soil Type Description 25 Pineda Wooded Existing Handy Way Basin CN =	Soil Cover Soil Soil Type 25 Pineda Existing Handy Way 95.76	Pre-Development County: Orange Soil Cover Area Symbol Soil Type Description CN (sf) 25 Pineda Wooded 80 266,125 Existing Handy Way 95.76 59,273	Pre-Development County: Orange Soil Cover Area Area Symbol Soil Type Description CN (sf) (acres) 25 Pineda Wooded 80 266,125 6.11 Existing Handy Way 95.76 59,273 1.36 7.47	Pre-Development County: Orange Soil Cover Area Area <t< td=""></t<>

Post-Development

RaceTrac	County:	Orange						
Hydrologic	Soil		Cover		Area	Area	Area	Product
Group	Symbol	Soil Type	Description	CN	(sf)	(acres)	(%)	of CN & Area
			Impervious	98	176,295	4.05	54.18	53.09
C/D	25	Pineda	Open Space (Good)	80	89,830	2.06	27.61	22.08
			Existing Handy Way	95.76	59,273	1.36	18.22	17.44
						7.47		

Basin CN = 92.62

Seminole County

SJRWMD

Permit No. 48756-6

Donnie Myers RV Phase 2



4049 Reid Street • P.O. Box 1429 • Palatka, FL 32178-1429 • (386) 329-4500 On the Internet at floridaswater.com.

July 24, 2012

Southern Pride LLC 4240 Church St # 1156 Sanford, FL 32771

SUBJECT: Permit Number 40-117-48756-6 Donnie Myers RV, Phase 2

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is your permit issued by the St. Johns River Water Management District on July 24, 2012. This permit is a legal document and should be kept with your other important documents. Permit issuance does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining any necessary permits from any federal, state, or local agencies for your project.

Technical Staff Report:

If you wish to review a copy of the Technical Staff Report (TSR) that provides the District's staff analysis of your permit application, you may view the TSR by going to the Permitting section of the District's website at floridaswater.com/permitting. Using the "search applications and permits" feature, you can use your permit number or project name to find information about the permit. When you see the results of your search, click on the permit number.

Noticing Your Permit:

For noticing instructions, please refer to the noticing materials in this package regarding closing the point of entry for someone to challenge the issuance of your permit. Please note that if a timely petition for administrative hearing is filed, your permit will become nonfinal and any activities that you choose to undertake pursuant to your permit will be at your own risk.

Compliance with Permit Conditions:

To submit your required permit compliance information, go to the District's website at floridaswater.com/permitting. Under the "Apply for a permit or submit compliance data" section, click to sign-in to your existing account or to create a new account. Select the "Compliance Submittal" tab, enter your permit number, and select "No Specific Date" for the Compliance Due Date Range. You will then be able to view all the compliance submittal requirements for your project. Select the compliance item that you are ready to submit and then attach the appropriate information or form.

The forms to comply with your permit conditions are available at floridaswater.com/permitting under the section "Handbooks, forms, fees, final orders". Click on forms to view all permit compliance forms, then scroll to the ERP application forms section and select the applicable compliance forms. Alternatively, if you have difficulty finding forms or need copies of the appropriate forms, please contact the Bureau of Regulatory Support at (386) 329-4570.

-			- GOVERNING BO	DARD	a second and a second s	
	Lad Daniels, CHAIRMAN JACKSONVILLE	John A. Miklos, vici Orlando	e chairman Douglas C	. Bournique, secretary VERO BEACH	Maryam H. Ghyabi, T ORMOND BEACH	REASURER I
	Chuck Drake	Richard G. Hamann GAINESVILLE	George W. Robbins JACKSONVILLE	Fred N. Rober	ts, Jr. W. L FERI	eonard Wood

Transferring Your Permit:

As required by a condition of your permit, you must notify the District in writing within 30 days of any sale, conveyance or other transfer of a permitted system or facility, or within 30 days of any transfer of ownership or control of the real property where the permitted system or facility is located. You will need to provide the District with the information specified in District rule 40C-1.612, Florida Administrative Code (name and address of the transferee and a copy of the instrument effectuating the transfer). Please note that a permittee remains liable for any corrective actions that may be required as a result of any permit violations that occur before the sale, conveyance, or other transfer of the system or facility, so it is recommended that you request a permit transfer in advance.

Thank you and please let us know if you have additional questions. For general questions contact e-permit@sjrwmd.com or (386) 329-4570.

Sincerely,

Victor Castro, Division Director Bureau of Regulatory Support St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177

Enclosures: Permit with As-built Certification Form Notice of Rights List of Newspapers for Publication

cc: District Permit File

Consultant: Bryan Potts Tannath Design Inc 2494 Rose Spring Dr Orlando, FL 32825

ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Post Office Box 1429 Palatka, Florida 32178-1429

PERMIT NO. 40-117-48756-6 **PROJECT NAME:** Donnie Myers RV. Phase 2 DATE ISSUED: July 24, 2012

A PERMIT AUTHORIZING:

Construction of a Surface Water Management System with stormwater treatment by Dry Detention with Underdrain, and Wet Detention for Donnie Myers RV, Phase 2, a 5.07 - acre project to be constructed and operated as per plans received by the District on June 25, 2012, and amended by Sheet C-5 received by the District on July 10, 2012, and Sheets C-7 and C-9 received by the District on July 19, 2012.

LOCATION:

16.39 Section(s):

Township(s): 19S

Range(s): 30E

Seminole County

ISSUED TO:

Southern Pride LLC 4240 Church St # 1156 Sanford, FL 32771

Permittee agrees to hold and save the St. Johns River Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise from permit issuance. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

This permit does not convey to permittee any property rights nor any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies. All structures and works installed by permittee hereunder shall remain the property of the permittee.

This permit may be revoked, modified or transferred at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes:

PERMIT IS CONDITIONED UPON:

See conditions on attached "Exhibit A", dated July 24, 2012

AUTHORIZED BY: St. Johns River Water Management District **Division of Regulatory Services**

By: David Dewy

David Dewey Service Center Director

"EXHIBIT A" CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF PERMIT NUMBER 40-117-48756-6 Southern Pride LLC DATED JULY 24, 2012

- 1. All activities shall be implemented as set forth in the plans, specifications and performance criteria as approved by this permit. Any deviation from the permitted activity and the conditions for undertaking that activity shall constitute a violation of this permit.
- 2. This permit or a copy thereof, complete with all conditions, attachments, exhibits, and modifications, shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity. The complete permit shall be available for review at the work site upon request by District staff. The permittee shall require the contractor to review the complete permit prior to commencement of the activity authorized by this permit.
- 3. Activities approved by this permit shall be conducted in a manner which do not cause violations of state water quality standards.
- 4. Prior to and during construction, the permittee shall implement and maintain all erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices) required to retain sediment on-site and to prevent violations of state water quality standards. All practices must be in accordance with the guidelines and specifications in chapter 6 of the Florida Land Development Manual: A Guide to Sound Land and Water Management (Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 1988), which are incorporated by reference, unless a project specific erosion and sediment control plan is approved as part of the permit, in which case the practices must be in accordance with the plan. If site specific conditions require additional measures during any phase of construction or operation to prevent erosion or control sediment, beyond those specified in the erosion and sediment control plan, the permittee shall implement additional best management practices as necessary, in accordance with the specifications in chapter 6 of the Florida Land Development Manual: A Guide to Sound Land and Water Management (Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 1988). The permittee shall correct any erosion or shoaling that causes adverse impacts to the water resources.
- 5. Stabilization measures shall be initiated for erosion and sediment control on disturbed areas as soon as practicable in portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased, but in no case more than 7 days after the construction activity in that portion of the site has temporarily or permanently ceased.
- 6. At least 48 hours prior to commencement of activity authorized by this permit, the permittee shall submit to the District a Construction Commencement Notice Form No. 40C-4.900(3) indicating the actual start date and the expected completion date.
- When the duration of construction will exceed one year, the permittee shall submit construction status reports to the District on an annual basis utilizing an Annual Status Report Form No. 40C-4.900(4). These forms shall be submitted during June of each year.
- 8. For those systems which will be operated or maintained by an entity which will require an easement or deed restriction in order to provide that entity with the authority necessary to operate or maintain the system, such easement or deed restriction, together with any other final operation or maintenance documents as are required by subsections 7.1.1 through 7.1.4 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, must be submitted to the District for approval. Documents meeting the requirements set forth in these subsections of the Applicant's Handbook will be approved. Deed restrictions, easements and other operation and maintenance

documents which require recordation either with the Secretary of State or the Clerk of the Circuit Court must be so recorded prior to lot or unit sales within the project served by the system, or upon completion of construction of the system, whichever occurs first. For those systems which are proposed to be maintained by county or municipal entities, final operation and maintenance documents must be received by the District when maintenance and operation of the system is accepted by the local governmental entity. Failure to submit the appropriate final documents referenced in this paragraph will result in the permittee remaining liable for carrying out maintenance and operation of the permitted system.

- 9. Each phase or independent portion of the permitted system must be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and permit conditions prior to the initiation of the permitted use of site infrastructure located within the area served by the portion or phase of the system. Each phase or independent portion of the system must be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and permit conditions prior to transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of that phase or portion of the system to local government or other responsible entity.
- 10. Within 30 days after completion of construction of the permitted system, or independent portion of the system, the permittee shall submit a written statement of completion and certification by a registered professional engineer or other appropriate individual as authorized by law, utilizing As Built Certification Form 40C-1.181(13) or 40C-1.181(14) supplied with this permit. When the completed system differs substantially from the permitted plans, any substantial deviations shall be noted and explained and two copies of as-built drawings submitted to the District. Submittal of the completed form shall serve to notify the District that the system is ready for inspection. The statement of completion and certification shall be based on on-site observation of construction (conducted by the registered professional engineer, or other appropriate individual as authorized by law, or under his or her direct supervision) or review of as-built drawings for the purpose of determining if the work was completed in compliance with approved plans and specifications. As-built drawings shall be the permitted drawings revised to reflect any changes made during construction. Both the original and any revised specifications must be clearly shown. The plans must be clearly labeled as "as-built" or "record" drawing. All surveyed dimensions and elevations shall be certified by a registered surveyor. The following information, at a minimum, shall be verified on the as-built drawings: 1. Dimensions and elevations of all discharge structures including all weirs, slots, gates, pumps, pipes, and oil and grease skimmers; 2. Locations, dimensions, and elevations of all filter, exfiltration, or underdrain systems including cleanouts, pipes, connections to control structures, and points of discharge to the receiving waters; 3. Dimensions, elevations, contours, or cross-sections of all treatment storage areas sufficient to determine state-storage relationships of the storage area and the permanent pool depth and volume below the control elevation for normally wet systems, when appropriate; 4. Dimensions, elevations, contours, final grades, or cross-sections of the system to determine flow directions and conveyance of runoff to the treatment system; 5. Dimensions, elevations, contours, final grades, or cross-sections of all conveyance systems utilized to convey off-site runoff around the system; 6. Existing water elevation(s) and the date determined; and Elevation and location of benchmark(s) for the survey.
- 11. The operation phase of this permit shall not become effective until the permittee has submitted the appropriate As-Built Certification Form, the District determines the system to be in compliance with the permitted plans, and the entity approved by the District in accordance with subsections 7.1.1 through 7.1.4 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, accepts responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system. The permit may not be transferred to such an approved operation and maintenance entity until the operation phase of the permit becomes

effective. Following inspection and approval of the permitted system by the District, the permittee shall request transfer of the permit to the responsible approved operation and maintenance entity, if different from the permittee. Until the permit is transferred pursuant to section 7.1 of the Applicant's Handbook: Management and Storage of Surface Waters, the permittee shall be liable for compliance with the terms of the permit.

- 12. Should any other regulatory agency require changes to the permitted system, the permittee shall provide written notification to the District of the changes prior implementation so that a determination can be made whether a permit modification is required.
- 13. This permit does not eliminate the necessity to obtain any required federal, state, local and special district authorizations prior to the start of any activity approved by this permit. This permit does not convey to the permittee or create in the permittee any property right, or any interest in real property, nor does it authorize any entrance upon or activities on property which is not owned or controlled by the permittee, or convey any rights or privileges other than those specified in the permit and chapter 40C-4 or chapter 40C-40, F.A.C.
- 14. The permittee shall hold and save the District harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise by reason of the activities authorized by the permit or any use of the permitted system.
- 15. Any delineation of the extent of a wetland or other surface water submitted as part of the permit application, including plans or other supporting documentation, shall not be considered specifically approved unless a specific condition of this permit or a formal determination under rule 40C-1.1006, F.A.C., provides otherwise.
- 16. The permittee shall notify the District in writing within 30 days of any sale, conveyance, or other transfer of ownership or control of the permitted system or the real property at which the permitted system is located. All transfers of ownership or transfers of a permit are subject to the requirements of rule 40C-1.612, F.A.C. The permittee transferring the permit shall remain liable for any corrective actions that may be required as a result of any permit violations prior to such sale, conveyance or other transfer.
- 17. Upon reasonable notice to the permittee, District authorized staff with proper identification shall have permission to enter, inspect, sample and test the system to insure conformity with the plans and specifications approved by the permit.
- 18. If historical or archaeological artifacts are discovered at any time on the project site, the permittee shall immediately notify the District.
- 19. The permittee shall immediately notify the District in writing of any previously submitted information that is later discovered to be inaccurate.
- 20. This permit for construction will expire five years from the date of issuance.
- 21. At a minimum, all retention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by those facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed from the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.
- 22. All wetland areas or water bodies that are outside the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.

- 23. Prior to construction, the permittee must clearly designate the limits of construction onsite. The permittee must advise the contractor that any work outside the limits of construction, including clearing, may be a violation of this permit.
- 24. The operation and maintenance entity shall inspect the stormwater or surface water management system once within two years after the completion of construction and every two years thereafter to determine if the system is functioning as designed and permitted. The operation and maintenance entity must maintain a record of each required inspection, including the date of the inspection, the name, address, and telephone number of the inspector, and whether the system was functioning as designed and permitted, and make such record available for inspection upon request by the District during normal business hours. If at any time the system is not functioning as designed and permitted, then within 14 days the entity shall submit an Exceptions Report to the District, on form number 40C-42.900(6), Exceptions Report for Stormwater Management Systems Out of Compliance.
- 25. The proposed surface water management system shall be constructed and operated in accordance with the plans received by the District on June 25, 2012, and amended by Sheet C-5 received by the District on July 10, 2012, and Sheets C-7 and C-9 recieved by the District on July 19, 2012.
- 26. This permit does not authorize any impacts to wetlands or other surface waters.

Notice Of Rights

- A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code, the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P. O. Box 1429, Palatka Florida 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sjrwmd.com, within twenty-six (26) days of the District depositing the notice of District decision in the mail (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District does not mail or email actual notice). A petition must comply with Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes, and Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code. The District will not accept a petition sent by facsimile (fax), as explained in paragraph no. 4 below.
- 2. Please be advised that if you wish to dispute this District decision, mediation may be available and that choosing mediation does not affect your right to an administrative hearing. If you wish to request mediation, you must do so in a timely-filed petition. If all parties, including the District, agree to the details of the mediation procedure, in writing, within 10 days after the time period stated in the announcement for election of an administrative remedy under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, the time limitations imposed by Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, shall be tolled to allow mediation of the disputed District decision. The mediation must be concluded within 60 days of the date of the parties' written agreement, or such other timeframe agreed to by the parties in writing. Any mediation agreement must include provisions for selecting a mediator, a statement that each party shall be responsible for paying its prorata share of the costs and fees associated with mediation, and the mediating parties' understanding regarding the confidentiality of discussions and documents introduced during mediation. If mediation results in settlement of the administrative dispute, the District will enter a final order consistent with the settlement agreement. If mediation terminates without settlement of the dispute, the District will notify all the parties in writing that the administrative hearing process under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, is resumed. Even if a party chooses not to engage in formal mediation, or if formal mediation does not result in a settlement agreement, the District will remain willing to engage in informal settlement discussions.
- 3. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to an informal administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(2), Florida Statutes, where no material facts are in dispute. A petition for an informal hearing must also comply with the requirements set forth in Rule 28-106.301, Florida Administrative Code.
- 4. A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8:00 a.m. 5:00 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8:00 a.m. on the District's next regular business day. The District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at floridaswater.com. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable

of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation, attempting to file a petition by facsimile is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.

- 5. Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite timeframe shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, Florida Administrative Code).
- 6. The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected by the District's final action has the right to become a party to the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set forth above.
- Pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, a party to the proceeding before the District who is adversely affected by final District action may seek review of the action in the District Court of Appeal by filing a notice of appeal pursuant to Rules 9.110 and 9.190, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, within 30 days of the rendering of the final District action.
- 8. A District action is considered rendered, as referred to in paragraph no. 7 above, after it is signed on behalf of the District and filed by the District Clerk.
- 9. Failure to observe the relevant timeframes for filing a petition for judicial review as described in paragraph no. 7 above will result in waiver of that right to review.

NOR.Decision.DOC.001 Revised 12.7.11
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Rights has been sent to the permittee:

Southern Pride LLC 4240 Church St # 1156 Sanford, FL 32771

This 24th day of July, 2012.

Victor Castro, Director Bureau of Regulatory Support St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177 (386) 329-4570 Permit Number: 40-117-48756-6

NOTICING INFORMATION

Dear Permittee:

Please be advised that the St. Johns River Water Management District has not published a notice in the newspaper advising the public that it has issued a permit for this project.

Newspaper publication, using the District's form, notifies members of the public of their right to challenge the issuance of the permit. If proper notice is given by newspaper publication, then there is a 21-day time limit to file a petition challenging the issuance of the permit.

To close the point of entry for filing a petition, you may publish (at your own expense) a onetime notice of the District's decision in a newspaper of general circulation within the affected area as defined in Section 50.011 of the Florida Statutes. If you do not publish a newspaper notice, the time to challenge the issuance of your permit will not expire.

A copy of the notice and a partial list of newspapers of general circulation are attached for your convenience. However, you are not limited to those listed newspapers. If you choose to close the point of entry and the notice is published, the newspaper will return to you an affidavit as proof of publication. Please submit this original affidavit of publication to:

Victor Castro, Director Bureau of Regulatory Support 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177

If you have any questions, please contact the Bureau of Regulatory Support at (386) 329-4570.

Sincerely,

Victor Castro, Director Bureau of Regulatory Support

NOTICE OF AGENCY ACTION TAKEN BY THE ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Notice is given that the followin	g permit was issued on _		:
(Name and address of applicar	it)		
permit#	The project is located	inC	County, Section
, Township	South, Range	_ East. The permit au	uthorizes a surface
water management system on	acres for		
			known as
The	receiving water body is		

A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P.O. Box 1429, Palatka FL 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St, Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sjrwmd.com, within twenty six (26) days of the District depositing the notice of intended District decision in the mail (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District emails actual notice), within twenty-one (21) days of the District decision (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to mail or email actual notice). A petition must comply with Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Chapter 28-106, F.A.C. The District will not accept a petition sent by facsimile (fax). Mediation pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., is not available.

A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8 a.m. – 5 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8 a.m. on the next regular District business day. The District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at floridaswater.com. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation, attempting to file a petition by facsimile (fax) is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.

The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. **Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite time frame shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, F.A.C.).**

If you wish to do so, please visit http://floridaswater.com/noticeofrights/ to read the complete Notice of Rights to determine any legal rights you may have concerning the District's intended decision(s) on the permit application(s) described above. You can also request the Notice of Rights by contacting the Director, Bureau of Regulatory Support (RS), 4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177-2529, tele. no. (386)329-4570.

NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING

ALACHUA

The Alachua County Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 806 Gainesville, FL 32602 352-377-2444/ fax 352-338-1986

BRAFORD

Bradford County Telegraph, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer A Starke, FL 32901 904-964-6305/ fax 904-964-8628

CLAY

Clay Today, Legal Advertising 1560 Kinsley Ave., Suite 1 Orange Park, FL 32073 904-264-3200/ fax 904-264-3285

FLAGLER

Flagler Tribune, c/o News Journal P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 386- 681-2322

LAKE

Daily Commercial, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer 490007 Leesburg, FL 34749 352-365-8235/fax 352-365-1951

NASSAU

News-Leader, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 766 Fernandina Beach, FL 32035 904-261-3696/fax 904-261-3698

ORANGE

Sentinel Communications, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

PUTNAM

Palatka Daily News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 777 Palatka, FL 32178 386-312-5200/ fax 386-312-5209

SEMINOLE

Seminole Herald, Legal Advertising 300 North French Avenue Sanford, FL 32771 407-323-9408

BAKER

Baker County Press, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 598 Maclenny, FL 32063 904-259-2400/ fax 904-259-6502

BREVARD

Florida Today, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 419000 Melbourne, FL 32941-9000 321-242-3832/ fax 321-242-6618

DUVAL

Daily Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1769 Jacksonville, FL 32201 904-356-2466 / fax 904-353-2628

INDIAN RIVER

Vero Beach Press Journal, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1268 Vero Beach, FL 32961-1268 772-221-4282/ fax 772-978-2340

MARION

Ocala Star Banner, Legal Advertising 2121 SW 19th Avenue Road Ocala, FL 34474 352-867-4010/fax 352-867-4126

OKEECHOBEE

Okeechobee News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 639 Okeechobee, FL 34973-0639 863-763-3134/fax 863-763-5901

OSCEOLA

Little Sentinel, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

ST. JOHNS

St. Augustine Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1630 St. Augustine, FL 32085 904-819-3436

VOLUSIA

News Journal Corporation, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 (386) 681-2322



````````````````````````````````````	PA	VING AND DRAINAGE NOTES						
_	1.	THE ENTITY THAT WILL OWN, OPERATE, AND MAINTAIN THE STORM WATER SYSTEM SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS THE MYERS RV CENTER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXPECTED TO MEET ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PERMITS OBTAINED.						
-	2.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM HIS OWN INVESTIGATIONS AND CALCULATIONS AS NECESSARY TO ASSURE HIMSELF OF EARTHWORK QUANTITIES. THERE IS NO IMPLICATION THAT EARTHWORK BALANCES AND THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY IMPORT FILL NEEDED, OR FOR REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF EXCESS MATERIALS.		(D				
	3.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY TESTING TO ASSURE THAT THE PROPER COMPACTION HAS BEEN ACHIEVED ON THE SUBGRADE, BASE, AND ALL OTHER PERTINENT AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN COMPLETED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH TESTING AND SHALL PROVIDE THE OWNER AND THE ENGINEER WITH COPIES OF THE CERTIFICATION OF COMPACTION FROM THE TESTING COMPANY.	NTS	OR PERMITTING				
	4.	PRIOR TO BID PREPARATION, THE CONTRACTOR MUST BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE OVERALL SITE CONDITIONS AND PERFORM ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIONS AS DETERMINED NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND THE LIMIT AND DEPTH OF EXPECTED ORGANIC SILT PEAT AREAS, ADEQUACY OF EXISTING MATERIALS AS FILL, DEWATERING REQUIREMENTS, CLEAN FILL REQUIRED FROM OFF-SITE AND MATERIALS TO BE DISPOSED OF OFF-SITE, ALL OF WHICH WILL AFFECT HIS PRICING. ANY DELAY OR EXPENSE CAUSED TO THE CONTRACTOR DUE TO INADEQUATE INVESTIGATION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS SHALL BE INCIDENTAL TO THE CONTRACT, AND NO EXTRA COMPENSATION WILL BE ALLOWED. THE MATERIALS ANTICIPATED TO BE ENCOUNTERED DURING CONSTRUCTION MAY REQUIRE DRYING PRIOR TO USE BACKFILL, AND THE CONTRACTOR MAY HAVE TO IMPORT MATERIALS, AT NO EXTRA COST, FROM OFF-SITE TO MEET THE BEQUIREMENTS FOR COMPACTION AND PROPER FILL	DATE BY COMME	05/04/12 BRP ISSUED FC				
/	5.	IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO DETERMINE EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS OF SOIL PRIOR TO N.T.P. TO DETERMINE IF ANY OFF-SITE MATERIALS WILL NEED TO BE IMPORTED TO	REV.	0	~ (	т т	4	5 0
/	6.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE OWNER AND ENGINEER WHEN ALL WORK IS LAID OUT (SURVEY STAKED), SO THAT A DETERMINATION MAY BE MADE OF SPECIFIC TREES TO BE				CLE CLE		<u>.</u>
	7.	ALL FILL MATERIALS SHALL BE FREE OF MUCK, STUMPS, ROOTS, BRUSH, VEGETATIVE MATTER, RUBBISH, OR OTHER UNSUITABLE MATTER.		ב		EHIC	7	E BLV
-	8.	ALL MATERIALS EXCAVATED SHALL REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE OWNER AND SHALL BE STOCKPILED AT ON-SITE LOCATIONS AS SPECIFIED BY THE OWNER. MATERIALS SHALL BE STOCKPILED SEPARATELY AS TO USEABLE (NON-ORGANIC) FILL STOCKPILES AND ORGANIC (MUCK) STOCKPILES IF MUCK IS ENCOUNTERED. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL UNSUITABLE FILL MATERIALS FROM THE SITE.		ING AN	LAN	ONAL VI	<b>AIZATION</b>	& ORANGI DA
	9.	FILL MATERIALS PLACED UNDER ROADWAYS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 98% MAX. DENSITY AS SPECIFIED IN AASHTO T-180. ALL OTHER AREAS ARE TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% MAX. DENSITY AS SPECIFIED IN AASHTO T-180. FILL MATERIALS SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED IN A MAX. OF 12" LIFTS. REFER TO SOILS REPORT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE ENGINEER AND THE OWNER WITH ALL (PASSING AND FAILING) TESTING RESULTS. RESULTS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON A TIMELY AND REGULAR BASIS PRIOR TO CONTRACTOR'S PAY REQUEST SUBMITTAL.		GRAUI	NAGE F	RECREAT	ID CUSTON	DNROE ROAD IFORD, FLORI
f	10.	GRADING SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS PROVIDED TO THE CONTRACTOR TO EXPRESS THE GENERAL GRADING INTENT OF THE PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL GRADE THE ENTIRE SITE TO PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE IN ALL AREAS. SMOOTH TRANSITIONS SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN CONTOURS OR SPOT ELEVATIONS AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS TO ACCOMPLISH THE GRADING INTENT. ALL SLOPES SHALL BE STABILIZED IMMEDIATELY AFTER FINAL GRADING HAS BEEN COMPLETED. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ENGINEER A MIN. OF 48 HOURS PRIOR TO DEMOBILIZATION OF GRADING EQUIPMENT TO DETERMINE THAT THE GRADING INTENT HAS BEEN ACHIEVED.		AVING,	DRAI	VIE MYERS	SALES AN	ORNER OF MC SAN
-	11.	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE (RCP) SHALL BE CLASS III WITH RUBBER GASKET JOINTS. RCP SHALL CONFORM TO FDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION (LATEST ED.) SECTION 941. RUBBER GASKETS SHALL CONFORM TO SECTION 942.	י ר					SWC
-	12.	ALL OTHER RELATED ITEMS REQUIRED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE STORM SEWER (OUTFALL PROTECTION, POLLUTION CONTROL, ETC.) ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAILS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS, FDOT ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC DESIGN STANDARDS, AND FDOT STANDARD SPECS. FOR ROADWAY AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION (LATEST EDITION).	┢		RIVE 2005	C 202	7100	
-	13. 14.	ALL PIPE CALL OUTS ARE MEASURED CENTERLINE TO CENTERLINE FOR MANHOLES AND INLETS.			IC DF		сот сот	
	15.	MULCH MIXTURE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL OFF-SITE AREAS DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE SODDED WITH BAHIA.				LURI 78 25 fav	design T	
	16.	NO HARD WOOD TREES MAY BE PLANTED OVER OR WITHIN 5' OF UTILITY LINES OR STORM PIPES. ONLY SOD OR SOFT WOOD SHRUBS MAY BE PLANTED OVER UTILITY LINES.		TH DI		2-987 32-987		$\overline{\mathbf{b}}$
	17.	ALL PIPE JOINTS SHALL BE WRAPPED WITH FILTER FABRIC. THE FILTER FABRIC SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH FDOT INDEX 199, TYPE D-3, A.O.S. 70-100. INSTALL PER FDOT INDEX 280. PROVIDE A MIN. OF 12" OF OVERLAP.		TANNA	2494 R(	(407) 98 (407) 98		
-	18.	CONTRACTOR WILL NEED TO FIELD FORM THE TOPS OF STRUCTURES THAT DON'T HAVE 2' SPACING TO TOP OF STRUCTURE.						
_	19.	CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY VERTICAL LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF NEW UTILITIES. IF CONFLICT EXISTS, CONTACT ENGINEER WITH FIELD DATA SO A REVISION CAN BE DESIGNED.			ŀ	F		
		LEGEND				 Щ. Ц.		
-	( Т.О В.О	STS 2       STORM STRUCTURE I.D.       DRAINAGE SURFACE FLOW         Image: MITERED END SECTION       DRAINAGE FLOWLINE         Image: MITERED END SECTION       DRAINAGE RIDGE         Image: STORM CATCH BASIN $\frac{126.50}{126.00}$ $^{-126.20}$ Image: WN.=77.50       TOP OF WALL       Image: STORM STRUCTURE I.D.         Image: WN.=76.50       TOP OF WALL       Image: STORM STRUCTURE I.D.				BRYAN R. POTTS, FL. REG. #5946		DATE
		PROPERTY APPRAISER ID NUMBER 21-19-30-502-0300-0000, 21-19-30-502-0500-0040 16-19-30-5AC-0000-0120	PF	ROJE	ECT #:	ו 019	9-006	1
GRAP	HI( 2	SUBJE     SEMINOLE COUNTY       0'     40'       80'     APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	DA	TE:		04/	04/12	
	1"=	40' THIS APPROVAL IS SUBJECT TO SPECIFIC CONFORMANCE TO THE SEMINOLE COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND ANY SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY	SC	ALE	:	1" :	= 40'	
	717	COMMISSIONERS. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER TO CORRECT ANY DEFECTS IN THE PLANS OR THE FACILITY AS CONSTRUCTED WHICH RESULTS IN A FAILURE TO MEET APPLICABLE CODE REQUIREMENTS. ADMINISTRATIVE ACCEPTANCE OF THE DEVICE OPERIES IN AND DOES NOT				SE	B	
	BE	ACCEL TAINEE OF THE DEVELOPER'S PLANS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF ANY CODE REQUIREMENTS NOR DOES IT RELIEVE THE DEVELOPER OF RESPONSIBILITY TO MEET THOSE REQUIREMENTS. THIS SPECIFIC APPROVAL IS VALID FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR FROM THE DATE BELOW. APPROVED.	SH	IEET		E:		
<b>WWW.CA</b> A MINIMUM OF 2 5-DAYS PRIOR TO	LSUN DAY	Ishine.com       SEMINOLE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT REVIEW DEPARTMENT         I'S AND A MAXIMUM       Interview Department         I'S AND A MAXIMUM       Interview Department				ノー(	ر 	



Id & West Property Line         Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspa=	REV.DATEBYCOMMENTS005/04/12BRPISSUED FOR PERMITTING111121113111411151116111
	<b>CROSS SECTIONS</b> <b>DONNE MYERS RECREATIONAL VEHICLE</b> <b>SALES AND CUSTOMIZATION</b> SW CORNER OF MONROE ROAD & ORANGE BLVD. SANFORD, FLORIDA
	Tannath DESIGN, INC.         2494 ROSE SPRING DRIVE         2407 982-9878         2407 208-1425 fax         www.tannathdesign.com         FL. CERT. OF AUTH. #27199
EVALUATION OF THE STATUS OF TH	High Sheet NAME:High StorHigh StorHigh StorHigh StorUnit Stor019-00604/04/12DRAWN:04/04/1204/04/12DRAWN:SEB04/04/12APPROVED:BRPSHEET NAME:C-11

# Stage Storage - Dry Pond (Phase II)

Elevation	Length (LF)	Width (LF)	Area (SF)	Area (AC)	Volume (AC-FT)	Cum. Volume (AC-FT)	Cum. Volume (CF)
9			14,949	0.343		0.000	-
					0.385		
10			18,621	0.427		0.385	16,785
					0.472		
11			22,472	0.516		0.857	37,332
					0.561		
12.00			26,396	0.606		1.418	61,766
		P.A.V. =	0.423	AC-FT	Max Stage	12.0	FT
F	P.A.V. Elev	vation =	10.08	FT	Volume	61,766	CF
	1/2	2 P.A.V. =	0.211	AC-FT			
	1/2 P.A.V. E	levation =	9.63	FT			

## Stage Storage - Wet Pond

	Length	Width	Area	Area	Volume	Cum. Volume	Cum. Volume
Elevation	(LF)	(LF)	(SF)	(AC)	(AC-FT)	(AC-FT)	(CF)
7.5			24,872	0.571		0.000	-
					0.593		
8.5			26,770	0.615		0.593	25,821
					0.637		
9.5			28,741	0.660		1.230	53,577
					0.684		
10.5			30,819	0.708		1.914	83,357
					0.733		
11.5			33,005	0.758		2.646	115,269
					0.385		
12.0			34,098	0.783		3.031	132,044
		P.A.V. =	1.045	AC-FT	Max Stage	12.0	FT
F	P.A.V. Ele	vation =	9.42	FT	Volume	132,044	CF
	1/2	2 P.A.V. =	0.523	AC-FT			
	1/2 P.A.V. E	levation =	8.39	FT			

NGVD

## Seminole County

SJRWMD Permit No. 22434-15 I-4 BTU Segment 3



Ann B. Shortelle, Ph.D., Executive Director

4049 Reid Street • P.O. Box 1429 • Palatka, FL 32178-1429 • 386-329-4500 On the internet at www.sjrwmd.com.

March 22, 2018

Casey Lyon FDOT District Five 719 S Woodland Blvd Deland, FL 32720-6834

SUBJECT: 22434-15 I-4 BtU Segment 3

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is your individual permit issued by the St. Johns River Water Management District on March 22, 2018. This permit is a legal document and should be kept with your other important documents. Permit issuance does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining any necessary permits from any federal, state, or local agencies for your project.

## **Technical Staff Report:**

If you wish to review a copy of the Technical Staff Report (TSR) that provides the District's staff analysis of your permit application, you may view the TSR by going to the Permitting section of the District's website at www.sjrwmd.com/permitting. Using the "search applications and permits" feature, you can use your permit number or project name to find information about the permit. When you see the results of your search, click on the permit number and then on the TSR folder.

## **Noticing Your Permit:**

For noticing instructions, please refer to the noticing materials in this package regarding closing the point of entry for someone to challenge the issuance of your permit. Please note that if a timely petition for administrative hearing is filed, your permit will become non-final and any activities that you choose to undertake pursuant to your permit will be at your own risk.

## **Compliance with Permit Conditions:**

To submit your required permit compliance information, go to the District's website at www.sjrwmd.com/permitting. Under the "Apply for a permit or submit compliance data" section, click to sign-in to your existing account or to create a new account. Select the "Compliance Submittal" tab, enter your permit number, and select "No Specific Date" for the Compliance Due Date Range. You will then be able to view all the compliance submittal requirements for your project. Select the compliance item that you are ready to submit and then attach the appropriate information or form. The forms to comply with your permit conditions are available at www.sjrwmd.com/permitting under the section "Handbooks, forms, fees, final orders". Click on forms to view all permit compliance forms. Alternatively, if you have difficulty finding forms or need

John A. Miklos, CHAIRMAN ORLANDO Douglas C. Bournique VERO BEACH - GOVERNING BOARD

Fred N. Roberts Jr., VICE CHAIRMAN OCALA Douglas Burnett ST. AUGUSTINE SANFORD Chuck Drake, SECRETARY ORLANDO Janet Price FERNANDINA BEACH Ron Howse, TREASURER COCOA Allan Roberts

ST. AUGUSTINE

copies of the appropriate forms, please contact the Bureau of Regulatory Support at (386) 329-4570.

## Transferring Your Permit:

Your permit requires you to notify the District within 30 days of any change in ownership or control of the project or activity covered by the permit, or within 30 days of any change in ownership or control of the real property on which the permitted project or activity is located or occurs. You will need to provide the District with the information specified in rule 62-330.340, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Generally, this will require you to complete and submit Form 62-330.340(1), "Request to Transfer Permit," available at http://www.sjrwmd.com/permitting/permitforms.html.

Please note that a permittee is liable for compliance with the permit before the permit is transferred. The District, therefore, recommends that you request a permit transfer in advance in accordance with the applicable rules. You are encouraged to contact District staff for assistance with this process.

Thank you and please let us know if you have additional questions. For general questions contact e-permit@sjrwmd.com or (386) 329-4570.

Sincerely,

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Office Director Office of Business and Administrative Services St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177-2529 (386) 329-4570

Enclosures: Permit

cc: District Permit File

Mitch Blanco WSP Ste 300 2202 N West Shore Blvd Tampa, FL 33607-5776

Maurice Pearson MSE Group 5858 S Semoran Blvd Orlando, FL 32822-4816

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Post Office Box 1429 Palatka, Florida 32178-1429

**PERMIT NO:** 22434-15

DATE ISSUED: March 22, 2018

**PROJECT NAME:** I-4 BtU Segment 3

#### A PERMIT AUTHORIZING:

Construction of a Stormwater Management System for I-4 BtU Segment 3, a 843.13 - acre project to be constructed and operated as per plans received by the District on January 23, 2018.

#### LOCATION:

Section(s):	7, 37, 18, 6	Township(s):	20S	Range(s):	30E
	39, 31, 20		19S		30E
	36, 24, 25, 12,		20S		29E
	35, 13, 26				

Seminole County

#### **Receiving Water Body:**

Name	Class
Lake Myrtle	III Fresh
Little Wekiwa River	III Fresh, OFW
Soldier Creek	III Fresh, IW
Lake Monroe	III Fresh, IW

#### **ISSUED TO:**

FDOT District Five 719 S Woodland Blvd Deland, FL 32720-6834

The permittee agrees to hold and save the St. Johns River Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise from permit issuance. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

This permit does not convey to the permittee any property rights nor any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies. All structures and works installed by permittee hereunder shall remain the property of the permittee.

This permit may be revoked, modified or transferred at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes.

#### PERMIT IS CONDITIONED UPON:

See conditions on attached "Exhibit A", dated March 22, 2018

AUTHORIZED BY: St. Johns River Water Management District Division of Regulatory Services

By:

John Juilianna Regulatory Coordinator

## "EXHIBIT A" CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF PERMIT NUMBER 22434-15 I-4 BtU Segment 3 DATED March 22, 2018

- 1. All activities shall be implemented following the plans, specifications and performance criteria approved by this permit. Any deviations must be authorized in a permit modification in accordance with Rule 62-330.315, F.A.C. Any deviations that are not so authorized may subject the permittee to enforcement action and revocation of the permit under Chapter 373, F.S.
- 2. A complete copy of this permit shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the construction phase, and shall be available for review at the work site upon request by the District staff. The permittee shall require the contractor to review the complete permit prior to beginning construction.
- 3. Activities shall be conducted in a manner that does not cause or contribute to violations of state water quality standards. Performance-based erosion and sediment control best management practices shall be installed immediately prior to, and be maintained during and after construction as needed, to prevent adverse impacts to the water resources and adjacent lands. Such practices shall be in accordance with the State of Florida Erosion and Sediment Control Designer and Reviewer Manual (Florida Department of Environmental Protection and Florida Department of Transportation June 2007), and the Florida Stormwater Erosion and Sedimentation Control Inspector's Manual (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Nonpoint Source Management Section, Tallahassee, Florida, July 2008), which are both incorporated by reference in subparagraph 62-330.050(9)(b)5, F.A.C., unless a project-specific erosion and sediment control plan is approved or other water quality control measures are required as part of the permit.
- 4. At least 48 hours prior to beginning the authorized activities, the permittee shall submit to the District a fully executed Form 62-330.350(1), "Construction Commencement Notice,"[10-1-13], incorporated by reference herein (http://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-02505), indicating the expected start and completion dates. A copy of this form may be obtained from the District, as described in subsection 62-330.010(5), F.A.C. If available, a District website that fulfills this notification requirement may be used in lieu of the form.
- 5. Unless the permit is transferred under Rule 62-330.340, F.A.C., or transferred to an operating entity under Rule 62-330.310, F.A.C., the permittee is liable to comply with the plans, terms and conditions of the permit for the life of the project or activity.
- 6. Within 30 days after completing construction of the entire project, or any independent portion of the project, the permittee shall provide the following to the Agency, as applicable:

a. For an individual, private single-family residential dwelling unit, duplex, triplex, or quadruplex — "Construction Completion and Inspection Certification for Activities Associated With a Private Single-Family Dwelling Unit" [Form 62-330.310(3)]; or

b. For all other activities — "As-Built Certification and Request for Conversion to Operational Phase" [Form 62-330.310(1)].

c. If available, an Agency website that fulfills this certification requirement may be used in lieu of the form.

7. If the final operation and maintenance entity is a third party:

a. Prior to sales of any lot or unit served by the activity and within one year of permit issuance, or within 30 days of as-built certification, whichever comes first, the permittee shall submit, as applicable, a copy of the operation and maintenance documents (see sections 12.3 thru 12.3.3 of Volume I) as filed with the Department of State, Division of Corporations and a copy of any easement, plat, or deed restriction needed to operate or maintain the project, as recorded with the Clerk of the Court in the County in which the activity is located.

b. Within 30 days of submittal of the as- built certification, the permittee shall submit "Request for Transfer of Environmental Resource Permit to the Perpetual Operation Entity" [Form 62-330.310(2)] to transfer the permit to the operation and maintenance entity, along with the documentation requested in the form. If available, an Agency website that fulfills this transfer requirement may be used in lieu of the form.

- 8. The permittee shall notify the District in writing of changes required by any other regulatory District that require changes to the permitted activity, and any required modification of this permit must be obtained prior to implementing the changes.
- 9. This permit does not:

a. Convey to the permittee any property rights or privileges, or any other rights or privileges other than those specified herein or in Chapter 62-330, F.A.C.;

b. Convey to the permittee or create in the permittee any interest in real property;

c. Relieve the permittee from the need to obtain and comply with any other required federal, state, and local authorization, law, rule, or ordinance; or

d. Authorize any entrance upon or work on property that is not owned, held in easement, or controlled by the permittee.

- 10. Prior to conducting any activities on state-owned submerged lands or other lands of the state, title to which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, the permittee must receive all necessary approvals and authorizations under Chapters 253 and 258, F.S. Written authorization that requires formal execution by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall not be considered received until it has been fully executed.
- 11. The permittee shall hold and save the District harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities that may arise by reason of the construction, alteration, operation, maintenance, removal, abandonment or use of any project authorized by the permit.
- 12. The permittee shall notify the District in writing:

a. Immediately if any previously submitted information is discovered to be inaccurate; and

b. Within 30 days of any conveyance or division of ownership or control of the property or the system, other than conveyance via a long-term lease, and the new owner shall request transfer of the permit in accordance with Rule 62-330.340, F.A.C. This does not apply to the sale of lots or units in residential or commercial subdivisions or condominiums where the stormwater management system has been completed and converted to the operation phase.

- 13. Upon reasonable notice to the permittee, District staff with proper identification shall have permission to enter, inspect, sample and test the project or activities to ensure conformity with the plans and specifications authorized in the permit.
- 14. If any prehistoric or historic artifacts, such as pottery or ceramics, stone tools or metal implements, dugout canoes, or any other physical remains that could be associated with Native American cultures, or early colonial or American settlement are encountered at any time within the project site area, work involving subsurface disturbance in the immediate vicinity of such discoveries shall cease. The permittee or other designee shall contact the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Compliance and Review Section, at (850) 245-6333 or (800) 847-7278, as well as the appropriate permitting agency office. Such subsurface work shall not resume without verbal or written authorization from the Division of Historical Resources. If unmarked human remains are encountered, all work shall stop immediately and notification shall be provided in accordance with Section 872.05, F.S.
- 15. Any delineation of the extent of a wetland or other surface water submitted as part of the permit application, including plans or other supporting documentation, shall not be considered binding unless a specific condition of this permit or a formal determination under Rule 62-330.201, F.A.C., provides otherwise.
- 16. The permittee shall provide routine maintenance of all components of the stormwater management system to remove trapped sediments and debris. Removed materials shall be disposed of in a landfill or other uplands in a manner that does not require a permit under Chapter 62-330, F.A.C., or cause violations of state water quality standards.
- 17. This permit is issued based on the applicant's submitted information that reasonably demonstrates that adverse water resource-related impacts will not be caused by the completed permit activity. If any adverse impacts result, the District will require the permittee to eliminate the cause, obtain any necessary permit modification, and take any necessary corrective actions to resolve the adverse impacts.
- 18. A Recorded Notice of Environmental Resource Permit may be recorded in the county public records in accordance with Rule 62-330.090(7), F.A.C. Such notice is not an encumbrance upon the property.
- 19. At a minimum, all retention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by those facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed from the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.
- 20. All wetland areas or water bodies that are outside the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.
- 21. The operation and maintenance entity shall inspect the stormwater or surface water management system once within two years after the completion of construction and every two years thereafter to determine if the system is functioning as designed and permitted. The operation and maintenance entity must maintain a record of each required inspection, including the date of the inspection, the name and contact information of the inspector, and whether the system was functioning as designed and permitted, and make such record available for inspection upon request by the District during normal business hours. If at any time the system is not functioning as designed and permitted, then within 30 days the entity shall submit a report electronically or in writing to the District using Form 62-330.311(1),

"Operation and Maintenance Inspection Certification," describing the remedial actions taken to resolve the failure or deviation.

- 22. The proposed surface water management system must be constructed and operated according to the plans received by the District on January 23, 2018.
- 23. Before the start of any construction, the permittee must provide the District with documentation demonstrating that 19.23 ratio-credits have been debited from the Lake Monroe Mitigation Bank ledger. If the permittee does not successfully complete the transaction to obtain the credits from the mitigation bank, the permittee must obtain a permit modification to provide alternative mitigation for the wetland impacts.
- 24. This permit will expire 10 years from the date of issuance.

- A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code, the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P. O. Box 1429, Palatka Florida 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sjrwmd.com, within twenty-six (26) days of the District depositing the notice of District decision in the mail (for those persons to whom the District decision sent by for those persons to whom the District decision 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes, and Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code. The District will not accept a petition must comply with Sections explained in paragraph no. 4 below.
- 2. Please be advised that if you wish to dispute this District decision, mediation may be available and that choosing mediation does not affect your right to an administrative hearing. If you wish to request mediation, you must do so in a timely-filed petition. If all parties, including the District, agree to the details of the mediation procedure, in writing, within 10 days after the time period stated in the announcement for election of an administrative remedy under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, the time limitations imposed by Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, shall be tolled to allow mediation of the disputed District decision. The mediation must be concluded within 60 days of the date of the parties' written agreement, or such other timeframe agreed to by the parties in writing. Any mediation agreement must include provisions for selecting a mediator, a statement that each party shall be responsible for paying its pro-rata share of the costs and fees associated with mediation, and the mediating parties' understanding regarding the confidentiality of discussions and documents introduced during mediation. If mediation results in settlement of the administrative dispute, the District will enter a final order consistent with the settlement agreement. If mediation terminates without settlement of the dispute, the District will notify all the parties in writing that the administrative hearing process under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, is resumed. Even if a party chooses not to engage in formal mediation, or if formal mediation does not result in a settlement agreement, the District will remain willing to engage in informal settlement discussions.
- 3. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to an informal administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(2), Florida Statutes, where no material facts are in dispute. A petition for an informal hearing must also comply with the requirements set forth in Rule 28-106.301, Florida Administrative Code.

- 4. A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8:00 a.m. 5:00 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8:00 a.m. on the District's next regular business day. The District's acceptance of petitions filed by email is subject to certain conditions set forth in the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at <u>sirwmd.com</u>. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation, attempting to file a petition by facsimile is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.
- 5. Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite timeframe shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, Florida Administrative Code).
- 6. The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected by the District's final action has the right to become a party to the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set forth above.
- 7. Pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, a party to the proceeding before the District who is adversely affected by final District action may seek review of the action in the District Court of Appeal by filing a notice of appeal pursuant to Rules 9.110 and 9.190, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, within 30 days of the rendering of the final District action.
- 8. A District action is considered rendered, as referred to in paragraph no. 7 above, after it is signed on behalf of the District and filed by the District Clerk.
- 9. Failure to observe the relevant timeframes for filing a petition for judicial review as described in paragraph no. 7 above will result in waiver of that right to review.

NOR.Decision.DOC.001 Revised 12.7.11

## **Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Rights has been sent to the permittee:

Casey Lyon FDOT District Five 719 S Woodland Blvd Deland, FL 32720-6834

This 22nd day of March, 2018.

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Office Director Office of Business and Administrative Services St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177-2529 (386) 329-4570

Permit Number: 22434-15

## NOTICING INFORMATION

Dear Permittee:

Please be advised that the St. Johns River Water Management District will not publish a notice in the newspaper advising the public that it has issued a permit for this project.

Newspaper publication, using the District's notice form, notifies members of the public of their right to challenge the issuance of the permit. If proper notice is given by newspaper publication, then there is a 21-day time limit for someone to file a petition for an administrative hearing to challenge the issuance of the permit.

To close the point of entry for filing a petition, you may publish (at your own expense) a onetime notice of the District's decision in a newspaper of general circulation within the affected area as defined in Section 50.011 of the Florida Statutes. If you do not publish a newspaper notice to close the point of entry, the time to challenge the issuance of your permit will not expire and someone could file a petition even after your project is constructed.

A copy of the notice form and a partial list of newspapers of general circulation are attached for your convenience. However, you are not limited to those listed newspapers. If you choose to close the point of entry and the notice is published, the newspaper will return to you an affidavit of publication. In that event, it is important that you either submit a scanned copy of the affidavit by emailing it to *compliancesupport@sjrwmd.com* (preferred method) **or** send a copy of the original affidavit to:

Margaret Daniels, Office Director Office of Business and Administrative Services 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177

If you have any questions, please contact the Office of Business and Administrative Services at (386) 329-4570.

Sincerely,

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Office Director Office of Business and Administrative Services

#### NOTICE OF AGENCY ACTION TAKEN BY THE ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Notice is given that the follow	ng permit was issued on	:
(Name and address of applica	ant)	
permit#	. The project is located	d inCounty, Section
, Township	South, Range	East. The permit authorizes a surface
water management system or	n acres for	
		known as
The	e receiving water body is	

A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P.O. Box 1429, Palatka FL 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St, Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sjrwmd.com, within twenty-one (21) days of newspaper publication of the notice of District decision (for those persons to whom the District does not mail or email actual notice). A petition must comply with Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Chapter 28-106, F.A.C. The District will not accept a petition sent by facsimile (fax). Mediation pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., may be available and choosing mediation does not affect your right to an administrative hearing.

A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8 a.m. – 5 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8 a.m. on the District's next regular business day. The District's acceptance of petitions filed by e-mail is subject to certain conditions set forth in the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at www.sjrwmd.com. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization, attempting to file a petition by facsimile (fax) is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.

The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. **Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite time frame shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, F.A.C.).** 

If you wish to do so, please visit http://www.sjrwmd.com/nor_dec/ to read the complete Notice of Rights to determine any legal rights you may have concerning the District's decision(s) on the permit application(s) described above. You can also request the Notice of Rights by contacting the Director of Regulatory Support, 4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177-2529, tele. no. (386)329-4570.

#### NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING

#### ALACHUA

The Alachua County Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 806 Gainesville, FL 32602 352-377-2444/ fax 352-338-1986

#### BRAFORD

Bradford County Telegraph, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer A Starke, FL 32901 904-964-6305/ fax 904-964-8628

#### CLAY

Clay Today, Legal Advertising 1560 Kinsley Ave., Suite 1 Orange Park, FL 32073 904-264-3200/ fax 904-264-3285

#### FLAGLER

Flagler Tribune, c/o News Journal P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 386- 681-2322

## LAKE

Daily Commercial, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer 490007 Leesburg, FL 34749 352-365-8235/fax 352-365-1951

#### NASSAU

News-Leader, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 766 Fernandina Beach, FL 32035 904-261-3696/fax 904-261-3698

#### ORANGE

Sentinel Communications, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

#### PUTNAM

Palatka Daily News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 777 Palatka, FL 32178 386-312-5200/ fax 386-312-5209

#### SEMINOLE

Seminole Herald, Legal Advertising 300 North French Avenue Sanford, FL 32771 407-323-9408

#### BAKER

Baker County Press, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 598 Maclenny, FL 32063 904-259-2400/ fax 904-259-6502

#### BREVARD

Florida Today, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 419000 Melbourne, FL 32941-9000 321-242-3832/ fax 321-242-6618

#### DUVAL

Daily Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1769 Jacksonville, FL 32201 904-356-2466 / fax 904-353-2628

#### **INDIAN RIVER**

Vero Beach Press Journal, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1268 Vero Beach, FL 32961-1268 772-221-4282/ fax 772-978-2340

#### MARION

Ocala Star Banner, Legal Advertising 2121 SW 19th Avenue Road Ocala, FL 34474 352-867-4010/fax 352-867-4126

#### OKEECHOBEE

Okeechobee News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 639 Okeechobee, FL 34973-0639 863-763-3134/fax 863-763-5901

#### **OSCEOLA**

Little Sentinel, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

#### ST. JOHNS

St. Augustine Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1630 St. Augustine, FL 32085 904-819-3436

#### VOLUSIA

News Journal Corporation, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 (386) 681-2322





Drainage Maps





PROJECT TITLE:	I-4 BtU Segment 3	DATE:	May 4, 2017
PROJECT NUMBER:	242592-4-52-01	MADE BY:	JLL
BASIN DESIGNATION:	Basin 317D	CHECKED BY:	MB

III GEOTECHNICAL INFORMATION

Estimated Seasonal High Water Table (SHWT)				
	Estimated SHWT (Ft)			
Boring P317D-1 and P317D-2	8.50			
Estimated SHWT	8.50			

REQUIRED TREATMENT VOLUME CALCULATION (WET DETENTION)	AC-FT	
1.0 inch of Runoff over the Basin Area	0.56	<< CONTROLS>>
Total Runoff from 2.5 inches over the Impervious Area	0.53	

#### V PROVIDED TREATMENT & ATTENUATION VOLUME CALCULATIONS

REQUIRED ATTENUTATION CACULATION PRE-DEVELOPED CONDITION POST-DEVELOPED CONDITION AREA (AC): 1.53 AREA (AC): 6.69 CN: 92.5 CN: 88.3 IMPERVIOUS AREA (AC): IMPERVIOUS AREA (AC): 2.54 1.06 PERVIOUS AREA (AC): 0.47 PERVIOUS AREA (AC): 4.15 NEW IMPERVIOUS AREA (AC): 1.48

POND STAGE, AREA & STORAGE						
DESCRIPTION	STAGE	AREA	CUMMULATIVE STORAGE			
	(FT)	(AC)	(AC-FT)			
Pond Bottom	3.50	0.26	0.00			
SHWT / Orifice Elevation	8.50	0.50	1.90			
Weir Crest Elevation	9.55	0.56	2.46			
Top of Bank Elevation (Inside)	12.00	0.70	4.00			
Top of Berm	12.01	0.95	4.01			

PROVIDED TREATMENT VOLUME	AC-FT
Treatment Volume Provided = Volume between Seasonal High and Weir Crest Elevation	0.56

BASIN 317 Proposed Condition Output

-

Nam	e Group	Simulation	Max Time Stage hrs	Max Stage ft	Warning I Stage ft	Max Delta Stage ft	Max Surf Area ft2	Max Time Inflow hrs	Max Inflow cfs	Max Time Outflow hrs	Max Outflow cfs	
OUT 317.	A BASIN317	SJRWMD	48.00	7.50	8.50	-0.0021	0	12.82	27.46	0.00	0.00	
OUT 317	B BASIN317	SJRWMD	12.00	6.00	8.50	0.0028	0	12.10	10.52	0.00	0.00	
OUT 317	C BASIN317	SJRWMD	48.00	7.50	8.50	-0.0021	0	12.38	46.78	0.00	0.00	
Pond 317.	A BASIN317	SJRWMD	12.82	7.57	8.50	0.0047	132152	12.08	112.61	12.82	27.46	
Pond 317	B BASIN317	SJRWMD	13.14	7.12	8.50	0.0040	59167	12.07	35.06	13.29	5.04	
Pond 317	C BASIN317	SJRWMD	12.81	7.57	8.50	0.0050	72036	12.07	69.36	12.45	22.04	
Pond 317	D BASIN317	SJRWMD	12.33	11.00	11.00	0.0050	28006	12.05	34.38	12.33	20.53	

peak stage used to estimate provided attenuation

Proposed Discharge Rates: Basin 317A Q-SJRWMD (25-yr/24-hr)= 27.46 cfs

Basin 317B Q-SJRWMD (25-yr/24-hr)= 10.52 cfs

Basin 317 C Q-SJRWMD (25-yr/24-hr)= 46.78 cfs

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STORM DRAIN TABULATION FORM

Page: 1

Financial Prj	ld: 24259	2-4			C	ounty:	SE	EMINO	LE				N	letwork	: 3	17D				Design	ed by I	LNW		Date: 7	7/26/2017
Description:	I-4 BT	U SEGI	MENT 3		0	rganiza	tion W	SP US	A, Inc.				S	tate Ro	ad: 4	00 (I-4)	)			Checke	ed by:	MB		Date: 7	7/26/2017
•						0										• • •					,				
LOCAT	ION	STR.	TYPE	LEN.	AREA	AS (Ac)	SUB-	TIME	TIME	INTEN	TOTAL	BASE	TOTAL	MINOR	INLET	HGL	HYDRA	ULIC GR	ADE	# PIPE	SLOPE	ACTUAL	FULL	NOTES & RE	MARKS
OF		NO.	OF		C=	0.95	TOTAL	OF	OF		(C*A)	FLOW	FLOW	LOSS	ELEV.	CLEAR	C	ROWN		B SIZE	%	VEL.	FLOW	ZONE:	7
UPPER	END		STR.		C=	0.25	(C*A)	CONC	FLOW			SUMM	1				FL	OWLINE		R (in.)	HGL	PHYS.	CAP.	FREQ. (Yrs):	3
ALIGNMEN	IT NAME	UPPER	1		C=	0.20			SECT.			BASE					UPPER	LOWER	FALL	L RISE	PHYS	VEL.		MANNINGS n:	0.0120
STATION	DIST SD	LOWER		(ft.)	INC	TOTAL		(min)	(min)	(in/hr)		(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)	S SPAN	MIN.	(fps)	(cfs)	TAILW EL (ft):	10.25
CL1	7	S-1747			0.15	0.15	0.14										10.57	10.57	0.00	18.00	0.009	0.52			
			BW 218	30.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.25	6.50	0.14	0.00	0.91	0.00	33.79	23.22	7.50	7.00		1	1.659		14.66		
179+47.18	44.87 Rt.	S-1748			0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00					6.00	5.50	0.50	18.00	0.150	8.30			
CL1	7	S-1748			0.34	0.49	0.33										10.57	10.51	0.06	18.00	0.111	1.70			
			P-5	53.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.25	0.45	6.44	0.47	0.00	3.00	0.02	34.01	23.44	7.00	6.50		1	0.933		10.99		
179+46.89	11.74 Rt.	S-1749			0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00					5.50	5.00	0.50	18.00	0.150	6.22			
CL1	7	S-1749			0.42	0.91	0.40										10.51	10.41	0.09	18.00	1.125	3.11			
			BW 218	8.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.70	0.05	6.34	0.87	0.00	5.50	0.08	32.42	21.91	6.50	6.00		1	5.931		27.71		
179+46.40	44.82 Lt.	S-1750			0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00					5.00	4.50	0.50	18.00	0.150	15.68			
CL1	7	S-1750			0.00	0.91	0.00										10.41	10.25	0.16	18.00	0.435	3.10			
			MH-8	36.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.74	0.00	6.33	0.87	0.00	5.49	0.07	12.00	1.59	6.00	5.00		1	2.706		18.72		
179+46.31	56.25 Lt.	DUT317[			0.00	0.00	0.00					0.00					4.50	3.50	1.00	18.00	0.150	10.59			

used to estimate stormsewer

attenuation

HGL method: Standard FDOT (Jump HGL to pipe crown).

Volusia County

SJRWMD Permit No. 64105-12 I-4 Widening



4049 Reid Street • P.O. Box 1429 • Palatka, FL 32178-1429 • (386) 329-4500 On the Internet at floridaswater.com.

November 7, 2013

Florida Department of Transportation - District 5 719 S Woodland Blvd Mail Station 542 DeLand, FL 32720

SUBJECT: Permit Number IND-127-64105-12 I-4 Widening (SR 44 to I-95)

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is your permit issued by the St. Johns River Water Management District on November 7, 2013. This permit is a legal document and should be kept with your other important documents. Permit issuance does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining any necessary permits from any federal, state, or local agencies for your project.

## **Technical Staff Report:**

If you wish to review a copy of the Technical Staff Report (TSR) that provides the District's staff analysis of your permit application, you may view the TSR by going to the Permitting section of the District's website at floridaswater.com/permitting. Using the "search applications and permits" feature, you can use your permit number or project name to find information about the permit. When you see the results of your search, click on the permit number.

#### **Noticing Your Permit:**

For noticing instructions, please refer to the noticing materials in this package regarding closing the point of entry for someone to challenge the issuance of your permit. Please note that if a timely petition for administrative hearing is filed, your permit will become nonfinal and any activities that you choose to undertake pursuant to your permit will be at your own risk.

## **Compliance with Permit Conditions:**

To submit your required permit compliance information, go to the District's website at floridaswater.com/permitting. Under the "Apply for a permit or submit compliance data" section, click to sign-in to your existing account or to create a new account. Select the "Compliance Submittal" tab, enter your permit number, and select "No Specific Date" for the Compliance Due Date Range. You will then be able to view all the compliance submittal requirements for your project. Select the compliance item that you are ready to submit and then attach the appropriate information or form.

The forms to comply with your permit conditions are available at floridaswater.com/permitting under the section "Handbooks, forms, fees, final orders". Click on forms to view all permit compliance forms, then scroll to the ERP application forms section and select the applicable compliance forms. Alternatively, if you have difficulty finding forms or need copies of the appropriate forms, please contact the Bureau of Regulatory Support at (386) 329-4570.

## Transferring Your Permit:

As required by a condition of your permit, you must notify the District in writing within 30 days of any sale, conveyance or other transfer of a permitted system or facility, or within 30 days of any

		- GOVER	INING BOAF	RD		
Lad Daniels, CHAIRMAN JACKSONVILLE	John A. Miklos, v Orland	ICE CHAIRMAN	Douglas C. Bo	Urnique, SECRETARY O BEACH	Maryam	H. Ghyabi, TREASURER ORMOND BEACH
Douglas Burnett st. Augustine	Chuck Drake ORLANDO	George JACK	W. Robbins	Fred N. Roberts	, Jr.	W. Leonard Wood FERNANDINA BEACH

transfer of ownership or control of the real property where the permitted system or facility is located. You will need to provide the District with the information specified in District rule 40C-1.612, Florida Administrative Code (name and address of the transferee and a copy of the instrument effectuating the transfer). Please note that a permittee remains liable for any corrective actions that may be required as a result of any permit violations that occur before the sale, conveyance, or other transfer of the system or facility, so it is recommended that you request a permit transfer in advance.

Thank you and please let us know if you have additional questions. For general questions contact e-permit@sjrwmd.com or (386) 329-4570. Sincerely,

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Bureau Chief

Bureau of Regulatory Support St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177-2529 (386) 329-4570

Enclosures: Permit

cc: District Permit File

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Post Office Box 1429 Palatka, Florida 32178-1429

PERMIT NO. <u>IND-127-64105-12</u> PROJECT NAME: <u>I-4 Widening (SR 44 to I-95)</u> DATE ISSUED: November 7, 2013

## A PERMIT AUTHORIZING:

Construction of a Surface Water Management System with stormwater treatment by Retention, Wet Detention for I-4 Widening (SR 44 to I-95), a 558.55 - acre project to be constructed and operated as per plans received by the District on October 10, 2013. **LOCATION:** 

SECTION(S):	23,24,26,27,33,34	TOWNSHIP(S):	16S	RANGE(S):	31E
	3,4,8,9,17,18		17S		31E

Volusia County

#### **ISSUED TO:**

Florida Department of Transportation - District 5 719 S Woodland Blvd Mail Station 542 DeLand, FL 32720

Permittee agrees to hold and save the St. Johns River Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise from permit issuance. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

This permit does not convey to permittee any property rights nor any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies. All structures and works installed by permittee hereunder shall remain the property of the permittee.

This permit may be revoked, modified or transferred at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes:

#### **PERMIT IS CONDITIONED UPON:**

See conditions on attached "Exhibit A", dated November 7, 2013

AUTHORIZED BY: St. Johns River Water Management District

By: David Deny

David Dewey Service Center Director

#### "EXHIBIT A" CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF PERMIT NUMBER IND-127-64105-12 Florida Department of Transportation - District 5 DATED November 07, 2013

- 1. All activities shall be implemented following the plans, specifications and performance criteria approved by this permit. Any deviations must be authorized in a permit modification in accordance with Rule 62-330.315, F.A.C. Any deviations that are not so authorized may subject the permittee to enforcement action and revocation of the permit under Chapter 373, F.S.
- 2. A complete copy of this permit shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the construction phase, and shall be available for review at the work site upon request by the Agency staff. The permittee shall require the contractor to review the complete permit prior to beginning construction.
- 3. Activities shall be conducted in a manner that does not cause or contribute to violations of state water quality standards. Performance-based erosion and sediment control best management practices shall be installed immediately prior to, and be maintained during and after construction as needed, to prevent adverse impacts to the water resources and adjacent lands. Such practices shall be in accordance with the State of Florida Erosion and Sediment Control Designer and Reviewer Manual (Florida Department of Environmental Protection and Florida Department of Transportation June 2007), and the Florida Stormwater Erosion and Sedimentation Control Inspector's Manual (Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Nonpoint Source Management Section, Tallahassee, Florida, July 2008), which are both incorporated by reference in subparagraph 62-330.050(9)(b)5., F.A.C., unless a project-specific erosion and sediment control plan is approved or other water quality control measures are required as part of the permit.
- 4. At least 48 hours prior to beginning the authorized activities, the permittee shall submit to the Agency a fully executed Form 62-330.350(1), "Construction Commencement Notice," [October 1, 2013], incorporated by reference herein (http://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-02505), indicating the expected start and completion dates. A copy of this form may be obtained from the Agency, as described in subsection 62-330.010(5), F.A.C. If available, an Agency website that fulfills this notification requirement may be used in lieu of the form.
- 5. Unless the permit is transferred under Rule 62-330.340, F.A.C., or transferred to an operating entity under Rule 62-330.310, F.A.C., the permittee is liable to comply with the plans, terms and conditions of the permit for the life of the project or activity.
- Within 30 days after completing construction of the entire project, or any independent portion of the project, the permittee shall provide the following to the Agency, as applicable:

   (a) For an individual, private single-family residential dwelling unit, duplex, triplex, or quadruplex "Construction Completion and Inspection Certification for Activities Associated With a Private Single-Family Dwelling Unit" [Form 62-330.310(3)]; or
   (b) For all other activities "As-Built Certification and Request for Conversion to Operational Phase" [Form 62-330.310(1)].
   (c) If available, an Agency website that fulfills this certification requirement may be used in lieu of the form.
- If the final operation and maintenance entity is a third party:

   (a) Prior to sales of any lot or unit served by the activity and within one year of permit issuance, or within 30 days of as- built certification, whichever comes first, the permittee shall submit, as applicable, a copy of the operation and maintenance documents (see

sections 12.3 thru 12.3.3 of Volume I) as filed with the Department of State, Division of Corporations and a copy of any easement, plat, or deed restriction needed to operate or maintain the project, as recorded with the Clerk of the Court in the County in which the activity is located.

(b) Within 30 days of submittal of the as-built certification, the permittee shall submit "Request for Transfer of Environmental Resource Permit to the Perpetual Operation Entity" [Form 62-330.310(2)] to transfer the permit to the operation and maintenance entity, along with the documentation requested in the form. If available, an Agency website that fulfills this transfer requirement may be used in lieu of the form.

- 8. The permittee shall notify the Agency in writing of changes required by any other regulatory agency that require changes to the permitted activity, and any required modification of this permit must be obtained prior to implementing the changes.
- 9. This permit does not:

(a) Convey to the permittee any property rights or privileges, or any other rights or privileges other than those specified herein or in Chapter 62-330, F.A.C.;
(b) Convey to the permittee or create in the permittee any interest in real property;
(c) Relieve the permittee from the need to obtain and comply with any other required federal, state, and local authorization, law, rule, or ordinance; or
(d) Authorize any entrance upon or work on property that is not owned, held in easement, or controlled by the permittee.

- 10. Prior to conducting any activities on state-owned submerged lands or other lands of the state, title to which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, the permittee must receive all necessary approvals and authorizations under Chapters 253 and 258, F.S. Written authorization that requires formal execution by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall not be considered received until it has been fully executed.
- 11. The permittee shall hold and save the Agency harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities that may arise by reason of the construction, alteration, operation, maintenance, removal, abandonment or use of any project authorized by the permit.
- 12. The permittee shall notify the Agency in writing:

(a) Immediately if any previously submitted information is discovered to be inaccurate; and (b) Within 30 days of any conveyance or division of ownership or control of the property or the system, other than conveyance via a long-term lease, and the new owner shall request transfer of the permit in accordance with Rule 62-330.340, F.A.C. This does not apply to the sale of lots or units in residential or commercial subdivisions or condominiums where the stormwater management system has been completed and converted to the operation phase.

- 13. Upon reasonable notice to the permittee, Agency staff with proper identification shall have permission to enter, inspect, sample and test the project or activities to ensure conformity with the plans and specifications authorized in the permit.
- 14. If any prehistoric or historic artifacts, such as pottery or ceramics, stone tools or metal implements, dugout canoes, or any other physical remains that could be associated with Native American cultures, or early colonial or American settlement are encountered at any time within the project site area, work involving subsurface disturbance in the immediate vicinity of such discoveries shall cease. The permittee or other designee shall contact the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Compliance and Review Section, at (850) 245-6333 or (800) 847-7278, as well as the appropriate permitting agency office. Such subsurface work shall not resume without verbal or written authorization from
the Division of Historical Resources. If unmarked human remains are encountered, all work shall stop immediately and notification shall be provided in accordance with Section 872.05, F.S.

- 15. Any delineation of the extent of a wetland or other surface water submitted as part of the permit application, including plans or other supporting documentation, shall not be considered binding unless a specific condition of this permit or a formal determination under Rule 62-330.201, F.A.C., provides otherwise.
- 16. The permittee shall provide routine maintenance of all components of the stormwater management system to remove trapped sediments and debris. Removed materials shall be disposed of in a landfill or other uplands in a manner that does not require a permit under Chapter 62-330, F.A.C., or cause violations of state water quality standards.
- 17. This permit is issued based on the applicant's submitted information that reasonably demonstrates that adverse water resource-related impacts will not be caused by the completed permit activity. If any adverse impacts result, the Agency will require the permittee to eliminate the cause, obtain any necessary permit modification, and take any necessary corrective actions to resolve the adverse impacts.
- 18. A Recorded Notice of Environmental Resource Permit may be recorded in the county public records in accordance with subsection 62-330.090(7), F.A.C. Such notice is not an encumbrance upon the property.
- 19. At a minimum, all retention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by those facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed from the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.
- 20. All wetland areas or water bodies that are outside the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.
- 21. Prior to construction, the permittee must clearly designate the limits of construction on-site. The permittee must advise the contractor that any work outside the limits of construction, including clearing, may be a violation of this permit.
- 22. The proposed surface water management system must be constructed and operated as per plans received by the District on October 10, 2013.
- 23. During the Tomoka River bridge construction activities, the permittee must monitor turbidity upstream (background) and immediately downstream of the turbidity control measures. The background sample must not be taken within any visible plume. It is not necessary to sample during low-water periods (i.e., when the river bed is dry or otherwise when no there is no streamflow or no pools such that the worksite is hydraulically isolated from offsite waters).

Samples must be collected two times daily with a morning and afternoon sample at least four hours apart during the bridge construction activities.

Before removal of the turbidity control measures, the turbidity levels within the area surrounded by the turbidity control measures must be sampled to ensure no release of turbid water once the turbidity control measures are removed. The turbidity control measures may not be removed until the sample data indicates levels which do not exceed the State Water Quality Standards. This sample data must be included within the weekly turbidity data report.

If at any time the downstream turbidity level exceeds the State Water Quality Standards, then all measures required to reduce the turbidity including stopping all bridge construction activities, must be taken. The bridge construction activities must not resume until the turbidity has returned to acceptable levels. Any such violation must be reported immediately to the District's Altamonte Springs Service Center.

All turbidity data must be submitted to the District's Altamonte Springs Service Center weekly. The data must contain the following information: - permit number; - date and time of sampling and analysis; - statement describing collection, handling, storage, and analysis methods; - a map indicating the location of the samples taken; - depth of sample; - antecedent weather conditions; and flow direction.

- 24. Mitigation to offset the impacts to wetlands and/or other surface waters authorized by this permit was provided through Section 373.4137, F.S. The mitigation plan approved by the District's Governing Board or its designee remains valid for the present permit.
- 25. This permit for construction will expire five years from the date of issuance.
- 26. The operation and maintenance entity shall inspect the stormwater or surface water management system once within two years after the completion of construction and every two years thereafter to determine if the system is functioning as designed and permitted. The operation and maintenance entity must maintain a record of each required inspection, including the date of the inspection, the name, address, and telephone number of the inspector, and whether the system was functioning as designed and permitted, and make such record available for inspection upon request by the District during normal business hours. If at any time the system is not functioning as designed and permitted, then within 14 days the entity shall submit an Exceptions Report to the District, on form number 40C-42.900(6), Exceptions Report for Stormwater Management Systems Out of Compliance.

## Notice Of Rights

- 1. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code, the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P. O. Box 1429, Palatka Florida 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sirwmd.com, within twenty-six (26) days of the District depositing the notice of District decision in the mail (for those persons to whom the District mails actual notice). within twenty-one (21) days of the District emailing the notice of District decision (for those persons to whom the District emails actual notice), or within twenty-one (21) days of newspaper publication of the notice of District decision (for those persons to whom the District does not mail or email actual notice). A petition must comply with Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes, and Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code. The District will not accept a petition sent by facsimile (fax), as explained in paragraph no. 4 below.
- 2. Please be advised that if you wish to dispute this District decision, mediation may be available and that choosing mediation does not affect your right to an administrative hearing. If you wish to request mediation, you must do so in a timely-filed petition. If all parties, including the District, agree to the details of the mediation procedure, in writing, within 10 days after the time period stated in the announcement for election of an administrative remedy under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, the time limitations imposed by Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, shall be tolled to allow mediation of the disputed District decision. The mediation must be concluded within 60 days of the date of the parties' written agreement, or such other timeframe agreed to by the parties in writing. Any mediation agreement must include provisions for selecting a mediator, a statement that each party shall be responsible for paying its pro-rata share of the costs and fees associated with mediation, and the mediating parties' understanding regarding the confidentiality of discussions and documents introduced during mediation. If mediation results in settlement of the administrative dispute, the District will enter a final order consistent with the settlement agreement. If mediation terminates without settlement of the dispute, the District will notify all the parties in writing that the administrative hearing process under Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, is resumed. Even if a party chooses not to engage in formal mediation, or if formal mediation does not result in a settlement agreement, the District will remain willing to engage in informal settlement discussions.
- 3. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to an informal administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(2), Florida Statutes, where no material facts are in dispute. A petition for an informal hearing must also comply with the requirements set forth in Rule 28-106.301, Florida Administrative Code.

## Notice Of Rights

- 4. A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8:00 a.m. 5:00 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8:00 a.m. on the District's next regular business day. The District's acceptance of petitions filed by e-mail is subject to certain conditions set forth in the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at floridaswater.com. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation filed and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation filed and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation and Operation and Operation and Operation and Operation filed and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation, attempting to file a petition by facsimile is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.
- 5. Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite timeframe shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, Florida Administrative Code).
- 6. The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected by the District's final action has the right to become a party to the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set forth above.
- 7. Pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, a party to the proceeding before the District who is adversely affected by final District action may seek review of the action in the District Court of Appeal by filing a notice of appeal pursuant to Rules 9.110 and 9.190, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, within 30 days of the rendering of the final District action.
- 8. A District action is considered rendered, as referred to in paragraph no. 7 above, after it is signed on behalf of the District and filed by the District Clerk.
- 9. Failure to observe the relevant timeframes for filing a petition for judicial review as described in paragraph no. 7 above will result in waiver of that right to review.

NOR.Decision.DOC.001 Revised 12.7.11

## **Notice Of Rights**

### **Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Rights has been sent to the permittee:

Florida Department of Transportation - District 5 719 S Woodland Blvd Mail Station 542 DeLand, FL 32720

This 7th day of November, 2013.

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Bureau Chief

Bureau of Regulatory Support St. Johns River Water Management District 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177-2529 (386) 329-4570

## NOTICING INFORMATION

Dear Permittee:

Please be advised that the St. Johns River Water Management District has not published a notice in the newspaper advising the public that it has issued a permit for this project.

Newspaper publication, using the District's form, notifies members of the public of their right to challenge the issuance of the permit. If proper notice is given by newspaper publication, then there is a 21-day time limit to file a petition challenging the issuance of the permit.

To close the point of entry for filing a petition, you may publish (at your own expense) a onetime notice of the District's decision in a newspaper of general circulation within the affected area as defined in Section 50.011 of the Florida Statutes. If you do not publish a newspaper notice, the time to challenge the issuance of your permit will not expire.

A copy of the notice and a partial list of newspapers of general circulation are attached for your convenience. However, you are not limited to those listed newspapers. If you choose to close the point of entry and the notice is published, the newspaper will return to you an affidavit as proof of publication. Please submit a scanned copy of the affidavit by emailing compliancesupport@sjrwmd.com (preferred method) or send the original affidavit of publication to:

Margaret Daniels, Bureau Chief Bureau of Regulatory Support 4049 Reid Street Palatka, FL 32177

If you have any questions, please contact the Bureau of Regulatory Support at (386) 329-4570.

Sincerely,

M. Danus

Margaret Daniels, Bureau Chief

Bureau of Regulatory Support

# NOTICE OF AGENCY ACTION TAKEN BY THE ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Notice is given that the follow	ing permit was issued on _	:
(Name and address of applica	ant)	
permit#	The project is located	I inCounty, Section
, Township	South, Range	_ East. The permit authorizes a surface
water management system or	n acres for	
		known as
. The	e receiving water body is	

A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District). Pursuant to Chapter 28-106 and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the petition must be filed (received) either by delivery at the office of the District Clerk at District Headquarters, P.O. Box 1429, Palatka FL 32178-1429 (4049 Reid St, Palatka, FL 32177) or by e-mail with the District Clerk at Clerk@sjrwmd.com, within twenty six (26) days of the District depositing the notice of intended District decision in the mail (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District emails actual notice), within twenty-one (21) days of the District decision (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to whom the District decision (for those persons to mail or email actual notice). A petition must comply with Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Chapter 28-106, F.A.C. The District will not accept a petition sent by facsimile (fax). Mediation pursuant to Section 120.573, F.S., is not available.

A petition for an administrative hearing is deemed filed upon receipt of the complete petition by the District Clerk at the District Headquarters in Palatka, Florida during the District's regular business hours. The District's regular business hours are 8 a.m. – 5 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Petitions received by the District Clerk after the District's regular business hours shall be deemed filed as of 8 a.m. on the next regular District business day. The District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation (issued pursuant to Rule 28-101.001, Florida Administrative Code), which is available for viewing at floridaswater.com. These conditions include, but are not limited to, the petition being in the form of a PDF or TIFF file and being capable of being stored and printed by the District. Further, pursuant to the District's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation, attempting to file a petition by facsimile (fax) is prohibited and shall not constitute filing.

The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 40C-1.1007, Florida Administrative Code. Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate final agency action, the filing of a petition means the District's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this notice. **Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite time frame shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing. (Rule 28-106.111, F.A.C.).** 

If you wish to do so, please visit http://floridaswater.com/noticeofrights/ to read the complete Notice of Rights to determine any legal rights you may have concerning the District's intended decision(s) on the permit application(s) described above. You can also request the Notice of Rights by contacting the Bureau Chief, Bureau of Regulatory Support (RS), 4049 Reid St., Palatka, FL 32177-2529, tel. no. (386)329-4570.

#### NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING

#### ALACHUA

The Alachua County Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 806 Gainesville, FL 32602 352-377-2444/ fax 352-338-1986

#### BRAFORD

Bradford County Telegraph, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer A Starke, FL 32901 904-964-6305/ fax 904-964-8628

#### CLAY

Clay Today, Legal Advertising 1560 Kinsley Ave., Suite 1 Orange Park, FL 32073 904-264-3200/ fax 904-264-3285

#### FLAGLER

Flagler Tribune, c/o News Journal P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 386- 681-2322

#### LAKE

Daily Commercial, Legal Advertising P. O. Drawer 490007 Leesburg, FL 34749 352-365-8235/fax 352-365-1951

#### NASSAU

News-Leader, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 766 Fernandina Beach, FL 32035 904-261-3696/fax 904-261-3698

#### ORANGE

Sentinel Communications, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

#### PUTNAM

Palatka Daily News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 777 Palatka, FL 32178 386-312-5200/ fax 386-312-5209

#### SEMINOLE

Seminole Herald, Legal Advertising 300 North French Avenue Sanford, FL 32771 407-323-9408

#### BAKER

Baker County Press, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 598 Maclenny, FL 32063 904-259-2400/ fax 904-259-6502

#### BREVARD

Florida Today, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 419000 Melbourne, FL 32941-9000 321-242-3832/ fax 321-242-6618

#### DUVAL

Daily Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1769 Jacksonville, FL 32201 904-356-2466 / fax 904-353-2628

#### INDIAN RIVER

Vero Beach Press Journal, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1268 Vero Beach, FL 32961-1268 772-221-4282/ fax 772-978-2340

#### MARION

Ocala Star Banner, Legal Advertising 2121 SW 19th Avenue Road Ocala, FL 34474 352-867-4010/fax 352-867-4126

#### OKEECHOBEE

Okeechobee News, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 639 Okeechobee, FL 34973-0639 863-763-3134/fax 863-763-5901

#### OSCEOLA

Little Sentinel, Legal Advertising 633 N. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801 407-420-5160/ fax 407-420-5011

#### ST. JOHNS

St. Augustine Record, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 1630 St. Augustine, FL 32085 904-819-3436

#### VOLUSIA

News Journal Corporation, Legal Advertising P. O. Box 2831 Daytona Beach, FL 32120-2831 (386) 681-2322



\sqlserver \cadd \408464I520I \d rainage \DRMPRD3.d

#### FPID 408464-1-52-01 I-4 WIDENING FROM SR 44 TO EAST OF I-95 IN VOLUSIA COUNTY POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS BASIN I NODE MAXIMUM COMPARISON REPORT

		Max	Warning I	Max Delta	Max Surf	Max	Max	
Name	Simulation	Stage	Stage	Stage	Area	Inflow	Outflow	
		f+	f+		f+2	ofe	ofe	
		ЦC	IU	ЦC	I UZ	CID	CLD	
- 1-								
Pond I	005Y240H	40.23	42.50	0.0050	64254	6.81	6.81	
Pond I	010Y001H	40.20	42.50	0.0031	64152	34.96	5.18	
Pond I	010Y002H	40.31	42.50	0.0035	64591	31.88	13.38	
Pond T	010Y004H	40 39	42 50	0 0047	64935	29 01	21 70	
Pond I	0100000	40.20	12.50	0.0050	64052	20.01	22.70	
	01010000	40.39	42.50	0.0050	64955	30.14	22.15	
Pond 1	010Y024H	40.27	42.50	0.0050	64441	11.20	10.25	
Pond I	010Y072H	40.24	42.50	0.0050	64319	7.95	7.93	
Pond I	010Y168H	40.21	42.50	0.0050	64205	6.01	6.00	
Pond I	010Y240H	40.25	42.50	0.0050	64334	8.22	8.21	
Pond T	02570014	40 29	42 50	0 0037	64518	41 95	11 81	
Dond I	02510011	40.20	42.50	0.003/	64020	20 10	21 20	
	0251002H	40.39	42.50	0.0034	64920	30.19	21.29	
Pond 1	025Y004H	40.45	42.50	0.0050	65201	34.16	29.09	
Pond I	025Y008H	40.30	42.50	0.0050	64563	13.00	12.76	
Pond I	025Y024H	40.30	42.50	0.0050	64563	13.00	12.76	
Pond I	025Y072H	40.26	42.50	0.0050	64407	9,60	9.58	
Pond T	025V168H	40 23	42 50	0 0050	64284	7 32	7 32	
Iond I	025110011	40.23	42.50	0.0050	64416	0.75	0.75	
	0251240H	40.27	42.50	0.0050	64416	9.75	9.75	
Pond 1	050Y001H	40.34	42.50	0.0041	64709	46.18	16.06	
Pond I	050Y002H	40.44	42.50	0.0038	65143	43.54	27.43	
Pond I	050Y004H	40.50	42.50	0.0049	65388	38.58	34.79	
Pond T	050Y008H	40.51	42.50	0.0050	65454	41.51	36.91	
Pond T	05020244	40 32	42 50	0 0050	64647	14 80	14 63	
Fond I	050102411	40.32	42.50	0.0050	64404	11 22	11 21	
Pond 1	0501072H	40.28	42.50	0.0050	64494	11.33	11.31	
Pond I	050Y168H	40.25	42.50	0.0050	64332	8.17	8.17	
Pond I	050Y240H	40.28	42.50	0.0050	64463	10.69	10.69	
Pond I	100Y001H	40.39	42.50	0.0036	64956	51.85	22.24	
Pond T	100Y002H	40.49	42.50	0.0042	65346	48.93	33.47	
Dond T	10020044	10.IJ	12.50	0 0050	65510	12 02	40 11	
Polia I	1001004H	40.54	42.50	0.0050	65552	45.03	40.11	
Pond 1	TOOLOOSH	40.55	42.50	0.0050	65603	45.28	41.80	
Pond I	100Y024H	40.34	42.50	0.0050	64725	16.59	16.42	
Pond I	100Y072H	40.30	42.50	0.0050	64545	12.40	12.37	
Pond I	100Y168H	40.26	42.50	0.0050	64384	9.14	9.14	
Pond T	100Y240H	40 29	42 50	0 0050	64522	11 89	11 89	
Pond I	CDIDEVOAU	10.20	12.50	0.0050	65770	E2 06	47 00	
	SRUZSIZAH	40.59	42.50	0.0050	63779	52.00	47.92	
Pond 1	SRJMEAN	40.31	42.50	0.0045	64606	28.09	13.69	
SWI1	002Y001H	42.24	43.50	0.0050	16089	8.55	0.00	
SWI1	002Y002H	42.46	43.50	0.0050	17574	6.97	0.06	
SWT1	00270044	42 59	43 50	0 0050	18936	3 99	1 01	
CWI1	002100411	42.55	43.50	0.0050	10100	4 24	1 21	
SWII	0021008H	42.00	43.50	0.0050	19122	4.24	1.21	
SWII	002Y024H	42.55	43.50	0.0050	18268	1.1/	0.67	
SWI1	002Y072H	42.57	43.50	0.0050	18759	0.84	0.84	
SWI1	002Y168H	42.55	43.50	0.0050	18545	0.65	0.65	
SWI1	002Y240H	42.57	43.50	0.0050	18728	0.81	0.81	
SWT1	0057001H	42.51	43.50	0.0050	18066	11.15	0.31	
GWT1	00570024	12.51	12 50	0 0050	10206	0 10	1 41	
CWI1	005100211	42.02	43.50	0.0050	20200	5.10	2.97	
SWII	0051004H	42./1	43.50	0.0050	20369	5.31	2.0/	
SWI1	005Y008H	42.69	43.50	0.0050	20128	5.60	2.50	
SWI1	005Y024H	42.60	43.50	0.0050	19094	1.65	1.18	
SWI1	005Y072H	42.59	43.50	0.0050	19000	1.08	1.08	
SWI1	005Y168H	42.57	43.50	-0.0050	18754	0.84	0.84	
GWT 1	00572404	12 59	13 50	-0 0050	19005	1 0.9	1 08	
SWI1	01000124011	42.55	43.50	-0.0050	10541	12.00	1.00	
SWII	OIOYOOIH	42.64	43.50	0.0050	19541	13.26	1.69	
SWI1	010Y002H	42.69	43.50	0.0050	20145	10.89	2.52	
SWI1	010Y004H	42.77	43.50	0.0050	20999	6.30	3.96	
SWI1	010Y008H	42.79	43.50	0.0050	21193	6.68	4.32	
SWI1	010Y024H	42.65	43.50	0.0050	19635	1.97	1.81	
CMT 1	01000720	42 61	43 50	0 0050	10176	1 27	1 26	
OTT 1	010371601	40 50	42.50	0.0050	10070	1.21	1.20	
SWII	OTOXT08H	42.58	43.50	0.0050	T88/A	0.96	0.96	
SWI1	010Y240H	42.61	43.50	0.0050	19215	1.31	1.31	
SWI1	025Y001H	42.77	43.50	0.0050	20968	15.91	3.90	
SWI1	025Y002H	42.78	43.50	0.0050	21096	13.05	4.14	
SWI1	025Y004H	42.83	43.50	0.0050	21695	7.46	5.35	
CMT 1	02500080	42 67	43 50	0 0050	10020	2 20	2.23	
	02510000	12.07	43.50	0.0050	10000	2.27	2.41	
SWII	0251024H	42.6/	43.50	0.0050	TAATO	2.29	2.21	
SWII	UZ5Y072H	42.63	43.50	0.0050	19406	1.53	1.53	

	FPID 408464-1-52-01	Prepared by: J.R.	Date: 04/13/13
	I-4 Widening from SR 44 to E of I-95 in Volusia	Checked by: A.R.	Date: 04/13/13
	Post-Development Conditions	Approved by: A.M.	Date: 04/13/13
engineering			

Basin I

#### POND DESIGN INFORMATION

Basin Limits:	1122+00.00	to	1164+50.00	BL Survey & Const. SR 400 (I-4)
Roadway Basin Area	(R/W to R/W):	29.26	Ac	
Pond Area (Entire Area Within R/W)		2.61	Ac	
	Total	31.87	Ac	

Receiving River Basin: Tiger Bay

Eİ	evation	Areas (Ac)	Incr. Vol. (AC-Ft)	Total Vol. (Ac-ft)	Total Volume (cf)	Treatment Volume (cf)	Treatment Volume (CF/Ac)	Remarks
	28.50	0.78		0.00	0.00			
			0.39					
	29.00	0.79		0.39	17086.41			
			0.81					
	30.00	0.83		1.21	52544.25			
			0.85					
	31.00	0.87		2.06	89744.49			
			0.89					
	32.00	0.91		2.95	128687.13			
			0.94					
	33.00	0.96		3.89	169415.73			
			0.98					
	34.00	1.00		4.87	211973.85			
			1.02					
	35.00	1.04		5.89	256361.49			
			0.95					
	35.90	1.08		6.84	297898.13			
			0.11					
	36.00	1.09		6.95	302615.68			
			1.13					
	37.00	1.18		8.08	351882.04			
			1.09					
	37.90	1.26		9.17	399534.50		9.17	PPV
			1.44					
	39.00	1.36		10.61	462160.71			
			1.55					
	40.10	1.46		12.16	529747.43	130212.93	2.99	Vol. @ Weir EL.
			1.36					
	41.00	1.55		13.52	588789.63			
			1.19					
	41.75	1.62		13.35	581578.38			
			1.36					
	42.50	2.02		14.72	641021.45			

Top of Berm= 42.50	
Inside Edge of Berm= 41.75	

- Treatment Pool Elevation= 40.10
- Permanent Pool Elevation (SHWT)= 37.90
- Orifice Size= 5 in No. of Orifice= 1 Provided Treatment Volume= 130212.93 CF Provided Permanent Pool Volume= 399534.50 CF Main Depth= 6.27 FT Max Depth= 9.40 FT